The meeting was called to order by President Clark. The chairman called attention to the rule that visitors should be seated in the designated visitors section, and that voting faculty members should be seated in the faculty section. He stated that the only exceptions to the rule are that (1) the president of the Associated Students and one additional student designated by him and (2) representatives of communication agencies may be seated in the faculty section. The minutes of the meeting of October 1, 1969 were approved.

REPORT OF ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS COMMITTEE. Mr. Paul Clivin, chairman of the Academic Requirements Committee, presented the following report on behalf of his committee:

Faculty legislation of October 4, 1967 provides that "Any instructor may change a grade he has formerly issued by filing the appropriate form with the Registrar."

Faculty legislation of April 3, 1968 provides that "The student may change the basis of grading in individual courses by filing a course change card in the Registrar's Office on or before Friday of the sixth week of classes. The student's indication of the basis for grading, either at the beginning of the term or by a change of indication as herein provided, shall be binding on both the student and the instructor providing that the election of grading basis is in conformity with department policy."

The Academic Requirements Committee wishes to advise the faculty that, in the view of the wording and the dates of the two indicated items of legislation, the committee and the Registrar are interpreting a change of basis for grade as being other than a change in grade and that, as a consequence, the choice of grading system by a student (i.e., pass-no pass or letter grade A, B, C, D, F) that is prevailing at the close of Friday of the sixth week of a term is binding on both student and instructor, providing that it is in conformity with departmental policy.

"PASS" CREDIT. Mr. N. D. Sundberg moved, on behalf of himself and co-sponsors R. S. Harris, W. M. Ruff, and C. W. Schminke, that the minimum of 186 term hours of credit required for a bachelor's degree may include: (a) 36 hours of credit with a mark of "pass," and, in addition, (b) credit with a mark of "pass" in any courses which are offered only on a no-grade basis and are required for a major in the student's major department, school, or college.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. C. P. Patton, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Sundberg spoke to his motion and Mr. Earl Pomeroy, Senate reporter at this meeting, summarized the Senate discussion. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

VIOLENCE AND THREATS OF VIOLENCE. Mrs. Annette Porter moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Higher education has for its more than two thousand years of tradition held the human mind and spirit as its highest value. To manipulate another person through force or deception or fear is to reduce him to the level of a thing. This degradation is as corrupting to the perpetrator as to the victim, since it betrays the common humanity of both.

Therefore, be it resolved that the faculty of the University strongly repudiate the present trend among some members of university communities to promulgate or threaten violence in the pursuit of their goals, as contrary to the values of higher education.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that, at the proper time, he would move on behalf of the Faculty Senate to table Mrs. Porter's motion, for the reason that the faculty's condemnation of campus violence has been expressed through sanctions incorporated in the Code of Student Conduct and that the University's views and policy in regard to campus violence have been
clearly stated in Presidents Clark's address to the faculty at its October 1, 1969 meeting, which is incorporated in the minutes of that meeting.

Mrs. Porter then spoke to her motion. In the course of discussion, remarks by a member of the faculty in opposition to the motion were greeted by applause from the visitors section. At Mr. A. F. Mouraud's request, the chairman reminded the visitors that their privilege of attendance at faculty meetings does not include comment on the debate through applause. Mr. Patton then moved that Mrs. Porter's motion be tabled. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT. In the absence of Mr. W. T. Simpson, chairman of an ad hoc committee on the Code of Student Conduct, Mr. R. C. Ziller moved on behalf of the committee that the faculty endorse and adopt the proposals and recommendations contained in the May 1969 committee report, including the amendments and deletions to the present Student Conduct Program as set forth in the annex to the May report.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton moved on behalf of the Faculty Senate that consideration be postponed pending the completion of a review of the report of the ad hoc committee by the standing Student Conduct Committee. Mr. Patton's motion was seconded. Mr. Ziller stated that he would not oppose the Senate motion, but suggested that postponement might be limited to one month. Mr. Pomeroy summarized the Senate discussion. Mr. A. F. Rubin moved to amend by providing that postponement be for one month. Mr. Rubin's motion was seconded and, after discussion, put to a vote and defeated. Mr. Patton's motion was then put to a vote and carried.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY. Mr. J. N. Tattersall moved that there shall be a faculty committee to be known as the Committee on Educational Opportunity, with five faculty and four student members, two of whom shall be graduate students. The committee shall advise the President of the University, the faculty, and other appropriate authorities on the development of policies pertaining to programs for the disadvantaged and/or minority groups. Specific functions of the committee shall include the following:

(a) Review of the experience of educational opportunity programs at other institutions of higher education with the objective of applying the best known practices at the University of Oregon.

(b) Study and evaluation of existing educational opportunity programs at the University of Oregon and, until further notice, report on them to the faculty in writing at least annually.

(c) Review of proposals for program expansions and for new programs in the areas of educational opportunity.

(d) Development of recommendations on sources of finance, and provision of technical assistance in the development of applications for financial support.

(e) Maintenance of liaison with appropriate interested persons and groups, including faculty committees with related interests, the Office of Minority Relations, and the Associated Students of the University of Oregon.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption, Mr. Tattersall spoke to his motion, and Mr. Pomeroy summarized the Senate discussion. In the course of his remarks, Mr. Tattersall pointed out that the motion now presented differs from the motion of which he had given notice at the October 1969 meeting by the deletion of all phrases and clauses concerning ethnic studies. He stated that he proposed to give notice, at the proper time at this meeting, that he would move at the December 1969 faculty meeting for the establishment of a separate standing Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies, as follows: "There shall be a faculty committee, to be known as the Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies, consisting of four faculty members and four students. It shall advise the faculty and the President on the creation of an ethnic studies institute, its location within the University of Oregon, and its programs."

Mr. J. R. Klonoski suggested that the proposal for a Committee on Ethnic Studies might be added to Mr. Tattersall's motion as a second part. The chairman stated that, under the rules of the faculty, the creation of a standing committee requires notice of motion, that the motion of which Mr.
Tattersall gave notice at the October faculty meeting did not provide for the creation of a separate standing Committee on Ethnic Studies, and that the amendment suggested by Mr. Klonoski would therefore be out of order.

Mr. Klonoski then moved to amend Mr. Tattersall's motion to provide, as Part 2, that there shall be a faculty committee, to be known as the Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies, consisting of four faculty members and four students. It shall advise the faculty and the President on the creation of an ethnic studies institute, its location within the University of Oregon, and its programs. It shall report to the faculty at the December meeting of that body. The motion was seconded.

The chairman ruled that Mr. Klonoski's motion was in order only if it was for the establishment of an ad hoc committee, to complete its duties with a report to the faculty in December. Mr. Klonoski indicated that this was his intent. Mr. George Struble appealed from the ruling of the chair. The appeal being put to a vote, the chair was sustained.

Mr. Rubin moved to amend Mr. Klonoski's motion by deleting the words "consisting of four faculty members and four students" and substituting "to be appointed by the President." Mr. Rubin's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated.

Mr. K. W. Porter moved to amend Mr. Klonoski's motion by deleting the sentence, "It shall report to the faculty at the December meeting of that body." The motion was seconded. The chairman ruled that, with Mr. Porter's proposed amendment, Mr. Klonoski's motion would be out of order, since the amendment would remove from the motion all words indicating that the committee would be an ad hoc, not a standing, committee.

After further discussion, Mr. E. A. Cykler moved the previous question on Mr. Klonoski's amendment. The motion was seconded, put to a vote by a show of hands, and carried: yes, 152; no, 29. Mr. Klonoski's amendment was then put to a vote by a show of hands and carried: yes, 90; no, 85. Mr. R. L. Bowlin, Mr. Fred Mohr, and Mr. Struble served as tellers for these and other divisions during the meeting.

Mr. Arthur Pearl moved, as a substitute for Part 1 of Mr. Tattersall's motion, that the functions proposed in this motion be turned back to the directors of the several programs for disadvantaged students. The motion was seconded. Mr. Ivan Niven requested that the meeting be recessed while Mr. Pearl composed a written version of his motion. The chairman declared a recess, after which Mr. Pearl restated his substitute motion, as follows:

That the President of the University of Oregon request the directors of all University programs generally identified with the disadvantaged student to constitute a committee. This committee shall be charged with the responsibility of examining and suggesting appropriate ways in which all programs, initiated to promote educational opportunities for the disadvantaged student, may best become an integral part of the University community. Recommendations of the committee are to be reported to the faculty for consideration at the earliest possible date.

Mr. Bower Aly rose to a point of order, questioning whether a motion to substitute can properly apply to one part of a two-part motion--Mr. Tattersall's motion now being a two-part motion since the adoption of Mr. Klonoski's amendment. Mr. O. J. Hollis stated that Mr. Pearl's motion would be in order if it took the form of a proposal to strike all of Mr. Tattersall's motion down to Part 2, and to substitute Mr. Pearl's words for Part 1. Mr. Pearl indicated that this was his intent.

Mr. Hans Linde moved to amend Mr. Pearl's motion to provide that Mr. Pearl's words be adopted as an addition to Part 1 of the principal motion, not as a substitute. Mr. Linde's motion was seconded and, after discussion, put to a vote and defeated. Mr. Pearl's amendment was then put to a vote and declared defeated by the chairman. A division being called for, a vote by a show of hands was recorded: yes, 28; no, 134.

Mr. Rubin moved that Part 1 of the principal motion be amended by deleting the words in the first paragraph, "with five faculty and four student members, two of whom shall be graduate students," and substituting "to be appointed by the President." The motion was seconded. Mr. Niven moved the previous question; the motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. Mr. Rubin's motion was then put to a vote and defeated.
Mr. J. W. Crawford moved the previous question on the principal motion as amended; and motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. Mr. Tattersall's motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

GRADING SYSTEM. Mr. Pearl, co-sponsor with Mr. J. C. Sherwood of a motion concerning the grading system, notice of which was given at the October 1969 faculty meeting, yielded the floor to Mr. Civin, who moved on behalf of the Academic Requirements Committee:

That the President of the University of Oregon appoint an ad hoc committee consisting of faculty and students to consider possible modification in the grading system used at the University of Oregon. In reporting any alternative to the present grading system, the committee shall indicate the modifications that would be necessary in other faculty legislation to permit internal consistency on matters currently dependent on the grading system. The committee shall also report to the faculty on the acceptability, for transfer to other academic institutions or admission to graduate or professional schools, of any proposed alternative grading proposal. The committee shall report its findings to the faculty in sufficient time for the faculty to act upon them no later than the May meeting of 1970.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Pearl stated that he and his co-sponsor endorsed Mr. Civin's motion. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

TERMINATION OF R.O.T.C. CONTRACTS. Mr. Andrew Thompson gave notice that he would move at the December 1969 faculty meeting: (1) That the Air Force and Army R.O.T.C. contracts be terminated by the end of fall term, 1970. (2) If the Air Force or the Army indicate willingness to consider different relations with the University, relations comparable to those available to other employers, a committee be appointed to explore and develop suitable alternatives.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ETHNIC STUDIES. Mr. Tattersall gave notice that he would move at the December 1969 faculty meeting: That there shall be a faculty committee, to be known as the Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies, consisting of four faculty members and four students. It shall advise the faculty and the President on the creation of an ethnic studies institute, its location within the University of Oregon, and its programs.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. President Clark commented briefly on enrollment trends and problems which the University will face next year because of legislative restrictions on out-of-state enrollment, and on the University's developing programs to meet the needs of students from minority groups. He then read a statement concerning living conditions in University dormitories and on steps being taken to assess the problem and to find ways of improving unsatisfactory conditions. A copy of the statement is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY
December 3, 1969

The meeting was called to order by President Clark. The minutes of the meeting of the November 5, 1969 were approved.

FACULTY SENATE ELECTIONS. The secretary announced that, through recent special elections, the following persons have been elected members of the Faculty Senate: By the minor faculty of the College of Liberal Arts—R. A. Littman, Aaron Novick (one newly authorized member and a successor to M. J. Cohen). By the minor faculty of the College of Business Administration—R. A. Strom (succeeding D. S. Tull). By the minor faculty of the School of Community Service and Public
Affairs—W. E. Schafer (a newly authorized member). By the minor faculty of the School of Music—G. M. Martin (succeeding J. A. Miller). By the general faculty of the University—P. J. Powers, V.S. Sprague (newly authorized members). The terms of the members elected by the minor faculties expire in June 1970; the terms of the members elected by the general faculty expire in June 1971.

JANUARY FACULTY MEETING. The chairman announced that, in accordance with faculty legislation, the regular January 1970 faculty meeting will be held on the second Wednesday of the month, January 14.

REPORT OF AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ETHNIC STUDIES. Mr. B. N. Siegel, chairman of an ad hoc Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies, established at the November 1969 faculty meeting, presented the first and final report of his committee. A copy of the report is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

DEFINITION OF VOTING FACULTY. At the chairman's request, the secretary stated the definition of the voting faculty of the University: (1) all persons holding the academic rank of assistant professor or above; and (2) all persons holding the rank of instructor or senior instructor who are employed in the full-time teaching of courses, giving instruction exclusively in schools, colleges, and departments that offer work for university credit.

TERMINATION OF R.O.T.C. CONTRACTS. Mr. Andrew Thompson moved: (1) That the Air Force and Army R.O.T.C. contracts be terminated by the end of fall term, 1970. (2) If the Air Force or the Army indicate willingness to consider different relations with the University, relations comparable to those available to other employers, a committee be appointed to explore and develop suitable alternatives. The motion was seconded.

Mr. C. P. Patton, secretary of the Faculty Senate, moved on behalf of the Senate that action be postponed pending the completion of the report from the ad hoc committee charged with investigating the curriculum of the R.O.T.C. program. The motion to postpone having been seconded, Mr. R. J. Donnelly, Senate reporter at this meeting, summarized the Senate discussion; after further discussion, the motion was put to a vote by a show of hands and carried: yes, 152; no, 70. Mr. R. L. Bowlin, Mr. Fred Mohr, and George Struble served as tellers for this and other divisions.

RECOMMENDATION FOR CONFERRAL OF DEGREES. The secretary read the following letter from Mr. D. E. Rhoades, University Registrar: Will you please present to the faculty at the December meeting my certification that the Official Degree List for exercises of December 12, 1969 will include all and only those degree candidates who completed their degree requirements by the end of fall term, 1969? Mr. Paul E. Olin moved that the faculty of the University of Oregon recommend that the Oregon State Board of Higher Education confer upon the persons whose names are included in the Official Degree List, compiled by the University Registrar after the December 12, 1969 Graduation Convocation, the degrees for which they have completed all requirements. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION. Mr. Siegel moved the adoption of the following resolution:

(1) That the faculty thank the ad hoc Committee on Group Requirements for its preliminary report and, recognizing the broader implications of the report, rename the committee the ad hoc Committee on Undergraduate Education and expand its membership of both faculty members and students.

(2) That the faculty charge the expanded committee with (a) an investigation of the whole existing structure of undergraduate degree requirements in order to ascertain the educational goals served by these requirements; (b) an investigation of the present organization of instruction and the extent to which that organization serves the educational goals of the students and the University; (c) an investigation of possible rearrangements and additions to the present structure of undergraduate degree requirements; and (d) an investigation of new methods of organizing instruction.

(3) That the committee continue to take testimony from students and faculty, and from appropriate members of the public, and inform itself of developments in other institutions of higher learning, in the public schools, and in the literature on pedagogy and organization of learning.
(4) That the committee introduce legislation in the manner and at the time it feels appropriate, without feeling it necessary to place a completely integrated set of plans before the faculty.

(5) That the Administration be requested to provide the committee with secretarial help and a budget for the purpose of carrying out its charge.

(6) And that the committee continue to operate until such time as the faculty determines that it has discharged its duties.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommend its adoption, Mr. Siegel spoke to his motion, and Mr. Donnelly summarized the Senate discussion.

Mr. J. P. Maddex moved to amend by changing the last clause of paragraph (1) to read: "...and expand its membership to six faculty members and six students." The motion to amend was seconded and, after discussion, put to a vote and defeated. The principal motion was then put to a vote and carried.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ETHNIC STUDIES. Mr. J. N. Tattersall moved that there shall be a faculty committee to be known as the Advisory Committee on Ethnic Studies consisting of faculty and students. It shall advise the faculty and the President on the prompt development of ethnic studies at the University through an institute or other means. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption, Mr. Tattersall spoke to his motion, and Mr. Donnelly summarized the Senate discussion.

Mr. L. L. Lovell moved to amend by adding at the end of the first sentence, "including at least as many students as faculty." The motion to amend was seconded. In the course of discussion, Miss Sonja Sweek, vice-president of the Associated Students, asked permission to yield the floor to another student. The chairman stated that two students, including Miss Sweek, had already spoken on Mr. Lovell's amendment, that faculty legislation limits the number of students with the privilege of the floor at any meeting to two, and that Miss Sweek's request was therefore out of order. After further discussion, Mr. Bower Aly moved the previous question on Mr. Lovell's amendment: the motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried. Mr. Lovell's amendment was then put to a vote by a show of hands and defeated: yes, 81; no, 132. The principal motion was then put to a vote and carried.

CONVOCATION RESOLUTION. Mr. Mohr moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas: Oregonians are realizing the uniqueness of the vast areas of unspoiled wilderness in their state.

Whereas: The state of Oregon, among the fifty states, and indeed among many other countries, stands yet to lose irreplaceable natural wealth to pollution, population pressure, and mismanagement of resources.

Whereas: The University of Oregon community through thousands of students and dozens of faculty members has expressed grave concern and doubt about the matter of our very survival.

Whereas: The A.S.U.O. have called for a University and School District 4J high school time-out and suspension of classes to consider these three basic and vital problems: (1) population; (2) environment; (3) hunger.

Whereas: The objective of this time-out shall be twofold, namely, (1) development of curriculum in each department of the University and for area high schools that addresses itself to alternative solutions to these problems, and (2) the establishment of a research and data center, unprecedented in this state, that will attack these problems on a state-wide basis.

Whereas: These days of concentrated concern, whether they be ultimately named a symposium, a Convocation II, or a project, shall be dedicated to the memory of Charles E. Johnson, and shall take place the first week or two of spring term, 1970.

Therefore: Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon gives its support to the principles and objectives of such Convocation and will participate in the programs in order to find solutions for the problems of population, environment and hunger.
The motion having been seconded, Mr. S. B. Greenfield rose to a point of order, suggesting that the motion involved a change of policy and required notice. At the chairman's request, Mr. O. J. Hollis commented on the point of order raised by Mr. Greenfield; he called attention to the fact that the resolution proposed the faculty's endorsement of a "time-out and suspension of classes" during "the first week or two of spring term, 1970" and stated that, in his opinion, such a proposal involved a change of policy and required notice. The chairman so ruled, but suggested that the policy question was raised principally by the fourth "whereas" clause. Mr. Mohr agreed to delete this clause from his motion. The chairman then ruled that the motion, with this clause deleted was in order. Mr. K. W. Porter appealed from the ruling of the chair. The appeal being put to a vote, the chair was sustained.

After further discussion, Mr. Aly moved that further consideration of Mr. Mohr's motion be postponed until the next meeting of the faculty. Mr. Aly's motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. C. L. Johannessen inquired whether notice of motion was required to bring Mr. Mohr's motion before the faculty in January. Mr. Hollis stated that no notice of motion was required, that the motion would be automatically referred to the Faculty Senate for consideration before the January faculty meeting, and that Mr. Mohr would have the privilege of revising his resolution before Senate consideration or in the light of Senate recommendations.

Mr. A. P. Rubin proposed certain modifications of the resolution as presented which might remove the basis for objections which had led to the motion to postpone consideration. The chairman ruled that Mr. Rubin's proposal would be out of order, since the resolution would still be substantially identical with the resolution whose consideration has been postponed. Mr. Rubin appealed from the ruling of the chair. The appeal being put to a vote, the chair was sustained.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY PROGRAMS. Mr. Robert Campbell gave notice that he would move at the January 1970 faculty meeting the adoption of the following resolution:

Whereas: The University of Oregon is firmly committed to the support of educational opportunity programs.

Whereas: Many faculty members and staff welcome an opportunity to make personal financial contributions to these programs.

Whereas: Many members of the faculty and staff wish to contribute by means of monthly payroll deductions.

Therefore: Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon instructs the Administration to establish payroll-deductions procedures, similar to those now used for giving to United Appeal, for faculty and staff contributions to the Project Advantage account with the Development Fund.

RULES FOR CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS. Mr. Patton gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, at the January 1970 faculty meeting that any resolution which, if adopted, would purport to express the corporate opinion of the University of Oregon faculty on any issue shall be brought before the faculty by one or the other of the following procedures:

(A) If the content of the proposed resolution would effect a change in University policy, the resolution shall be brought before the faculty under the notice-of-motion rule governing regular faculty business.

(B) If the content of the proposed resolution would not effect a change in University policy, the resolution may be considered under the procedure described in (A), above, or shall be considered under the following special rule of order:

(1) The measure shall be distributed, in written form, so that it is in the hands of the voting faculty members not later than ten days before the faculty meeting at which the resolution is to be introduced, debated, and presumably voted upon.

(2) The Faculty Senate, at its meeting prior to the above-mentioned faculty meeting, shall consider the resolution, and report the recommendation of the Senate to the faculty.
(3) Resolutions expressing the faculty's appreciation of the services of retiring officials of the University or the State System of Higher Education and memorials concerning deceased faculty members or other persons shall not be subject to the notice-of-motion rule or to the special rules set forth above.

(4) In accordance with Robert's Rules of Order, the special rule set forth above in paragraphs numbered (1) and (2) may be suspended by a two-thirds vote of members present at any faculty meeting.

DEFINITION OF CLASS STANDING. Mr. Patton gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, at the January 1970 faculty meeting that the faculty legislation of May 31, 1950 on classification of students be amended to provide that regular undergraduate students be classified according to the number of credits earned toward requirements for degrees: freshmen with 0-44 term hours, sophomores with 45-89 term hours, junior with 90-134 term hours, senior with 135 or more term hours.

ADMISSION TO READING AND CONFERENCE COURSES. Mr. Civin, chairman of the Academic Requirements Committee, gave notice that he would move, on behalf of his committee, at the January 1970 faculty meeting that the legislation of March 1938 to the effect "That registration of undergraduates in all courses designated as 'Reading and Conference' shall be restricted to students who are entitled to honors privileges" be repealed.

RESIDENCE REQUIREMENT IN SCHOOL OF NURSING. Mr. Civin gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the Academic Requirements Committee, at the January 1970 faculty meeting that the legislation of December 2, 1959, reading "After June 1961, candidates for the bachelor's degree at the University of Oregon must have 45 of the last 60 hours credit in residence at the University in Eugene, or at the Medical or Dental School in Portland, or in any other integral unit of the University of Oregon," be amended by adding the following: "For students officially enrolled in the School of Nursing, residence with respect to a degree in nursing shall include any work taken in any branch of the State System of Higher Education (including the Division of Continuing Education) provided that (1) it is required for satisfaction of a program adopted by the faculty of the School of Nursing and (2) the work is not concurrently offered by the School of Nursing."

CONVOCATION RESOLUTION. Mr. R. P. Friedman moved the adoption of a resolution consisting of the first, second, third, and sixth "whereas" clauses of Mr. Mohr's resolution and the following words from the final clause of the same resolution: "Therefore: Be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon gives its support to the principles and objectives of such Convocation" (see page 3). The motion was seconded.

Mr. Civin moved to amend by changing the first work of the final "whereas" clause from "These" to "Any." Mr. Friedman accepted this change as a part of his motion. Mr. Aly moved to strike the following words from the final "whereas" clause: "and shall take place the first week or two of spring term, 1970." Mr. Friedman accepted this change as a part of his motion. Mr. Friedman's motion, as revised, was then put to a vote and carried.

QUORUM RULE. Mr. G. A. Love gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the University chapter of the American Association of University Professors, at the January 1970 faculty meeting that at faculty meetings 100 members of the University faculty shall constitute a quorum.

ENGLISH COMPOSITION REQUIREMENTS. Mr. Roland Bartel gave notice that he would move at the January 1970 faculty meeting (1) that the requirement for a bachelor's degree, "English Composition, 9 term hours, unless excused," adopted by the faculty on April 5, 1933, be amended to read, "English Composition, 6 term hours unless excused"; and (2) that the following courses be specified for the satisfaction of this requirement: W 121, English Composition. 3 hours, W 323, English Composition. 3 hours.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. Mr. J. W. Parrin asked what steps are being taken to alleviate the critical shortage of funds for the University Library. In reply, President Clark stated that the University, overall, is faced with critical financial problems which will continue through to the end of the current biennium. He assured the faculty that he is making every effort, with the cooperation of the Budget Committee, to analyze the causes of the problems and to find both short-term and long-term remedies. The University Library has suffered especially from the custom of tying State System budgets to enrollment
growth and of judging library needs of the several institutions of the System by in-state standards and comparisons. There is, however, no in-state standard by which the excellences, deficiencies, and needs of the University of Oregon Library can be judged. The University librarian is now undertaking a study of the Library situation in relation to comparable university libraries in other states. This will show us where we really are in Library support and development. The President indicated that, with his own academic background, he is particularly sensitive to the necessity of excellent library resources in a University.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

January 14, 1970

MINUTES OF JANUARY FACULTY MEETING. The minutes of the January 14, 1970 faculty meeting are as follows:

The members having assembled, President Clark asked visitors who were seated in the faculty section or standing in the aisles to take seats in the visitors' gallery. After repeated requests, certain visitors still refused to move. One person seated in the faculty section rose to address the faculty. The President stated that he had not yet called the meeting to order, and that, when the meeting was called to order, the faculty would proceed with the business on its agenda under standing rules limiting visitors' privilege of the floor to two designated students. He then inquired whether the speaker was a member of the voting faculty; it was determined that he was not. Though several visitors still refused to move to the visitors' gallery, the chairman called the meeting to order.

Mr. O. J. Hollis then moved that the meeting be adjourned, subject to the call of the President. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

February 4, 1970

The meeting was called to order by President Clark. The minutes of the January 14, 1970 meeting were approved.

PRESIDENT'S STATEMENT. President Clark made a statement about two matters of concern to the faculty: The issue of students or other non-faculty persons appearing before the general faculty at a regular meeting to make statements or engage in discussion, and the matters incidental to the visit on February 3, 1970 by representatives of the Weyerhaeuser Company for the purpose of interviewing potential employees from among the student body. The full text of the President's statement is attached to these minutes. (See Appendix)

COMMITTEE-OF-THE-WHOLE. Mr. Charles Duncan moved that the faculty suspend the rules so that the body might resolve itself as a committee-of-the-whole for the purpose of hearing for a time, not to exceed ten minutes, a statement from the ASUO President or Vice-President, or a person designated by either of them. The motion was put to a vote and carried: yes, 210; no, 12. Mr. George Struble, Mr. Gregory Maltby, and Mr. Lewis Ward served as tellers for this and other divisions. ASUO Vice-President Sonja Sweene designated Mr. Jeffrey Freed, who then addressed the faculty.

ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT. Mr. Ed Cykler reported for the Advisory Council the nature of its extensive work load and its functions in reviewing proposed faculty promotion and tenure cases. A copy of the report is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.
CONVOCATION RESOLUTION. Mr. Fred Mohr informed the faculty that he was withdrawing his motion for a spring term convocation, notice of which was given at the December 3, 1969 meeting.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS. Mr. Robert Campbell informed the faculty that he was withdrawing his December 3, 1969 motion calling for the establishment of payroll-deduction procedures to enable faculty members and staff to contribute by that means to educational opportunity programs because investigation showed this not to be a legal procedure.

RULES FOR CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS. Mr. Clyde Patton moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that any resolution which, if adopted, would purport to express the corporate opinion of the University of Oregon faculty on any issue shall be brought before the faculty by one or the other of the following procedures:

(A) If the content of the proposed resolution would effect a change in University policy, the resolution shall be brought before the faculty under the notice-of-motion rule governing regular faculty business.

(B) If the content of the proposed resolution would not effect a change in University policy, the resolution may be considered under the procedure described in (A) above, or shall be considered under the following special rule of order:

(1) The measure shall be distributed, in written form, so that it is in the hands of the voting faculty members not later than ten days before the faculty meeting at which the resolution is to be introduced, debated, and presumably voted upon.

(2) The Faculty Senate, at its meeting prior to the above-mentioned faculty meeting, shall consider the resolution and report the recommendation of the Senate to the faculty.

(3) Resolutions expressing the faculty's appreciation of the services of retiring officials of the University or the State System of Higher Education and memorials concerning deceased faculty members or other persons shall not be subject to the notice-of-motion rule or to the special rules set forth above.

(4) In accordance with Robert's Rules of Order, the special rule set forth above in paragraphs numbered (1) and (2) may be suspended by a two-thirds vote of members present at any faculty meeting.

The motion was seconded. Mr. Orlando Hollis, in the absence of the Senate Reporter, Mr. R. J. Donnelly, summarized the Senate discussion. The motion was put to a vote, and carried.

DEFINITION OF CLASS STANDING. Mr. Clyde Patton moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that the faculty legislation of May 31, 1950 on classification of students be amended to provide that regular undergraduate students be classified according to the number of credits earned toward requirements for degrees: freshmen with 0-44 term hours, sophomore with 45-89 term hours, junior with 90-134 term hours, senior with 135 or more term hours. The motion was seconded, and Mr. Orlando Hollis, in the absence of the Senate Reporter, Mr. R. J. Donnelly, summarized the Senate discussion. After discussion, the motion was put to a vote and carried.

ADMISSION TO READING AND CONFERENCE COURSES. Mr. Paul Cavin moved, on behalf of the Academic Requirements Committee, that the legislation of March 1938, to the effect "That registration of undergraduates in all courses designated as 'Reading and Conference' shall be restricted to students who are entitled to honors privileges" be repealed. The motion was seconded, and Mr. Clyde Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Vernon Sprague, Senate Reporter at this meeting, summarized the Senate discussion. The motion was put to a vote and carried.

RESIDENCE REQUIREMENT FOR SCHOOL OF NURSING. Mr. Paul Cavin moved, on behalf of the Academic Requirements Committee, "For students officially enrolled in the School of Nursing, residence with respect to a degree in nursing shall include any work taken in any branch of the State System of Higher Education (including the Division of Continuing Education) providing that 1) it is required for satisfaction of a program adopted by the faculty of the School of Nursing, and
2) the work is not concurrently offered by the School of Nursing." The motion having been seconded, Mr. Clyde Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Vernon Sprague, Senate Reporter, summarized the Senate discussion. The motion was put to a vote and carried.

QUORUM RULE. Mr. Glen Love moved, on behalf of the University chapter of the American Association of University Professors, that at faculty meetings 100 members of the University faculty shall constitute a quorum. The motion was seconded, and Mr. Clyde Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended against its adoption. Mr. Vernon Sprague, Senate Reporter, summarized the Senate discussion. A member of the faculty moved that the motion be amended to define a quorum as ten percent of the voting faculty. The amendment was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. After discussion, the original motion was put to a vote and defeated: yes, 89; no, 151.

ENGLISH COMPOSITION REQUIREMENT. Mr. Roland Bartel informed the faculty that he, for the present, was withdrawing his December, 1969 motion to modify the English composition requirement.

AD HOC COMMITTEE TO REVIEW ROTC CONTRACTS. Mr. Rubin moved "That the faculty of the University of Oregon requests the President to appoint an ad hoc committee to review the contracts under which ROTC courses are presently taught at the University. The committee should report its recommendations to the faculty in writing at least three weeks before the May faculty meeting." The motion was seconded, and Mr. Clyde Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. The Senate discussion was summarized by Mr. Vernon Sprague, Senate Reporter. Mr. Bower Aly moved that the faculty receive and refer the motion to the existing 'ad hoc committee to study ROTC curriculum.' The motion was seconded. Mr. Vernon Sprague, chairman of the existing ad hoc committee, reported that his committee will release its report soon, and that although his committee had reviewed the contracts as related to the committee's charge, it was not prepared to make recommendations called for by Mr. Rubin's motion. Mr. Aly's motion was put to a vote and defeated. Mr. Rubin agreed to change the reporting date in his motion to April, 1970. After discussion, the motion was put to a vote and carried.

PROGRAM OF INDEPENDENT STUDY IN THE COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION. Mr. Paul Swadener informed the faculty that, for the present, he wished to withdraw his February, 1970 motion to authorize an independent study program in the College of Business Administration.

REORGANIZATION OF THE GRADUATE COUNCIL. Miss Leona Tyler advised the faculty that she wished to hold over her motion given by mail in January, 1970 for the next regular faculty meeting.

CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT. Mr. Frank Lacy moved, on behalf of the Student Conduct Committee, that the Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Student Conduct Code be referred to the Student Conduct Committee for further study and recommendation to the faculty, from time to time, for disposition of the several proposals therein. And, further, that the ad hoc committee be discharged. The motion was seconded. Mr. Clyde Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Robert Harris, Senate Reporter, summarized the Senate discussion. Mr. Willard Simpson moved, on behalf of the faculty members of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Student Conduct Code, "that the proposals in the Committee's May 28, 1969 Report be brought forward for faculty consideration according to the following schedule:

  Parts 1-2 at the March Faculty Meeting
  Parts 3-4-5 at the April Faculty Meeting
  Parts 6-7 at the May Faculty Meeting."

The amendment was seconded. After discussion, Mr. Bower Aly moved to divide Mr. Simpson's motion into two sections to be voted upon separately (the full report to be brought forward, and the schedule). Mr. Aly's motion was seconded, put to a vote and carried.

The President, after having the text of Mr. Simpson's motion handed to him for his perusal, ruled that that motion and Mr. Aly's motion were out of order as they were not relevant to the main motion to refer it. Mr. Lan Niven then moved that Mr. Lacy's motion be amended to require the Student Conduct Committee to bring before the faculty, in whatever installments the Committee chooses, the entire report of the ad hoc committee on the Student Conduct Code. The motion was seconded, Mr. Rubin moved to amend the motion by calling for the complete
report to be brought before the faculty four weeks before the May, 1970 meeting of the faculty. Mr. Rubin's motion was seconded; after discussion, it was put to a vote and defeated: yes, 39; no, 55. Mr. Niven's motion was then put to a vote and carried.

STUDENT CONDUCT CODE: UNIVERSITY APPEALS BOARD. Mr. Frank Lacy gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the Student Conduct Committee, at the March, 1970 faculty meeting that the legislative and judicial functions of the existing Student Conduct Committee be separated by the establishment of the University Appeals Board which shall assume the judicial and appeals functions presently assigned to the Student Conduct Committee under the Student Conduct Code. Specifically, he will move that the faculty adopt the following amendments to the Code of Student Conduct:

I.F. University Appeals Board

1. The University Appeals Board, by faculty legislation and by delegation of the President of the University is the final appeals body under the Student Conduct Code.

2. The Board shall consist of three student members recommended by the President of the ASUO, and three faculty members, each a member of the University community in good standing, and shall be appointed by the President of the University. A quorum shall consist of two students and two faculty members. Terms of membership shall be one year from the time of appointment. Members may be reappointed, but no member may serve more than two consecutive terms. The President of the University may appoint temporary members to the Board to serve during such times as are necessary to assure full membership of the Board. The Board shall elect its own Chairman.

3. The Student Conduct Committee may appoint one of its members to serve as an additional voting member of the University Appeals Board. The presence of this member will not affect the Board's quorum.

4. The Board shall establish rules of procedure for itself, however, an affirmative vote of four members of the Board shall be necessary to overrule a decision of a lower court or to convict in cases in which no lower court has made a decision. Inability of the Board to make an affirmative decision to overrule or convict shall be deemed a decision to affirm or acquit.

Present Sections I.F. through I.H. will become I.G. through I.I. respectively.

I.A.4.c.-Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"

I.C.2. -Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"

I.D.3. -Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"

I.E.1. -Delete "for disposing of such individual cases as may properly come before it,"

************************************************************************************************************

The following amendments should be made by the Student Conduct Committee to become effective on the date the faculty adopts the above amendments:

II.A.8. -Substitute "University Appeals Board" for "Student Conduct Committee" in the first three sentences and again for the first "Student Conduct Committee" in the last sentence.

II.A.9. -Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"

II.C.12a.-Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Conduct Committee"

II.E.4.f.-Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"

II.E.6. -Add "University Appeals Board," so that the section reads "...does not refer the cases to the University Appeals Board, Student Court or an appropriate minor judicial tribunal...

II.E.8. -In the last two sentences substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee" and "Conduct Committee"
II.F.3. - Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"
II.F.5.a- Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee"
II.F.6. - Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee" and "Committee"

APPENDIX III - Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee" in the heading and in the body of the form.

COURSE WITHDRAWAL. Mr. Paul Cavin gave notice that he would move, on behalf of the Academic Requirements Committee, at the March 1970 faculty meeting that:

"Effective Spring Term 1970, a student may withdraw from a course by filing the appropriate form with the Registrar prior to the end of the eighth week of the term. For any withdrawal initiated by a first-term student during the first five weeks of a term or by any other student during the first three weeks of a term, the course enrollment will not be recorded on the student's permanent record card; otherwise, the course enrollment will be recorded with the mark of "W."

An instructor is authorized to report a mark of "W" for a student enrolled in his course when submitting grades to the Registrar.

If a student has not initiated a withdrawal in a course and the instructor has not reported a grade or mark at the time of submitting the final grade report, the Registrar is directed to record a mark of "W" (no grade reported) for any course for which a student has officially enrolled.

For purposes of interpretation with regard to other faculty legislation, the instructor may change any mark of "O," or "W" issued by him to any other authorized mark or grade by filing the appropriate notice with the Registrar."

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. The President, due to the late hour, postponed a report on the state of the University.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Robert L. Bowlin
Secretary, pro-temp

Appendix

Remarks to the Faculty
by Robert D. Clark, President
University of Oregon
February 4, 1970

I wish to make a statement about two matters which, though related by coincidence, are really two separate issues.

First, the issue of students or other non-faculty persons appearing before the general faculty at a regular meeting to make statements or to engage in discussion. I understand and sympathize with students who may wonder why they cannot address the faculty in an open meeting. They do not perceive that the faculty, at its regular meeting, is a legislative body, and that, if it is to do business, both its members and its visitors observe the procedural rules. A member of the faculty must speak to the motion that is before the house; he cannot discourse at will on any topic, however much it concerns him, unless he speaks to a motion, or is granted special privilege by the faculty. Every citizen of this country understands this principle and knows that he does not have the right to go to Salem or to Washington to demand a hearing on the floor of the Legislature or the Congress.

Nonetheless, I am conscious of the fact, and concerned, that our procedures do not facilitate the consideration of students' wants and needs. I have said publicly that we must improve procedures. That was apparent in the confusion surrounding a resolution sought by students in the December faculty meeting, a resolution subsequently approved by the faculty but not until misunderstanding and disaffection were engendered. I have suggested to the ASUO Senate and the
Faculty Senate that they give consideration to this problem at their prospective joint meeting. It is very possible that the Student-Faculty Council is an appropriate body to study this problem and make recommendations to the faculty.

In the meantime, events of last month and published statements in the *Emerald* indicate that the faculty may be subjected to renewed interruption. A year ago, breaking a long tradition, the faculty voted to admit observers including students and the press to its regular meeting. I strongly favor that policy. We ought to continue it. But it is also apparent that the faculty must make provision for executive sessions which can be called in case of emergency.

Hopefully, few such sessions will be necessary, and hopefully, they will permit the attendance of representatives of the press. I recommend such legislation to the faculty.

Second, the matters incidental to the visit to the campus yesterday (February 3) by representatives of the Weyerhaeuser Company for purpose of recruiting potential employees from among our students.

Basic to the character of the University of Oregon is its open campus tradition—set forth in a classic statement on the right of inquiry, free discourse, and respect for privacy. These traditions, and indeed the rules, of this University with respect to these matters are too well established and widely understood to warrant further elucidation at this time. What happened in and around the Placement Office in Susan Campbell Hall yesterday appears to be clearly in violation both of our tradition and our rules. Students legitimately seeking information about possible employment and career opportunities allegedly were denied access to that information, while at the same time visitors to the campus, here on legitimate business and at the University's invitation, were harassed and deprived of their rightful opportunity to carry out their assignment.

Such disruption of and interference with an activity which is appropriate to and consistent with the University's operation cannot be countenanced. It will not be tolerated.

I said to you last fall at the opening meeting of the faculty that the University must be responsible for order within its own community, that it ought, through its own procedures, to take any disciplinary action necessary to the observance of its own rules. For that reason, students who were engaged in yesterday's disruptive activities will be cited to appear before the appropriate disciplinary bodies.

However, I am told by many in the University Community that the Student Conduct Code is ineffective and cannot deal with the problem. Many concerned students are strongly of the opinion that our procedures are inept and that those guilty of infractions will be dealt with swiftly and equitably. I want to give these procedures every chance to prove themselves.

At the same time, we cannot stand idly by and allow this incident to go unnoticed if, indeed, the student courts or the Conduct Committee fail to function, or if the Code does not cover the disruptive incidents or if persons involved are not subject to the Code. In any event the following course is open to us:

The provisions of the Trespass law, enacted by the Legislature, were called to the attention of disrupters by a representative of my office, who requested them to desist and leave the building or be subject to arrest. For students, if the disciplinary procedures of the University fail to function, we shall proceed immediately to cite them to the courts. For non-students, to whom the Code does not apply, we shall ask the courts to act with all possible promptness.

I have one further word to state. If the student code proves not to be operative or effective, then we must proceed at once with emergency measures which will be in force until the code is revised and made effective. The policy of the State Board of Higher Education and the Administrative Code of the State provide that "Each institution, through its president, is charged with the responsibility for maintaining appropriate standards of conduct of its students, and is authorized to expel, dismiss, suspend, and place limitations on continued attendance and to levy reasonable fines and penalties for disciplinary violations."

If it becomes necessary, I shall, with the advice of the faculty, establish an ad hoc disciplinary committee to deal with cases of student disruption until
such time as the Conduct Code is made effective. I ask for faculty endorsement of this proposed action.

I have tried to be considerate and not arbitrary in this statement. I have tried to observe the rights of the students, but I feel equally obligated to protect those whose rights may have been infringed, and to protect the character of this University. I believe that the great majority of faculty and students will support me.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

March 4, 1970

The meeting was called to order by President Clark. The President stated that his attention has been called to the fact that a needed sentence is missing at the end of the first paragraph on page 4 of the February 4, 1970 minutes, relating to the faculty action on Mr. Lacy’s motion concerning the Code of Student Conduct: "The principal motion, as amended, was put to a vote and carried." With this sentence added, the minutes of the February 4 meeting were approved.

APRIL 1970 FACULTY MEETING. The President announced that the April faculty meeting will be held on the second Wednesday of the month, April 8, in order to enable the Faculty Senate to meet on April 1, after the opening of the spring term.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON THE CURRICULUM. Mr. C. P. Patton, Secretary of the Faculty Senate, moved on behalf of the Senate that the report of the Committee on the Curriculum, dated February 25, 1970, arabic-numbered pages 1-20, be approved (a copy of the report is filed in the office of the Secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes). The motion was seconded. Mr. Patton also stated that, at the proper time, he would offer certain amendments on behalf of the Senate. While the report was before the faculty, Mr. G. N. Belknap, secretary of the faculty, left the rostrum to assist Mr. M. D. Ross, acting chairman of the Committee on the Curriculum in the absence of the chairman, Mr. D. R. Truax, in the role as secretary of the committee. During this period, Mr. R. L. Dowlin served as secretary pro tempore.

Mr. Ross reported that the Graduate Council has reviewed committee recommendations involving graduate credit and has approved all such recommendations. The President then presented the report to the faculty, page by page, inviting questions and amendments as each page was before the Faculty.

When the presentation reached page 8, Mr. Patton moved, on behalf of the Senate, to amend by deleting the (G) from the following proposed new courses: SL 486, 487, 488. Czech and SL 490, 491, 492. Ukrainian. The motion to amend was seconded. Mr. Hans Linde, Senate reporter at this meeting, summarized the Senate discussion leading to this proposed amendment. Mr. Ross stated that the Senate action was reported to the Graduate Council, and that the Council approved the (G)’s in question. Mr. J. F. Beebe, member of the Russian faculty explained the nature and purpose of the instruction involved. Mr. Patton’s motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

When the presentation reached page 9, Mr. Patton moved, on behalf of the Senate, to amend by deleting the (G) from SL 480, 481, 482. Serbo-Croatian and SL 483, 484, 485. Polish, where the (G)’s were part of proposed changes in old courses. The motion was seconded. Mr. Ross stated that these changes were approved by the Graduate Council. Mr. Patton’s motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

The presentation of the report having been completed through page 20, the principal motion to approve was put to a vote and carried.

FACULTY ELECTION PROCEDURES. Mr. Patton reported that a calendar and procedures to govern Advisory Council and Faculty Senate elections, recommended to the Senate by the secretary of the faculty in accordance with legislation of June 4, 1969, have been approved by the Senate and are now in operation for the spring 1970 Advisory Council election.

STUDENTS’ PERMANENT ACADEMIC RECORDS. Mr. D. E. Rhoades, University Registrar and secretary of the Academic Requirements Committee and the Scholastic Deficiency
Committee, reported a revised definition of information to be included on students' permanent academic records, which has been approved by these committees. A copy of this report is filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes.

REORGANIZATION OF THE GRADUATE COUNCIL. Mr. A. R. Kitzhaber moved on behalf of the Graduate Council the adoption of the following motion, in the absence of Miss Leona E. Tyler, who gave notice through the campus mail in January 1970:

That the faculty legislation of June 4, 1952 and December 3, 1952, setting forth the composition, powers, and responsibilities of the Graduate Council be repealed and that the following legislation be adopted to replace it:

(1) That a Graduate Council, which shall also be an advisory committee to the dean of the Graduate School, be established, consisting of the following: four members from the faculty of the College of Liberal Arts, four members from the faculty of the professional schools and colleges having graduate programs leading to degrees, and the current president of the Graduate Student Council. The Council shall elect its own chairman annually.

(2) That the faculty members of the Graduate Council shall be elected for two-year terms by the general faculty at the same time as, and according to the same procedures as, the faculty Advisory Council is elected, with the exception of the provisos in items (3) through (5) below.

(3) That no two faculty members from the same liberal arts department, or from the same professional school or college, may serve at the same time.

(4) That those elected the first time shall draw lots to see that two liberal arts and two professional school members serve one-year terms, the others, two-year terms, to provide continuity.

(5) That members of the Council be eligible for re-election.

(6) That the general faculty of the University grant to the Graduate Council authority to establish general policies and regulations governing graduate study at the University of Oregon, excluding those matters requiring approval by the Oregon State Board of Higher Education or the Chancellor.

(7) That the general faculty of the University grant to the dean of the Graduate School authority to make administrative decisions within the limits of established policies and regulations.

(8) And that nothing in this legislation be interpreted to preclude the right of any faculty member to appeal to the general faculty on matters pertaining to graduate policies and regulations.

It having been seconded, Mr. Kitzhaber spoke to his motion, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its approval, and Mr. Linde summarized the Senate discussion. Mr. Belknap then moved the following amendment:

(a) That the words in paragraph (1), "four members of the faculty of the professional schools and colleges having graduate programs leading to degrees," be changed to read: "four members of the faculties of the professional schools and colleges having graduate major programs that lead to degrees and are conducted under the jurisdiction of the Graduate School."

(b) That the words in paragraph (2), "That the faculty members of the Graduate Council shall be elected for two-year terms by the general faculty at the same time as, and according to the same procedures as, the faculty Advisory Council is elected," be changed to read: "That the faculty members of the Graduate Council shall be elected for two-year terms by the general faculty in elections to be held during the fall term of the academic year and to be governed by the same procedures prescribed for Advisory Council elections."

(c) That the following sentence be added to paragraph (2): "The 1969-70 Graduate Council shall continue to serve until the completion of the fall term, 1970-71 election."

Mr. Belknap's motion was seconded by Mr. Kitzhaber, put to a vote, and carried. The principal motion, as amended, was then put to a vote and carried.
UNIVERSITY APPEALS BOARD. Mr. F. R. Lacy, chairman of the Student Conduct Committee, moved on behalf of his committee the adoption of a motion establishing a University Appeals Board, as recorded in the minutes of the February 4, 1970 faculty meeting. By general consent, Mr. Lacy was allowed to present this motion by reference to the February 1970 minutes without reading the full text. The text is as follows:

That the legislative and judicial functions of the existing Student Conduct Committee be separated by the establishment of the University Appeals Board, which shall assume the judicial and appeals functions presently assigned to the Student Conduct Committee under the Code of Student Conduct. Specifically, that the Code of Student Conduct be amended as follows:

I.F. University Appeals Board (new section)

1. The University Appeals Board, by faculty legislation and by delegation of the President of the University, is the final appeals body under the Code of Student Conduct.

2. The Board shall consist of three student members recommended by the President of the A.S.U.U.O., and three faculty members, each a member of the University community in good standing, and shall be appointed by the President of the University. A quorum shall consist of two students and two faculty members. Terms of membership shall be one year from the time of appointment. Members may be reappointed, but no member may serve more than two consecutive terms. The President of the University may appoint temporary members to the Board to serve during such times as are necessary to assure full membership of the Board. The Board shall elect its own chairman.

3. The Student Conduct Committee may appoint one of its members to serve as an additional voting member of the University Appeals Board. The presence of this member will not affect the Board's quorum.

4. The Board shall establish rules of procedure for itself; however, an affirmative vote of four members of the Board shall be necessary to overrule a decision of a lower court or to convict in cases in which no lower court has made a decision. Inability of the Board to make an affirmative decision to overrule or convict shall be deemed a decision to affirmative or acquit.

Present Sections I.F. through I.H. will become I.G. through I.I., respectively.

I.A.4.c. Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee."

I.C.2. Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee."

I.D.3. Substitute "University Appeals Board" in place of "Student Conduct Committee."

I.E.1. Delete "for disposing of such individual cases as may properly come before it."

Mr. Lacy's motion was seconded. Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Linde summarized the Senate discussion. By general consent, Mr. Lacy was then allowed to change the wording of paragraph 4 of Sec. I.F. to read as follows: "The Board shall establish rules of procedure for itself; however, an affirmative vote of four members of the Board shall be necessary to overrule a decision of a lower court or to find that a violation has occurred in cases in which no lower court has made a decision. Inability of the Board to make an affirmative decision to overrule or find that a violation has occurred shall be deemed a decision to affirm or find no violation."

Mr. Lacy then moved to amend by changing paragraph 3 of Sec. I.F. to read as follows: "In any case, the Student Conduct Committee may appoint one of its members to serve as an additional nonvoting member of the University Appeals Board. The presence of this member will not affect the Board's quorum." The motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

Mr. Lacy then moved to add the following sentence after Sec. I.F.: "And further, that the University Appeals Board be constituted and commence to function as soon as practicable, and that the present jurisdiction of the Student Conduct Committee be continued until the University Appeals Board becomes operative." The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.
Mr. D. W. Richins questioned the jurisdiction of the University Appeals Board over graduate students, and moved to amend provisions contained in Sec. I.N.4. of a revised code recommended by the ad hoc Committee on the Code of Student Conduct. Mr. Linde pointed out that the subject of this section was not now before the faculty for consideration. Mr. Richins withdrew his amendment.

Mr. Lacy's motion, as modified and amended, was then put to a vote and carried.

WITHDRAWAL FROM COURSES. Mr. Paul Civin, chairman of the Academic Requirements Committee, moved on behalf of his committee the adoption of the following motion:

Effective April 1, 1970, a student may withdraw from a course by filing the appropriate form with the Registrar prior to the end of the eighth week of the term. For any withdrawal effected by a first-term student during the first five weeks of a term or by any other student during the first three weeks of a term, the course enrollment will not be recorded on the student's permanent record card; otherwise, the course enrollment will be recorded with the mark of "W."

An instructor is authorized to report a mark of "W" for a student enrolled in his course when submitting grades to the Registrar.

If a student has not effected a withdrawal in a course and the instructor has not reported a grade or mark at the time of submitting the final grade report, the Registrar is directed to record a mark of "Q" (no grade reported) for any course for which a student has officially enrolled.

For purposes of interpretation with regard to other faculty legislation, the instructor may change any mark of "Q," or of "W" issued by him, to any other authorized mark or grade by filing the appropriate notice with the Registrar.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Patton reported that the Faculty Senate recommended that the first paragraph be adopted, and that the second and following paragraphs be referred back to the Academic Requirements Committee.

Mr. Civin spoke to his motion. Mr. Patton then moved to amend by providing that the first paragraph be adopted and the second and following paragraphs be referred back to the Academic Requirements Committee, with instructions to make a written report to the faculty on the policies and practices involved, together with, or after the faculty has received, the report of the ad hoc Committee on the Grading System. The motion was seconded. Mr. Linde summarized the Senate discussion.

Mr. O. J. Hollis rose to a point of order, that Mr. Patton's motion was not an amendment, and that the question of the adoption of the first paragraph was already before the faculty as a part of Mr. Civin's principal motion. With the consent of his second, Mr. Patton withdrew his motion and moved, instead, that all but the first paragraph of Mr. Civin's motion be referred back to the Academic Requirements Committee, with instructions to make a written report to the faculty on the policies and practices involved, together with, or after the faculty has received, the report of the ad hoc Committee on the Grading System. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Civin then moved to amend Mr. Patton's motion by providing that the entire principal motion be referred back to the Academic Requirements Committee. Mr. Civin's amendment having been seconded, he explained that the Academic Requirements Committee considered all paragraphs of the motion to be integral parts of a single proposal, and could not support piecemeal consideration. He then asked that a representative of the ad hoc Committee on the Grading System be heard. Mr. L. L. Lovell, chairman of the ad hoc committee, stated that his committee endorsed Mr. Civin's motion.

Mr. Bower Aly moved the previous question on all pending questions. The motion was seconded, put to a standing vote, and defeated through lack of a two-thirds majority: yes, 61; no, 57. After further discussion, Mr. Aly moved the previous question on the immediately pending question, Mr. Civin's amendment of Mr. Patton's motion. The motion for the previous question was seconded, put to a standing vote, and carried: yes, 106; no, 13. Mr. Fred Mohr, Mr. D. T. Smith, and Mr. G. W. Struble served as tellers for all divisions during the meeting.

Mr. Civin's amendment was then put to a vote and carried. Mr. Patton's motion to refer, now amended to apply to all paragraphs of the principal motion, was then put to a vote and declared carried by the chairman. A division being called for, the motion was put to a standing vote and carried: yes, 79; no, 40.
INTERINSTITUTIONAL FACULTY SENATE. Mr. Patton moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that the faculty of the University of Oregon ratify the proposed constitution of an Interinstitutional Faculty Senate of the Oregon State System of Higher Education. Copies of the proposed constitution and a summary of its evolution, mailed to the faculty on February 16, 1970, are filed in the office of the secretary of the faculty as a part of these minutes. The motion having been seconded, Mr. Linde summarized the Senate discussion.

Mr. Patton then moved that the secretary of the faculty conduct a mail ballot of the voting faculty of the University of Oregon on the question: Shall the faculty of the University of Oregon ratify the proposed constitution of an Interinstitutional Faculty Senate of the Oregon State System of Higher Education? The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried.

EXECUTIVE SESSIONS OF THE FACULTY. Mr. E. A. Cykle, chairman of the Advisory Council, gave notice that he would move at the April 1970 faculty meeting, on behalf of the Council, that the faculty empower the President of the University to call the faculty into executive session at his discretion. Unless specifically barred by the President's action, representatives of the press shall be admitted to such a session.

CONDITIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY. Mr. A. W. Urquhart gave notice that he would move at the April 1970 faculty meeting, on behalf of the Library Committee, the adoption of the following resolution:

(A) Recognizing that the good health of the University Library is essential to the functioning of the University as an instructional and research institution, the faculty of the University of Oregon wishes to call attention to the deteriorating condition of the Library of the University of Oregon.

(1) Demands on the Library have increased greatly.

(2) The staff of the Library has become increasingly dependent on less-permanent and less-experienced staff.

(3) Services have had to be curtailed greatly or performed inefficiently.

(4) Acquisition of books and materials has fallen far behind established minimum goals.

(5) Stated needs of the University faculty and students are not being met.

(6) Comparisons with similar University libraries show the University of Oregon's Library to rank low and to have fallen substantially in relative ranking over the past five years.

(B) Therefore be it resolved that the faculty of the University of Oregon recommend to the State Board of Higher Education and to the Legislature of the State of Oregon that, to stop its further deterioration, the University Library be given highest priority in the allocation of funds and in the implementation of development planning of the University.

STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY. President Clark spoke briefly concerning the possible implications, for the University, of Governor McCall's recent executive order reducing state expenditures in order to meet an anticipated $19 million deficit in the state's public welfare budget.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

REGULAR MEETING OF THE FACULTY

April 8, 1970

The meeting was called to order by President Clark. The minutes of the meeting of March 4, 1970 were approved.

INTERINSTITUTIONAL FACULTY SENATE. The secretary reported that a mail vote, ordered by the faculty at the March 1970 meeting, on the question of ratification of the constitution of a proposed Interinstitutional Faculty Senate of
the Oregon State System of Higher Education resulted in the ratification: yes, 265; no, 34.

COMMITTEE TO STUDY R.O.T.C. CONTRACTS. Mr. J. F. Gange, chairman of the ad hoc Committee to Study R.O.T.C. Contracts, established by action of the faculty at the February 1970 meeting, reported that his committee has been unable to begin its studies because of delays in the appointment of members.

STANDING R.O.T.C. ADVISORY COMMITTEE. Mr. V. S. Sprague, chairman of the ad hoc Committee to Study R.O.T.C. Curriculum, commented briefly on the report of his committee, which has been distributed to the faculty through the campus mail. He then moved, on behalf of his committee:

I. That a standing R.O.T.C. Advisory Committee be set up composed of faculty and students. The purpose of the committee is to be advisory to the President, the University faculty, and the military department on matters affecting the R.O.T.C. program at the University and to work cooperatively with the department in reviewing and making recommendations concerning the officer education program. Specifically, the committee shall have the following functions:

1. Advise the President, the faculty, and the military department on matters concerning military education on the campus.

2. Review and recommend to the institution's curriculum authorities all courses to be offered by the R.O.T.C., and recommend degree credit where appropriate.

3. Review and recommend on all proposed R.O.T.C. instructional appointments to the faculty appointing authority of the institution.

4. Hear appeals from students enrolled in R.O.T.C. on matters concerning their academic standing in R.O.T.C. and make recommendations in such cases to the appropriate authorities.

II. And that the proposed R.O.T.C. Advisory Committee require on-site interviews with all nominees to the position of senior officer in each division of the Department of Military Science and Aerospace Studies.

The motion having been seconded, Mr. Clyde Patton, secretary of the Faculty Senate, reported that the Senate recommended its adoption. Mr. Sprague spoke to his motion and Mr. Roland Bartel, Senate reporter at this meeting, summarized the Senate discussion.

Miss Sonia Sweek, vice-president of the Associated Students, was recognized and yielded the floor to a second student with the privilege of the floor under the rules of the faculty. The chairman several times ruled the second student's remarks out of order, as not germane to the motion before the faculty. A third student rose to protest the chairman's ruling; the chairman ruled that, under faculty legislation, the third student did not have the privilege of the floor. Both students continued to speak.

Mr. R. W. Leeper rose to a point of information, inquiring whether it might not be possible to relax the strict enforcement of the rules so that the students might be heard. The chairman asked the will of the faculty.

Mr. S. S. Tepfer moved that the faculty resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for a more informal discussion. The motion having been seconded, Mr. C. T. Duncan suggested that the period of informal discussion be limited by a provision that the committee rise not later than 4:30 p.m. Mr. Tepfer, with the consent of his second, accepted this suggestion as a part of his motion. The motion was then put to a vote and carried.

The Committee of the Whole rose at 4:30 p.m. and the faculty resumed its business. The chairman pointed out that the business now before the faculty was Mr. Sprague's motion to establish a standing R.O.T.C. Advisory Committee and that debate must be germane to this motion.

Mr. Andrew Thompson moved that further consideration of Mr. Sprague's motion be postponed until the faculty has considered a motion by Mr. Thompson, consideration of which was postponed at the December 1969 faculty meeting, pending the completion of the report of the ad hoc Committee to Study the R.O.T.C. Curriculum. Mr. Thompson read a revised version of this motion, as follows:
That the faculty recommend to the President: (1) That the Army and Air Force R.O.T.C. contracts be terminated as soon as possible within the terms of these contracts. (2) That, if the Army or the Air Force indicate willingness to consider different relations with the University, a committee be appointed to explore and develop suitable alternatives. These alternatives may include establishing loans, fellowships, and scholarships to students, and grants to departments which offer relevant course work.

Mr. Thompson's motion to postpone consideration of Mr. Sprague's motion was seconded and, after discussion, put to a standing vote and carried: yes, 95; no, 82. Mr. R. L. Bowlin, Mr. Fred Mohr, and Mr. G. W. Struble served as tellers for this and other divisions during the meeting.

TERMINATION OF R.O.T.C. CONTRACTS. Mr. Thompson's motion to terminate R.O.T.C. contracts now being before the faculty, Mr. Patton moved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, that consideration be postponed pending receipt of the report of Mr. Gange's ad hoc Committee to Study R.O.T.C. Contracts. The motion was seconded. After discussion, Mr. A. P. Rubin moved to amend by providing that consideration be postponed until the Gange committee report is received or until May 1970 faculty meeting, whichever is first. Mr. Rubin's motion to amend was seconded, put to a vote, and defeated. Mr. Patton's motion was then put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. Thompson spoke to his motion. Mr. Patton stated that there was no report from the Senate on the substance of the motion. Mr. Richard Grant then moved that, at the conclusion of the debate, the vote on the motion be by ballots distributed to the voting faculty through the campus mail. Mr. Grant's motion was seconded. The legality of a mail ballot under the rules of the faculty was questioned. Mr. O. J. Hollis pointed out that the faculty, at its March 1970 meeting, ordered a mail ballot on the question of the ratification of the constitution of a proposed Interinstitutional Faculty Senate, that the mail vote was conducted, and that the secretary of the faculty reported the results earlier in the present meeting. The chairman ruled that Mr. Grant's motion was in order. Mr. J. R. Menninger appealed from the ruling of the chair; the appeal being put to a vote, the chair was sustained. After further discussion, Mr. Grant's motion was put to a standing vote and defeated: yes, 72; no, 99.

Mr. K. W. Porter then moved that further consideration of Mr. Thompson's motion be postponed until the Faculty Senate has had an opportunity to study the motion and report. The motion was seconded and, after discussion, put to a vote and defeated.

Mr. J. W. McClure moved the previous question. The motion was seconded, put to a standing vote, and defeated through lack of a two-thirds majority: yes, 84; no, 81.

Mr. Paul Civin moved that the meeting be adjourned until 3:30 p.m. on Wednesday, April 15. The motion was seconded, put to a standing vote, and carried: yes, 108; no, 61.

George N. Belknap
Secretary of the Faculty

ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE FACULTY

April 15, 1970

President Clark requested the attention of faculty members and visitors in the meeting room. He pointed out that the large number of visitors, overflowing the reserved visitors' gallery and filling the aisles, presented a problem for the orderly conduct of business and for valid vote counts on measures that would be before the faculty—and asked that faculty members in the last row of the faculty section take seats toward the front of the room and allow visitors to occupy seats in the last row.

He then stated that he had not yet called the meeting to order for the official conduct of business and that, before calling the meeting to order, he would recognize Miss Sonia Sweek, vice-president of the Associated Students, for a statement to the faculty. When Miss Sweek had concluded her remarks, the meeting was called to order.
TERMINATION OF R.O.T.C. CONTRACTS. The chairman stated that the first business before the faculty was Mr. Andrew Thompson's motion to recommend to the President that R.O.T.C. contracts be terminated, consideration of which was begun at the April 8 meeting and suspended when that meeting was adjourned. He also stated that the present meeting was a continuation of the April 8 session, and that consideration of Mr. Thompson's motion would be subject to the same parliamentary restrictions that would have applied if the April 8 session had not been adjourned. In particular, the following motions, defeated on April 8, would not now be in order: (a) a motion to postpone consideration pending the receipt of the report of the ad hoc Committee to Study R.O.T.C. Contracts; (b) a motion that the vote on the principal motion be by ballots distributed through the campus mail.

The secretary read the text of Mr. Thompson's motion—the secretary in the minutes of the April 8 meeting, from which he read the text, inadvertently omitted certain words from sec. (2), which are here restored:

That the faculty recommend to the President: (1) That the Army and the Air Force contracts be terminated as soon as possible within the terms of these contracts. (2) That, if the Army or the Air Force indicates willingness to consider different relations with the University, relations comparable to those available to other employers, a committee be appointed to explore and develop suitable alternatives. These alternatives may include establishing loans, fellowships, and scholarships to students, and grants to departments which offer relevant course work.

Mr. P. L. Csonka moved that debate on Mr. Thompson's motion be closed and a vote taken not later than 5:30 p.m. The motion was seconded. The chairman stated that Mr. Csonka's motion was debatable and required a two-thirds majority for adoption. The motion was then put to a vote by a show of hands and carried: yes, 269; no, 29. Mr. R. L. Bowlin, Mr. Fred Mohr, and Mr. G. W. Struble served as tellers for this and other divisions.

Mr. Thompson read the correct wording of sec. (2), and spoke to his motion. In the course of his remarks he was interrupted by applause from the visitors' gallery. The chairman asked that visitors refrain from applause.

Mr. Bower Aly rose to a point of information, stating that he had been told that the Associated Students had conducted a poll concerning the R.O.T.C. and that a majority of the students responding had favored the continuation of the University's R.O.T.C. programs, and inquired whether anyone present had information concerning the results of this poll. Miss Sweek stated that such a poll had been conducted and showed a majority of 250 students favoring R.O.T.C. but that the officers of the Associated Students had been informed that its results would make no difference in the University's decision concerning the retention of R.O.T.C.

After further discussion, Miss Sweek asked that Mr. Stephen Holland, another student, be recognized for the purpose of showing a film as a part of the debate. The chairman recognized Mr. Holland, and moved that a film be shown whether the showing of the film would be in order. The chairman stated that he had found no provision in the rules of the faculty that would exclude a film as a part of debate, and ruled the showing in order, if its content was in fact germane to the business before the faculty. Mr. J. L. Rice rose to a point of order, asking how a member could gain recognition in competition with the film if he wished to question whether the film was in fact germane; he asked, further, whether, if a member gained recognition, the showing of the film would be stopped while this question was under consideration. The chairman requested Mr. S. S. Tepfer to assist in handling these technical problems. Mr. Lowenthal appealed from the ruling of the chair on the question of the showing of the film. The appeal being put to a vote by a show of hands, the ruling of the chair was reversed: to sustain the ruling, 136; to reverse the ruling, 170.

The Chairman then recognized Mr. Holland to speak to Mr. Thompson's motion. Mr. A. F. Housmand rose to a point of order, questioning whether Mr. Holland's remarks were germane. The chair ruled the remarks germane. Mr. Rice appealed from the ruling. The chair was sustained. The vote to sustain the chair was greeted with applause from the visitors' gallery. The chairman again asked that visitors refrain from applause.

At 5:00 p.m. Mr. R. W. Leeper moved to limit the time allowed any speaker to four minutes. The motion was seconded, put to a vote, and carried by the required two-thirds majority.