

(a) Undergraduate students shall continue to be required to earn 150 term hours of credit in graded courses toward a bachelor's degree from the University.

(b) General University lower division and general University group requirements for the bachelor's degree may not be satisfied with pass (P) credit earned while in residence at the University. (Physical education activity courses are exempted from this provision, pending results of the current experiment with the use of the pass-no pass option in these courses.)

(c) Graduate School students (those who have been admitted by a department or school for work toward a graduate degree) must include at least 24 graded hours in the first 45 graduate credit hours taken, although this requirement may be waived for students who have passed the doctoral qualifying examination.

(d) Acceptability of credit earned with a mark of pass (P) toward satisfaction of undergraduate and graduate major requirements shall be determined by the individual schools, departments, and interdisciplinary or special committees directing the major programs.

(e) The Scholastic Deficiency Committee shall inform students on academic probation that they are not to enroll in courses on a pass-no pass basis unless the courses are required for their major program and are offered only on a pass-no pass basis.

Mr. Staples' motion to substitute was seconded. After discussion, Mr. K. W. Porter moved to amend the substitute motion by adding a new paragraph (a): "No grade of less than C shall constitute a pass." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. A. W. Urquhart moved to amend the substitute motion by striking paragraphs (b) - (e). The motion was seconded.

Mr. S. B. Greenfield moved that the meeting be adjourned until 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 8. The motion was seconded. The President asked if Mr. Greenfield would agree to a delay of the vote on his motion until action has been taken on a motion concerning degrees to be conferred at the March Graduation Convocation and until members have had an opportunity to give notice of motions. Mr. Greenfield agreed. Mr. Ward rose to a point of order, that the faculty could not proceed to other business while Mr. Horn's motion, the Senate substitute, and Mr. Urquhart's amendment were still before the body. The President stated that Mr. Ward's point was well taken, but suggested that the faculty could so proceed by unanimous consent. Mr. Ward objected.

Mr. Greenfield's motion to adjourn was then put to a vote and defeated. Mr. Alpert moved that further consideration of the business now before the faculty be suspended to allow action on degrees and notices of motion. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONFERRAL OF DEGREES. The secretary read a memorandum from Mr. Constance, University Registrar, certifying that the Official Degree List for the March 10, 1967 Graduation Convocation will include all and only those degree candidates who completed their respective degree requirements by the end of the winter term. Mr. P. R. Washke moved that the faculty of the University of Oregon recommend that the Oregon State Board of Higher Education confer on the persons whose names are included in the Official Degree List for the March 10, 1967 Graduation Convocation, to be compiled by the University Registrar, the degrees for which they have satisfied all requirements. The motion was seconded, put to a vote and carried.

STUDENT COURSE SURVEY. Mr. R. S. Summers gave notice that he would move, at the April 1967 faculty meeting, the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas: I. The University of Oregon faculty, at its regular monthly meeting, February 1, 1967, adopted, by majority vote, the following resolution: "Be it resolved: That the faculty welcomes students' interest in the improvement of teaching and their efforts to establish a systematic survey of student reaction to courses and instruction at the University. The faculty further urges that its individual members co-operate fully in the survey; and that the administration continue to offer technical assistance and

financial support for the survey."

And whereas: II. The President of the University of Oregon, in response to requests,

(A) Has recognized and supported the aforementioned survey, and presumably will continue so to recognize and support it and like surveys, and

(B) Has directed, and presumably will continue to direct staff members of the University of Oregon, including a member or members of its Department of Psychology, (1) to assist in the conduct of the aforementioned survey, and like surveys, and (2) to assist in the preparation of a publication to issue from such survey, and like surveys, for public distribution, and

(C) Has allocated, and presumably will continue to allocate funds to help defray (1) the costs of conducting the aforementioned survey and like surveys, and (2) the costs of printing, binding, and publicly distributing the publication to issue from such survey, and like surveys.

And whereas: III. Staff members of the University of Oregon have, as part of their official duties, been instructed to assist, and are assisting with the conduct of the aforementioned survey and with the preparation of a publication to issue therefrom, and presumably will continue to be so instructed, and presumably will continue to so assist with respect to such survey and publication and like surveys and like publications in the future, such assistance now including but not being limited to:

(A) Suggesting the content of questions to appear on faculty rating forms to be distributed to students for use in collecting data,

(B) Helping with the drafting of questions to appear on such forms,

(C) Suggesting the organization and format of such forms,

(D) Recommending how and when such forms are to be distributed and used,

(E) Helping to interpret the data collected thereby, and

(F) Participating in the preparation of the publication to issue therefrom, including the making of recommendations as to the content thereof.

Be it resolved, therefore, that: I. The University of Oregon faculty suggests, in helping to implement its foregoing resolution of February 1, 1967, that each and every faculty member, at some time, allow the use of his classroom, if desired by students, for the purpose of collecting data as part of the aforementioned survey or like surveys, and recommends that such data, and any evaluations based thereon, be made available to such faculty member,

Provided however, that any data, or any evaluation based on data thus allowed to be collected, may not be published against the will of the faculty member in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys, if the faculty member has, prior to the occasion for collection of such data, exercised his right under resolution II hereof,

II. The University of Oregon faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any data, or any evaluations of his teaching based on any data, collected inside or outside of his classroom, published against his will, in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys similarly recognized and supported by the University of Oregon faculty, recognized and supported by the President of the University of Oregon, and prepared, in part, by a member or members of the University of Oregon faculty or staff in the course of official duties,

III. The University of Oregon faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any use made of his classroom for the collection of data as part of the aforementioned survey, or like surveys,

IV. The University of Oregon faculty hereby records its substantial appreciation and its profound sense of indebtedness to those members of the University of Oregon student body who, more than any other person, persons, group, or groups, have, by their efforts, recently brought the University of Oregon faculty and administration to try to find and continue to try to find, and to introduce, methods of stressing the importance of teaching, and methods of approximating solutions to the complex problem of evaluating its long-term quality.

ELIGIBILITY FOR STUDENT OFFICE. Mr. J. V. Soeder, chairman of the Student Activities Committee, gave notice that he would move at the April 1967 faculty meeting, on behalf of his committee, the adoption of the following revised rules governing eligibility for student offices:

(1) A student who has been suspended or expelled from the University or who has been disqualified for enrollment by the Scholastic Deficiency Committee or Admissions Office is denied all privileges of the institution and of all organizations in any way connected with it.

(2) No student may accept or hold an elective or appointive position in any extra-curricular or organization activity or participate in intercollegiate competition until he has been certified eligible by the director of the Student Union or the faculty athletic representative. A student is automatically ineligible for any such certification:

(a) Unless he is currently enrolled as a regular student in good standing carrying at least 12 term hours of work if he is an undergraduate, or 9 term hours of work if he is a graduate student. (A lighter load is permitted seniors and graduate students in their final term of enrollment, if conferral of the degree will not be delayed.)

(b) For elective or appointive office, unless he has completed at least 12 term hours of work during his last previous term in the University if he is an undergraduate or 9 term hours of work during his last previous term in the University if he is a graduate student. (Incompletes may be counted as part of these hours, but only to establish eligibility during the term immediately following the term for which the incomplete was reported.)

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Greenfield moved that the meeting be adjourned until 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, March 8. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE FACULTY

March 8, 1967

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming.

PROCEDURE IN FACULTY MEETINGS. The President announced that, if there were no objection, he would require, at this and all future meetings of the faculty, that every person rising to speak identify himself by name and department. No objection was heard.

PASS-NO PASS COURSE ENROLLMENT. The faculty continued its consideration of motions related to pass-no pass course enrollment, begun at the March 1, 1967 regular meeting -- including the principal motion presented by Mr. R. D. Horn on behalf of the Student-Faculty Council, a substitute motion presented by Mr. L. W. Staples on behalf of the Faculty Senate, and an amendment to the substitute moved by Mr. A. W. Urquhart (see March 1 minutes, pp. 4-5).

Mr. Urquhart, with the consent of his second, restated his motion, as follows: That the substitute motion be amended by (1) changing the second sentence of the first paragraph to read: "Any student may then elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option, subject to conditions and procedures to be

worked out by the Academic Requirements Committee and reported to the faculty for confirmation not later than the May 1967 meeting"; and by (2) striking paragraphs (a)-(e).

Mr. Horn moved to refer the whole matter of pass-no pass enrollment to the Academic Requirements Committee for study and report to the faculty not later than the May 1967 faculty meeting. The motion was declared lost for lack of a second.

Mr. Hans Linde asked whether it was Mr. Urquhart's intent that the Academic Requirements Committee submit its recommendations on conditions and procedures through the Faculty Senate. Mr. Urquhart stated that that was his intent. Mr. Paul Civin asked whether Mr. Urquhart would accept the change of the word "confirmation" in his motion to "consideration." Mr. Urquhart accepted this change. After further discussion, the motion to amend the substitute motion was put to a vote and carried.

The substitute motion as amended now being before the faculty, Mr. Horn again moved to refer the whole matter of pass-no pass enrollment to the Academic Requirements Committee for study and report to the faculty not later than the May 1967 meeting. The motion was declared lost for lack of a second.

Mr. Civin moved to amend the first sentence of the substitute motion to read: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to allow that any course approved by the Committee on the Curriculum and the Academic Requirements Committee may be taken on a no-grade basis. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Staples pointed out that approval of courses is not a function of the Academic Requirements Committee, and asked whether Mr. Civin would agree to delete the reference to this committee in his amendment. Mr. Civin agreed.

Mr. Bower Aly inquired concerning the status of Mr. Horn's motion to refer the whole matter to the Academic Requirements Committee. The President replied that the motion had been declared lost for lack of a second. Mr. Aly stated that the motion had been seconded, by Mr. R. W. Leeper. The President and the secretary indicated that the second had not been heard at the rostrum. Mr. Aly then moved that the whole matter of pass-no pass enrollment be referred to the Academic Requirements Committee with instructions to report to the faculty not later than the May 1967 meeting. The motion was seconded. Mr. J. C. Sherwood moved that Mr. Aly's motion be tabled. The motion to table was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. S. C. Reynolds asked whether Mr. Civin would accept the following revision of the two sentences of the substitute motion in lieu of his amendment: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to allow students to elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option, subject to conditions to be decided by the faculty after the faculty has considered the opinions of the Academic Requirements Committee, in a report to be submitted to the faculty not later than the faculty meeting of May 1967. Mr. Civin indicated that he would not accept this change. Mr. Civin's motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. R. M. Noyes moved to amend the first sentence of the substitute motion to read: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to allow certain courses to be taken on a no-grade basis. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. Aly moved the previous question on all pending questions. The motion was seconded, put to a vote by a show of hands, and failed for want of a two-thirds majority.

Mr. Robert Friedman stated that he intended to move to refer all pending motions concerning pass-no pass course enrollment of the Academic Requirements Committee, with instructions to report to the faculty not later than the May 1967 meeting; and that, if this motion carried, to move approval of pass-no pass course enrollment in principle. He then moved for referral. The motion was seconded. Mr. Sherwood inquired concerning

the position on the agenda of Mr. Friedman's promised motion for approval of pass-no pass course enrollment in principle. The President stated that he would entertain such a motion immediately after a vote on the motion to refer. Mr. Friedman's motion to refer was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. J. R. Menninger moved the previous question on the motion to substitute. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The motion to substitute was then put to a standing vote and carried: yes, 62; no, 42. Mr. C. L. Constance and Mr. Fred Mohr served as tellers for this and other divisions.

The President stated that the principal motion now before the faculty reads as follows: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses (faculty legislation of November 2, 1938) shall be amended to allow each instructor, in accordance with departmental policy, to indicate that his course may be taken on a no-grade basis. Any student may then elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option, subject to conditions and procedures to be worked out by the Academic Requirements Committee and reported to the faculty for consideration not later than the May 1967 meeting.

Mr. L. E. Ward moved to amend the first sentence to read: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to allow certain courses to be taken on a no-grade basis. The motion was seconded.

Mr. R. M. Mazo asked whether Mr. Ward would accept the following revision of the two sentences of the principal motion in lieu of his amendment: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to provide that any student may elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option, subject to conditions to be worked out by the Academic Requirements Committee . . . Mr. Ward indicated that he would not accept the change.

Mr. George Streisinger asked whether Mr. Ward would accept the following revision of the two sentences of the principal motion in lieu of his amendment: The procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses . . . shall be amended to allow any student to elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option for any course, subject to conditions to be worked out by the Academic Requirements Committee and reported to the faculty for consideration not later than the May 1967 meeting. Mr. Ward stated that he would accept this wording in lieu of his amendment.

Mr. R. C. James pointed out that the principal motion provided that the Academic Requirements Committee consider procedures as well as conditions. Mr. Ward and Mr. Streisinger agreed to the addition of the words "and procedures: following the word "conditions" in the amendment. Mr. Ward's amendment, as modified, was then put to a vote and carried. The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL EXPERIMENTATION, INNOVATION, AND IMPROVEMENT. Mr. Bernd Crasemann moved on behalf of the Advisory Council the approval of the following resolution:

Be it resolved: (1) That the Committee on Educational Experimentation, Innovation, and Improvement include, in addition to the membership specified in the resolution approved by the faculty at the meeting of January 11, 1967, two graduate students and two undergraduate students, to be appointed by the President of the University on nomination by the president of the A.S.U.O., and after consultation with the faculty Committee on Committees, with the understanding that every effort will be made to select students who have demonstrated their interest and competence in the areas of responsibility which have been assigned to the committee.

(2) That the consideration and award of faculty grants by the Committee on Educational Experimentation, Innovation, and Improvement be entrusted to a subcommittee consisting of faculty members only.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Staples reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption.

Mr. P. E. Beal moved to amend by striking the words "and after consultation with the faculty Committee on Committees" in paragraph (1). The motion was declared lost for lack of a second.

Mr. Beal renewed his motion to amend by striking the words "and after consultation by the faculty Committee on Committees." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. C. T. Duncan moved to amend by striking the words, "with the understanding that every effort will be made to select students who have demonstrated their interest and competence in the areas of responsibility which have been assigned to the committee." He then withdrew his motion.

Mr. Ward moved to reconsider the vote on Mr. Beal's motion to strike the words "and after consultation with the faculty Committee on Committees"; he stated that he had voted on the prevailing side. The motion to reconsider was seconded.

Mr. A. F. Moursund moved that the meeting be adjourned after opportunity was provided for notices of motion. The motion was seconded. The President delayed a vote on the motion to adjourn to allow notices of motion.

PRESS COVERAGE OF FACULTY MEETINGS. Mr. Duncan gave notice that he would move at the April 1967 faculty meeting that regular meetings of the general faculty be open to coverage by the press.

Mr. Moursund's motion to adjourn was then put to a vote and defeated.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL EXPERIMENTATION, INNOVATION AND IMPROVEMENT. The faculty resumed consideration of Mr. Ward's motion to reconsider the vote on Mr. Beal's motion to amend Mr. Crasemann's resolution.

Mr. Franklin Lowenthal moved to table the motion to reconsider. The motion to table was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. Mr. Beal moved the previous question on the motion to reconsider; the motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The motion to reconsider was then put to a standing vote and defeated: yes, 33; no, 42.

Mr. Aly moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded, put to a standing vote, and defeated: yes, 37; no, 43.

Mr. I. M. Niven moved the previous question on the principal motion. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The principal motion was then put to a vote and carried.

STUDENT SEATS IN AUTZEN STADIUM. Mr. J. R. Klonoski gave notice that he would move at the April 1967 faculty meeting, on behalf of himself and Mr. D. J. Finlay, the adoption of the following resolution: Be it resolved that (1) the faculty of the University of Oregon recommends to the President of the University that seats in the new Autzen Stadium between the two 30-yard-line markers on one side of the stadium be reserved for the student body, and (2) that no member of the student body be given any more or any less number of complimentary tickets for the games than are given to all other students.

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Aly moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

April 5, 1967

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming. The minutes

of the meetings of March 1 and March 8, 1967 were approved.

ACADEMIC FREEDOM AT SOUTHERN OREGON COLLEGE. Mr. L. W. Staples, secretary of the Faculty Senate, moved on behalf of the Senate the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas, the University of Oregon faculty views with concern the evidence of arbitrary procedures in the hiring and promotion of faculty and of abuses of academic freedom at Southern Oregon College, as indicated by the report of the special committee of the Oregon ACLU of October 1966, and the demand of the State Federation of the AAUP for an investigation by its national office, therefore, be it resolved, that we ask the President of the University of Oregon to transmit to the Chancellor of the Oregon State System of Higher Education the request to make every effort to ensure that the traditions of academic freedom which have developed within the State System be fully acknowledged at Southern Oregon College and implemented by appropriate administrative policies.

The motion was seconded and, after discussion, put to a vote and carried unanimously.

STUDENT REACTION SURVEY. Mr. R. S. Summers moved the adoption of the following resolution.

Be it resolved that: I. The University of Oregon faculty suggests, in helping to implement its resolution of February 1, 1967 (Novick resolution), that each and every faculty member, at some time, allow the use of his classroom, if desired by students, for the purpose of collecting data as part of the (student reaction) survey or like surveys, and recommends that such data, and any evaluations based thereon, be made available to such faculty member,

Provided however, that any data, or any evaluation based on data thus allowed to be collected, may not be published against the will of the faculty member in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys, if the faculty member has, prior to the occasion for collection of such data, exercised his right under resolution II hereof,

II. The University of Oregon faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any data, or any evaluations of his teaching based on any data, collected inside or outside of his classroom, published against his will, in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys similarly recognized and supported by the University of Oregon faculty, recognized and supported by the President of the University of Oregon, and prepared, in part, by a member or members of the University of Oregon faculty or staff in the course of official duties,

III. The University of Oregon faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any use made of his classroom for the collection of data as part of the aforementioned survey, or like surveys.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Staples reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. After discussion, Mr. Bernd Crasemann requested that the President explain the extent and nature of official University involvement in the student reaction survey. The President called attention to the fact that the Novick resolution, adopted by the faculty at its February 1, 1967 meeting, urged "that the administration continue to offer technical assistance and financial support for the survey." He stated that he believed that this involvement should parallel the University's involvement in the publication of the Oregon Daily Emerald and other publications sponsored by the Associate Students. In accordance with this parallel, the University will continue to provide needed financial support from student fee income and voluntary technical assistance by staff members, as technical assistance is provided to the

Emerald by members of the faculty of the School of Journalism. This should be the extent of administrative involvement in the student reaction survey. He added that he will not direct a staff member to provide technical assistance, and that assistance will be given only when the staff member desires to provide it.

Mr. J. R. Menninger moved to substitute the following for Mr. Summers' resolution: "Be it resolved that the faculty suggests to the President of the University that he direct students responsible for the course evaluation survey to eliminate from their publication any indication that the survey is an official activity of the University faculty or administration." The motion to substitute was seconded.

Mr. Hans Linde inquired whether Mr. Menninger would accept the following language in lieu of the language of his resolution: ". . . that the faculty suggests to the President of the University that he direct students responsible for the course evaluation survey to provide publication only in a format which clearly establishes it as a nonofficial and purely student-produced publication." Mr. Menninger stated that he would not accept this language.

After discussion, Mr. Menninger, with the consent of his second, revised his motion to read: ". . . that the faculty suggests to the President of the University that he direct students responsible for the course evaluation survey to include in the published survey the following: 'This is a publication of the Associated Students of the University of Oregon. It is not a publication of the faculty or administration of the University of Oregon.'"

After further discussion, Mr. S. B. Greenfield rose to a point of order, questioning whether the substitute motion was in order, since its subject was entirely different from the subject of Mr. Summers' resolution -- the assertion of the right of an individual faculty member not to participate in the student reaction survey.

Mr. L. E. Ward moved the previous question on the substitute motion. The motion was seconded. The President stated that Mr. Greenfield's point of order had precedence. He then ruled that, since Mr. Summers' argument for his resolution was concerned to a considerable extent with the question of official University involvement in the program, Mr. Menninger's substitute motion was in order. Mr. Summers appealed from the ruling of the chair. The question being put to a vote, the chair was overruled.

After further discussion of the principal motion, Mr. F. W. Anderson moved the previous question. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. Mr. Summers' motion was then put to a standing vote and carried: yes, 101; no, 64. Mr. C. L. Constance, Mr. C. B. Pascal, and Mr. George Struble served as tellers.

ELIGIBILITY FOR STUDENT OFFICE. Mr. J. V. Soeder, chairman of the Student Activities Committee, moved on behalf of his committee the adoption of the following revised rules governing eligibility for student offices:

(1) A student who has been suspended or expelled from the University or who has been disqualified for enrollment by the Scholastic Deficiency Committee or Admissions Office is denied all privileges of student status at this institution.

(2) No student may accept or hold an elective or appointive position in any university-sponsored extracurricular activity or organization activity until he has been certified eligible by the director of the Student Union; no student may participate in intercollegiate competition unless he has been certified eligible by the faculty athletic representative. A student is automatically ineligible for any such certification:

(a) Unless he is currently enrolled as a regular student in good standing carrying at least 12 term hours of work if he is an undergraduate, or 9 term hours of work if he is a graduate student. (A lighter load is

permitted seniors and graduate students in their final term of enrollment, if conferral of the degree will not be delayed.)

(b) For elective or appointive office, unless he has completed at least 12 term hours of work during his last previous term in the University if he is an undergraduate or 9 term hours of work during his last previous term in the University if he is a graduate student. (Incompletes may be counted as part of these hours, but only to establish eligibility during the term immediately following the term for which the incomplete was reported.)

(3) The rules of the National Collegiate Athletic Association govern in questions of athletic eligibility.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Staples reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption.

After discussion, Mr. Bower Aly moved that further consideration of the motion be postponed until the next meeting of the faculty. The motion was seconded. Mr. Aly then moved the previous question on the motion to postpone; the motion for the previous question was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The motion to postpone was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. Struble inquired whether Mr. Soeder would accept the change of the words "has been" in the first and second lines of paragraph (1) to "is." Mr. Soeder stated that he would accept this change.

A member of the faculty moved the previous question; the motion was seconded, put to a vote and carried. Mr. Soeder's motion, as modified, was then put to a vote and carried.

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Ward moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded. The President stated that he would delay putting the motion to a vote to allow notices of motion.

SPECIAL RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF FACULTY BUSINESS. Mr. Staples gave notice that he will move at the May 1967 faculty meeting, on behalf of the Faculty Senate:

(1) In reporting its recommendation concerning any matter requiring faculty action, the Senate may propose to the faculty a special rule of procedure, recommended by a vote of not less than two-thirds of those present in the Senate, to facilitate discussion and disposition of the matter by the faculty. A special rule may include, for instance, provisions for the sequence of items to be considered; for opportunity for general discussion; for timing the beginning, the end, or the length of debate; for limiting motions to amend or to substitute; for subsequent editing of faculty legislation; and for scheduling special meetings if needed.

(2) Any such special rule proposed by the Senate shall be presented at a meeting of the faculty only if it has been distributed to the faculty in writing, along with the substantive matter to which it would apply, before the day of the faculty meeting for which the item of business is scheduled.

(3) When the proposed rule has been reported from the Senate and explained to the faculty, it shall without debate, amendment, or procedural motions be adopted or rejected by majority vote of those voting.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESEARCH GRANTS. Mr. George Streisinger gave notice that he will move at the May 1967 faculty meeting the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas defense research is antithetical to the humanistic purposes of a University, and

Whereas the rational location for defense research is within the

appropriate agencies of the Department of Defense,

Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon requests the President and the administration of the University to establish a policy of refusing all contract or grant research sponsored by the Department of Defense and its various branches, and of phasing out, as rapidly as possible, such contracts as now exist at the University.

He stated that the resolution was sponsored by Mr. D. F. Aberle, Mr. S. A. Bernhard, and himself.

APPRECIATION OF WORK OF MR. DRUMMONDS. At the suggestion of Mr. Kenneth Polk, the faculty expressed by applause its appreciation of the work of Mr. Henry Drummonds as president of the Associated Students. President Flemming expressed his personal appreciation of Mr. Drummonds' contribution to community life at the University of Oregon.

ADJOURNMENT. The President pointed out that the faculty was still seriously behind in the handling of pending business on its agenda, and suggested that the need for a special meeting deserved consideration. Mr. Ward, with the consent of his second, changed his motion to provide for adjournment until 4:00 p.m., on Wednesday, April 12. The motion was put to a vote and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE FACULTY

April 12, 1967

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming.

PRESS COVERAGE OF FACULTY MEETINGS. Mr. C. T. Duncan moved that regular meetings of the general faculty be open to coverage by the news media. The motion having been seconded, Mr. L. W. Staples, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its adoption.

After discussion, Mr. D. R. Stannard inquired whether an amendment to open faculty meetings to the public would be in order. The President stated that he would rule that such a proposal was not germane to the principal motion, and could be introduced only as a separate motion, which would require notice.

After further discussion, Mr. Duncan's motion was put to a standing vote and carried: yes, 70; no, 45. Mr. Herman Cohen and Mr. George Struble served as tellers.

STUDENT SEATING IN AUTZEN STADIUM. Mr. J. R. Klonoski moved, on behalf of himself and Mr. D. J. Finlay, the adoption of the following resolution: Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon recommends to the President of the University (1) that seats in the new Autzen Stadium between the two 30-yard-line markers on one side of the stadium be reserved for the student body, and (2) that no member of the student body be given any more or any less number of complimentary tickets for the games than are given to all other students.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Staples reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption.

Mr. R. T. Ellickson, in the absence of Mr. H. H. Clarke, chairman of the faculty Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, read the following resolutions adopted by the committee in regard to Mr. Klonoski's motion:

(1) The Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics believes that it is inappropriate for the faculty to involve itself in recommending one or another particular seating plan, since this is properly an administrative matter involving many detailed considerations as to finances, available seats, commitments to contributors, etc.

On the other hand, the committee believes that it would be entirely appropriate for the faculty to make a recommendation to the President on general policy. For its part, the committee endorses the principle that the seating plan ultimately adopted for Autzen Stadium should provide for students -- and faculty and staff as well--an improvement over present seating arrangements at Hayward Field and Multnomah Stadium, with details of the final arrangement to be determined by the administration.

(2) With respect to the matter of complimentary tickets for football players, the committee believes that it would be inappropriate to legislate on this particular aspect of what is a much larger subject.

In other words, we believe that action of this sort should not be taken without considering parallel action on complimentary tickets provided to participants in sports other than football, to performers in music and the theater, to officers in student government, and to people not members of the University community.

Mr. Franklin Lowenthal moved that the faculty vote separately on the two parts of Mr. Klonoski's resolution. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The President stated that consideration was not restricted to part (1) of the resolution.

After discussion, Mr. J. N. Tattersall moved, as a substitute for Mr. Klonoski's motion, that the faculty endorse the recommendations of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics. The motion was seconded. In reply to a question from Mr. Paul Civin, the President ruled that only part (1) of the committee's recommendations was germane to the principal motion now before the faculty. Mr. Tattersall agreed to restrict his substitute motion to the endorsement of part (1) of the recommendations.

After further discussion, Mr. Bower Aly moved to refer all pending motions on this issue to an ad hoc committee made up of the chairman of the Faculty Senate, the present members of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, Mr. Klonoski, and Mr. Finlay, the chairman of the Senate to serve as chairman of this committee. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Klonoski moved to amend the motion to refer by adding to the ad hoc committee three student members to be appointed by the president of the Associated Students. The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. In reply to a question from the chair, Mr. Aly stated that it was his intent that the committee report to the faculty at its next meeting. The motion to refer, as amended, was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. Tattersall's substitute motion was then put to a vote and defeated. The principal motion -- part (1) of the resolution originally introduced by Mr. Klonoski -- was then put to a vote and carried.

President Flemming stated that it was necessary for him to leave the meeting because of another engagement, and called Dean W. C. Jones to the chair.

Mr. R. D. Horn moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Dean Jones stated that part (2) of Mr. Klonoski's resolution, relating to complimentary athletic tickets for students, was now before the faculty for consideration. Mr. Civin asked if Mr. Klonoski would accept the change of the phrase, "all other students," in the last line to "any other student." Mr. Klonoski accepted this change. In reply to a question from Mr. E. H. Lund, Mr. Klonoski stated that it was his intent that the recommendation concerning student complimentary tickets apply to all athletic contests, not to football alone.

After further discussion, Mr. I. M. Niven moved the previous question. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. The principal motion -- part (2) of the resolution -- was then put to a vote and defeated.

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Ellickson moved that the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

May 3, 1967

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming. The minutes of the meetings of April 5 and April 12, 1967 were approved.

FACULTY ELECTIONS. Ballots were cast for the election of members of the Advisory Council and the Faculty Senate. Mr. J.S. Carlson, Mr. George Struble, Mr. D.A. Watson, Mr. A.L. Ellingson, Mr. Herman Cohen, and Mr. Barre Toelken served as tellers.

Mr. F.C. Andrews, Mr. E.R. Bingham, Mr. C.D. Clark, Mr. F.A. Cuthbert, and Mr. J.R. Klonoski were elected members of the 1967-68 Advisory Council. (Mr. Roland Bartel and Mr. Robert Campbell were elected Advisory Council members on a first electing ballot cast by mail.)

Mr. V.C. Boekeldheide was elected to serve a two-year term as a member of the Faculty Senate from the liberal arts group. (Mr. E.R. Bingham, Mr. F.E. Dart, Mr. K.S. Ghent, Mr. I.M. Niven, Mr. L.W. Staples, Mr. N.D. Sundberg, and Miss Leona Tyler were elected Faculty Senate members on a first electing ballot cast by mail.)

(Eight members of the Faculty Senate from the professional-unaffiliated group were elected on a first electing ballot cast by mail: Mr. D.A. Baernkopf, Mr. T.O. Ballinger, Mr. E.A. Cyckler, Mr. C.T. Duncan, Mr. Frank Farner, Mr. O.J. Hollis, Mr. J.L. Hulteng, and Mr. R.M. Trotter.)

ADVISORY COUNCIL REPORT. Mr. Bernd Crasemann, Chairman of the Advisory Council, presented a report from the Council. A copy is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes. Mr. Crasemann stated that the 1966-67 Council is preparing a final written report on its work, which will be distributed to the Faculty through the campus mail.

PASS-NO PASS COURSE ENROLLMENT. The President reminded the faculty that, at the March 8, 1967 adjourned meeting, the following motion was adopted:

The Procedures for formal designation of no-grade courses (faculty legislation of November 2, 1938) shall be amended to allow any student to elect the pass-no pass or no-grade option for any course, subject to conditions and procedures to be worked out by the Academic Requirements Committee and reported to the faculty for consideration not later than the May 1967 meeting.

In accordance with these instructions, Mr. P.E. Simonds, in the absence of Mr. Jordan Utsey, chairman of the Academic Requirements Committee, moved the adoption of the following conditions and procedures:

(1) If the exercise of the pass-no pass option is not contrary to departmental policy, the Registrar will be notified (via registration procedures), and the term mark will be reported as P (pass) or N (not pass). The student's privilege of changing the basis for grading in a course shall conform to the deadlines set by the faculty for adding courses.

(2) In order to assure that sufficient credit hours will be available to students to meet the 150 graded hours requirement, course which are graded exclusively on a pass-no pass basis will continue to require approval of the University Curriculum Committee.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. L.W. Staples, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its approval.

Mr. Edward Novitski, chairman of the Committee on the Curriculum, moved on behalf of his committee to amend the principal motion by adding the following subsection under paragraph (1): Provided, however, that acceptability of credit earned with a mark of pass (P) toward satisfaction of major requirements shall be determined by the individual schools, departments, and interdisciplinary or special committees directing the major programs. ("Major Requirements" shall be understood to include all specific courses required for the completion of a major, whether or not the courses are taught in the division directing the major program.) Mr. Novitski's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. Novitski moved, on behalf of the Committee on the Curriculum, to amend the principal motion by adding the following subsection under paragraph (1): Provided, however, that general University lower-division course requirements and general University group requirements for the bachelor's degree may not be satisfied with pass (P) credit earned in residence in the University. (Physical-education activity courses shall be exempt from this provision, pending results of the current experiment with the use of the pass-no pass option in these courses. The motion was seconded, and, after discussion, put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. Novitski moved, on behalf of the Committee on the Curriculum, to amend the principal motion by adding the following subsection under paragraph (1): Provided, however, that an undergraduate student may elect the pass-no pass option in no more than one course per term during his first three years in residence in the University. (This restriction shall not apply to courses offered only on a no-grade basis, and so designated in the Catalog and Schedule of Classes.) The motion to amend was seconded.

In response to a question concerning the effect of pass-no pass enrollment in physical-education activity courses on the availability of the option for other courses, Mr. Novitski added the following parenthetical statement to his proposed amendment: (Physical-education activity courses shall be exempt from this provision, pending results of the current experiment with the use of pass-no pass option in these courses.)

Mr. George Struble moved to amend Mr. Novitski's motion by changing the words "first three years" to "first year." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

After discussion, Mr. Novitski's motion, as amended, was put to a standing vote and defeated: yes, 78; no, 80.

Mr. L.E. Ward rose to a point of information, inquiring concerning the effective date of Mr. Simond's motion, if adopted. The President stated that he believed the legislation would become effective immediately, which in fact would mean at the opening of the 1967 summer session.

Mr. R.L. Bowlin moved to amend the principal motion to provide that, in satisfying the 45-hour residence requirement, a student must complete a minimum of 36 term hours of work with grades. Mr. Bowlin's motion was seconded.

In reply to an inquiry from Mr. M.D. Ross, Mr. Bowlin stated that he was willing to modify his motion to allow credit in courses offered only on a no-grade basis to be counted toward the 36-hour minimum. Mr. R.M. Noyes suggested that the amendment be restated as follows: In satisfying the 45-hour residence requirement, a student must complete at least 36 term hours of work with grades or in courses offered only on a no-grade basis. Mr. Bowlin stated that he would accept this rewording of his motion. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

Mr. J.R. Shepherd moved to amend the principal motion to provide that the Academic Requirements Committee be instructed to review and evaluate the effect of the pass-no pass option after two years of experience, and report to the faculty. The motion was seconded. Mr. Paul Civin moved

to amend Mr. Shepherd's motion to provide for a review and evaluation after four years of experience. Mr. Civin's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. Mr. Shepherd's motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. G.A. Love stated that, as director of English composition, he had informed the Academic Requirements Committee that the Composition Committee is convinced that English Composition (Wr 121, 122, 123) should continue to be offered only on a graded basis, but had received no reply. Mr. Simonds stated that the Academic Requirements Committee has considered Mr. Love's communication, and believes that the right of the Department of English to determine the grade status of English Composition was adequately protected by the provision of paragraph (1) of his motion that the pass-no pass option can be exercised only if it is not contrary to departmental policy.

Mr. Hans Linde inquired whether, under the "departmental policy" provision, a department could allow only pass-no pass enrollment in one section of a course, and only graded enrollment in another. Mr. Simonds stated that a department could make such a policy decision. Mr. Linde inquired whether a department could, as a policy decision, define "pass" in its courses to mean some level of achievement other than the minimum level of a graded "D." Mr. Simonds stated that a department could make such a decision.

The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

SPECIAL RULES FOR THE CONDUCT OF FACULTY BUSINESS. Mr. Staples moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, the adoption of the following motion:

(1) In reporting its recommendation concerning any matter requiring faculty action, the Senate may propose to the faculty a special rule of procedure, recommended by a vote of not less than two-thirds of those present in the Senate, to facilitate discussion and disposition of the matter by the Faculty. A special rule may include, for instance, provisions for the sequence of items to be considered; for opportunity for general discussion; for timing the beginning, the end, or the length of debate; for limiting motions to amend or to substitute; for subsequent editing of faculty legislation; and for scheduling special meetings if needed.

(2) Any such special rule proposed by the Senate shall be presented at a meeting of the faculty only if it has been distributed to the faculty in writing before the day of the faculty meeting for which the item of business is scheduled.

(3) When the proposed rule has been reported from the Senate and explained to the faculty, it shall without debate, amendment, or procedural motions be adopted or rejected by majority vote of those voting.

The motion was seconded. President Flemming called attention to the following provision of Robert's Rules of Order: "Constitutions, by-laws, and rules of order . . . may be amended at any regular meeting by a vote of the majority of the entire membership; or, if the amendment was submitted in writing at the previous regular meeting, they may be amended by a two-thirds vote of those voting . . ." He also pointed out that, according to Robert, a two-thirds vote is required to make a special order and to limit debate. The President stated that, in the light of these provisions, he was inclined to rule that the Senate motion would require a two-thirds vote for adoption, but that he would be glad to hear discussion of the point.

Mr. Ward moved that the meeting be adjourned until 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 10, 1967. The motion was seconded. The President stated that he would delay a vote on the motion to provide opportunity for notices of motion.

OPEN FACULTY MEETINGS. Mr. R.C. James gave notice that he would move at the June 1967 faculty meeting that the faculty act to create, from available space, a public gallery to seat, on an unreserved first-come, first-served basis, those who wish to witness the regular meetings of this faculty.

CAMPUS DRY ZONE. Mr. R. D. Horn, chairman of the Student-Faculty Council, gave notice that he would move at the June 1967 faculty meeting, on behalf of the Council: That the general University faculty request that the City Council of Eugene no longer refuse applications for liquor licenses on the basis of nearness to the campus of the University of Oregon; and that the faculty direct its secretary to transmit this motion to the City Council of Eugene.

ADJOURNMENT. Mr. Ward's motion to adjourn until 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 10, 1967 was then put to a vote and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE FACULTY

May 10, 1967

The meeting was called to order by President Flemming.

SPECIAL RULES FOR CONDUCT OF FACULTY BUSINESS. The President read from the minutes of the regular May 3, 1967 meeting the section showing the present state of the Faculty Senate motion concerned with special rules for the conduct of faculty business (pp. 199, above). He then ruled that the motion, as an amendment of the rules of order of the faculty, would require a two-thirds vote for adoption.

Mr. George Struble moved to amend paragraph (2) of the motion to read: "Any such special rule proposed by the Senate shall be presented at a meeting of the faculty only if it has been distributed to the faculty in writing, along with the substantive matter to which it would apply if not already distributed in the minutes of the faculty, before the day of the faculty meeting for which the item of business is scheduled." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. Struble then moved to amend paragraph (3) by changing the phrase "majority vote" to "a two-thirds vote." The motion was seconded, and, after discussion, put to a vote and carried.

Mr. J.R. Klonoski moved to amend by adding: "(4) This legislation shall be reviewed by this body under a rule of unlimited debate at the May 1968 meeting of the faculty." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. Robert Friedman inquired whether, if the Senate motion is adopted, the faculty would have the power to extend debate once it had been limited under a special rule. The President stated that the faculty would have this power, but that extension would require a two-thirds vote.

In the course of a discussion of the timing of the distribution of proposed special rules to the faculty, Mr. Bower Aly moved to amend by providing that proposed rules be placed in the campus mail within forty-eight hours after approval by the Senate. The motion was seconded. Mr. Struble suggested a provision that the proposed rules be placed in the campus mail four days before the faculty meeting. Mr. Hans Linde suggested a provision that the proposed rules be delivered to members not less than two days before the faculty meeting. Mr. Aly indicated that he would not accept these suggestions. His motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

After further discussion, the principal motion as amended was put to a vote and carried by a two-thirds vote.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESEARCH GRANTS. Mr. George Streisinger moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas defense research is antithetical to the humanistic purposes of a University, and



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

University of Oregon Assembly records were scanned from photocopies of the originals and converted to PDF files during March 2007 by Peter B Gilkey 202 Deady Hall, Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403-1222, U.S.A. Phone 1-541-346-4717 Email: peter.gilkey.cc.67@aya.yale.edu of Deady Spider Enterprises