### 1.1.5 Equivalence relations

Consider a relation $\sim$ on a set $X$ as in ch. $1 \S 1.3$ def. 1 , but with the properties
i) $x \sim x \quad \forall x \in X \quad$ (reflexivity)
ii) $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad$ (symmetry)
iii) $(x \sim y \wedge y \sim z) \Rightarrow x \sim z \quad$ (transitivity)

Such a relation is called an equivalence relation. Which of the following are equivalence relations?
a) $n$ divides $m$ on $\mathbb{N}$.
b) $x \leq y$ on $\mathbb{R}$.
c) $g$ is perpendicular to $h$ on the set of straight lines $\{g, h, \ldots\}$ in the cartesian plane.
d) $a$ equals $b$ modulo $n$ on $\mathbb{Z}$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed.
hint: " $a$ equals $b$ modulo $n$ ", or $a=b \bmod (n)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined to be true if $a-b$ is divisible on $\mathbb{Z}$ by $n$; i.e., if $(a-b) / n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(3 points)

### 1.1.6 Bounds for $n$ !

Prove by mathematical induction that

$$
n^{n} / 3^{n}<n!<n^{n} / 2^{n} \quad \forall n \geq 6
$$

hint: $(1+1 / n)^{n}$ is a monotonically increasing function of $n$ that approaches Euler's number $e$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

### 1.1.7 All ducks are the same color

Find the flaw in the "proof" of the following
proposition: All ducks are the same color.
proof: $n=1$ : There is only one duck, so there is only one color.
$n=m$ : The set of ducks is one-to-one correspondent to $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, and we assume that all $m$ ducks are the same color.
$n=m+1$ : Now we have $\{1,2, \ldots, m, m+1\}$. Consider the subsets $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\{2, \ldots, m, m+1\}$. Each of these represent sets of $m$ ducks, which are all the same color by the induction assumption. But this means that ducks $\# 2$ through $m$ are all the same color, and ducks $\# 1$ and $m+1$ are the same color as, e.g., duck $\# 2$, and hence all ducks are the same color.
remark: This demonstration of the pitfalls of inductive reasoning is due to George Pólya (1888-1985), who used horses instead of ducks.

### 1.2.1 Pauli group

The Pauli matrices are complex $2 \times 2$ matrices defined as

$$
\sigma_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now consider the set $P_{1}$ that consists of the Pauli matrices and their products with the factors -1 and $\pm i$ :

$$
P_{1}=\left\{ \pm \sigma_{0}, \pm i \sigma_{0}, \pm \sigma_{1}, \pm i \sigma_{1}, \pm \sigma_{2}, \pm i \sigma_{2}, \pm \sigma_{3}, \pm i \sigma_{3}\right\}
$$

Show that this set of 16 elements forms a (nonabelian) group under matrix multiplication called the Pauli group. It plays an important role in quantum information theory.

