

Our next meeting of the internal governance committee is Thursday, 11/12 at 9:30 in the Lewis Lounge. There are a number of points for discussion:

- Definition of statutory faculty
 - Working definition: assistant, associate, full, and emeritus professors, career NTTiF and NTTrF, tenured senior instructors
 - Inclusion of librarians? – see Mary Ann Hyatt’s note
 - Officers of administration? (DOJ opinion footnote argues against inclusion of OAs)
 - Historical use of the term “faculty” vs. “professors” or “officers of instruction” at the UO clouds this distinction
- Discussion of 2 current alternatives – pros/cons
 - Process – do we want to make a recommendation to the statutory faculty or respond to the vote of the statutory faculty?
 - Faculty assembly – university senate
 - Faculty assembly – faculty senate – university senate
- Discussion of internal governance functions/priorities
 - Do we need to add/revise
 - How to embed these functions/priorities into the revised legislation?
- Oregon Public Meetings Law applicability to UO internal governance
 - General Counsel has provided an interpretation
- Possible budget control by internal governance bodies