Problem Assignment # 2 01/24/2024due 01/31/2024

0.2.4. Functional derivative

Let $F[\varphi]$ be a functional of a real-valued function $\varphi(x)$. For simplicity, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$; the generalization to more than one dimension is straightforward. We can (sloppily) define the *functional derivative* of F as

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \varphi(x)} := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(F[\varphi(y) + \epsilon \delta(y - x)] - F[\varphi(y)] \right)$$

- a) Calculate $\delta F/\delta \varphi(x)$ for the following functionals:
 - i) $F = \int dx \varphi(x)$
 - ii) $F = \int dx \varphi^2(x)$
 - iii) $F = \int dx f(\varphi(x)) g(\varphi(x))$ where f and g are given functions
 - iv) $F = \int dx \, (\varphi'(x))^2$ where $\varphi'(x) = d\varphi/dx$

hint: Integrate by parts and assume that the boundary terms vanish.

v) $F = \int dx V(\varphi'(x))$ where V is some given function.

remark: Blindly ignore terms that formally vanish as $\epsilon \to 0$ unless you want to find out why the above definition is very problematic. It does work for operational purposes, though.

b) Consider a Lagrangian density' $\mathcal{L}(\varphi(x), \partial_{\mu}\varphi(x))$ and an action' $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$. Show that extremizing S by requiring $\delta S/\delta\varphi(x) \equiv 0$ with the above definition of the functional derivative leads to the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\partial_{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\varphi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\varphi}$$
(3 points)

0.2.5. Massive scalar field

Consider the Lagrangian density for a massive scalar field from the example in ch. 0 §2.5.

a) Generalize this Lagrangian density to a complex field $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi(x) \right) \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi^{*}(x) \right) - \frac{m^{2}}{2} \left| \phi(x) \right|^{2}$$

with ϕ^* the complex conjugate of ϕ . What are the Euler-Lagrange equations now?

b) Consider a local gauge transformation, $\phi(x) \to \phi(x) e^{i\Lambda(x)}$, with $\Lambda(x)$ a real field that characterizes the transformation. Is the Lagrangian from part b) invariant under such a transformation?

(2 points)

... /over

0.2.6. Particle in homogeneous E and B fields

Consider a point particle (mass m, charge e) in homogeneous fields B = (0, 0, B) and $E = (0, E_y, E_z)$. Treat the motion of the particle nonrelativistically.

- a) Show that the motion in z-direction decouples from the motion in the x-y plane, and find z(t).
- b) Consider $\xi := x + iy$. Find the equation of motion for ξ , and its most general solution.

hint: Define the cyclotron frequency $\omega = eB/mc$, and remember how to solve inhomogeneous ODEs.

c) Show that the time-averaged velocity perpendicular to the plane defined by B and E is given by the *drift velocity*

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}
angle = c \, \boldsymbol{E} imes \boldsymbol{B} / \boldsymbol{B}^2$$

Show that $E_y/B \ll 1$ is necessary and sufficient for the non relativistic approximation to be valid.

d) Show that the path projected onto the x-y plane can have three qualitatively different shapes, and plot a representative example for each.

(6 points)

0.2.7. Harmonic oscillator coupled to a magnetic field

Consider a charged 3-d classical harmonic oscillator (oscillator frequency ω_0 , charge e). Put the oscillator in a homogeneous time-independent magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$. Show that the motion remains oscillatory, and find the oscillation frequencies in the directions parallel and perpendicular, respectively, to \mathbf{B} .

(4 points)