

1. Dual field tensor

Show that the dual field tensor $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} F_{\lambda\kappa}$ obeys

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0 \quad (2 \text{ points})$$

2. Functional derivative

Let $F[\varphi]$ be a functional of a real-valued function $\varphi(x)$. For simplicity, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$; the generalization to more than one dimension is straightforward. We can (sloppily) define the *functional derivative* of F as

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi(x)} := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(F[\varphi(y) + \epsilon \delta(y-x)] - F[\varphi(y)] \right)$$

a) Calculate $\delta F / \delta \varphi(x)$ for the following functionals:

i) $F = \int dx \varphi(x)$

ii) $F = \int dx \varphi^2(x)$

iii) $F = \int dx (\varphi'(x))^2$ where $\varphi'(x) = d\varphi/dx$

hint: Integrate by parts and assume that the boundary terms vanish.

iv) $F = \int dx V(\varphi(x))$ where V is some given function.

remark: Blindly ignore terms that formally vanish as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ unless you want to find out why the above definition is problematic. It does work for operational purposes, though.

b) Consider a “Lagrangian” $\mathcal{L}(\varphi(x), \partial_\mu \varphi(x))$ (i.e., a function of φ and its derivatives) and an “action” $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$. Show that extremizing S by requiring $\delta S / \delta \varphi(x) \equiv 0$ with the above definition of the functional derivative leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \varphi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \varphi} \quad (3 \text{ points})$$

3. Massive scalar field

a) Consider a Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi(x)) (\partial^\mu \varphi(x)) - \frac{m^2}{2} (\varphi(x))^2$$

for a real scalar field $\varphi(x)$. Find the Euler-Lagrange equation for the field φ by requiring $\delta S / \delta \varphi(x) = 0$.

b) Generalize this Lagrangian density to a complex field $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi(x)) (\partial^\mu \phi^*(x)) - \frac{m^2}{2} |\phi(x)|^2$$

What are the Euler-Lagrange equations now?

c) Consider a local gauge transformation, $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) e^{i\Lambda(x)}$, with $\Lambda(x)$ a real field that characterizes the transformation. Is the Lagrangian from part b) invariant under such a transformation?

(3 points)

.../over

4. Ginzburg-Landau theory

Ginzburg and Landau postulated that superconductivity can be described by an action (which is NOT Lorentz invariant)

$$S_{\text{GL}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[r |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + c |[\nabla - iq\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})]\phi(\mathbf{x})|^2 + u |\phi(\mathbf{x})|^4 + \frac{1}{16\pi\mu} F_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) F^{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \right]$$

Here $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ is a complex-valued field that describes the superconducting matter, \mathbf{A} is the Euclidian vector field that comprises the spatial components of the 4-vector $A^\mu = (A^0, \mathbf{A})$, and $F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). μ and q are coupling constants that characterize the vector potential and its coupling to the matter, and r , c and u are further parameters of the theory.

- a) Find the coupled differential equations (known as Ginzburg-Landau equations) whose solutions extremize this action by considering the functional derivatives of S_{GL} with respect to all independent fields. (You may want to double check against what you get from the Landau-Lifshitz method we used in class.)
- b) Show that this theory is invariant under gauge transformations $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(\mathbf{x}) e^{iq\lambda(\mathbf{x})}$, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla\lambda(\mathbf{x})$.
- c) Show that the Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian density for a massive scalar field, Problem 3b), can be made gauge invariant by coupling $\phi(x)$ to the electromagnetic vector potential $A^\mu(x)$.

hint: Replace the 4-gradient ∂_μ by $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iqA_\mu$ and add the Maxwell Lagrangian.

note: If we had never heard of the electromagnetic potential, insisting on gauge invariance would force us to invent it!

(7 points)