ADVISORY COUNCIL
MINUTES
February 26, 1979

1:30 - 5:30 pm    Johnson Hall Conference Room

Members Present:  Paul Holbo, David Povey, Diane Reinhard (Secretary),
                  Shirley Wilson, Don Tull, Arnulf Zweig

Others:   1:30 - 3:30 pm  Barry Siegel, Jim Tattersall
          1:30 - 5:30 pm  William Boyd, Paul Olum

Since guests were present at this meeting the items from the
floor were not taken. The discussion centered around the following
topics:

1. **Composition of the Retirement Committee and discussion of its
close.**

   David Povey provided an overview of a book entitled
   *Academics in Transition* which provides a number of options for
   early retirement. The retirement committee was urged to consider
   in their charge institutional concerns such as early retirement.
   The Advisory Council also suggested and the chair of the
   retirement committee, Barry Siegel, agreed that it may be useful
to expand the membership to include at least three untenured
faculty. The statement on Senate Bill 59 prepared by this
committee was reviewed by the AC members. The AC felt it would
be premature and perhaps somewhat inappropriate to circulate
the document to the faculty for comment.

2. **Senate Bill 59**

   The pros and cons of Senate Bill 59 were discussed.
   Paul Olum shared with the group financial implications of the
   passage of Senate Bill 59. Jim Tattersall suggested that
   possibly an amendment should be made asking for funding of the
   amendment so that there would not be financial implications for
   each institution to consider. The alternative of attaching a
   funding requirement to this bill was discussed. Most felt that
   the total for higher education would not change - this would
   lead merely to line item changes. As requested by Tattersall,
   the views of the Faculty Advisory Council in terms of support
   for the amendment to SB-59 were formally obtained by voting on
   a motion. The motion of support for the amendment to Senate
   Bill 59 was defeated (6 - 0), a motion supporting SB-59 with
   the funding requirement was defeated (5 - 0) with one abstention.
   It was brought to the attention of the AC that the Association
   of Oregon Faculties, the lobbyist organization, was holding a
   general membership meeting at 3:30 pm on March 5. It was noted
   that this meeting conflicts with the Arts and Sciences curriculum
   meeting. Jim Tattersall requested input from the AC on the issues
   which he developed (a copy of these issues was distributed last
   week by the AC chair).
3. Release of teaching evaluations

There is some concern that there is sufficient support in the Legislature to pass into law the requirement of public release of student evaluation of instruction information. An alternative proposal that possibly could be presented to the faculty was discussed. This proposal essentially allowed students to view evaluation results in department offices. After considerable discussion, AC members concluded that this proposal would not have the support of the University faculty. The faculty lobbyist was encouraged to have as a high priority lobbying against the public release of evaluation data.

4. Marine Biology Center

A number of political and economical problems associated with the operations of the Charleston Center were discussed. Boyd will examine some alternatives and bring back more information to the Advisory Council. Possible alternatives identified were as follows: rotate leadership for the Center, operate the Center only in summers, eliminate the Center, put more resources into the Center.

---

Agenda Items: recommendation for legal counsel, librarianship proposal, continuing education, review of issues for the lobbyist, curriculum committee report from Arts and Sciences, AC meeting with general Faculty
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ADVISORY COUNCIL
MINUTES
March 5, 1979

1:40 - 3:25 pm Johnson Hall Conference Room
3:30 - 5:30 pm 221 Allen Hall (Arts and Sciences Curriculum Meeting)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Paul Holbo, Richard Littman (Chair), David Povey, Diane Reinhard (Secretary) Shirley Wilson, Arnulf Zweig

1. Minutes

Minutes from the February 26 meeting were distributed. AC members are to review these minutes and note any corrections at the next meeting.

2. Items from the Floor

A. Paul Holbo distributed a Register Guard article on the state of our library.

B. AC members were encouraged to read the Ad Hoc Committee Report on Lifelong Learning as well as the other documents Shirley Wilson provided in preparation for our meeting with Albrecht on March 12.


D. AC members are urged to contact legislators on Bill 283 (release of student evaluation of instruction).

3. Ad Hoc Retirement Committee

Paul Civin will be recommended to serve on this committee as a representative from the Provost's Office. Cheyney Ryan, Jean Stockard, Caroline Forell, and Kathleen Dubs were also recommended. Dick Littman will contact them in order to determine their interest in serving.

4. Instructional Development Funds

The AC concurs with A.O.F. position on Senate Bill 451. Programs to improve the instruction of the University should be brought before the State Board of Higher Education and not the Legislature.
5. Legal Council Recommendations

After hearing additional information on the candidates, the AC ranked them. Littman will contact the President and provide him with a report of our deliberations.

6. Arts & Sciences Curriculum Report

The contents of this report, its potential impact on professional schools, as well as other issues were briefly identified. The AC then adjourned in order to attend the meeting of Arts and Sciences faculty on this report.

---

Agenda Items: librarianship proposal, AC meeting with general faculty
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ADVISORY COUNCIL
MINUTES
March 12, 1979

4:45 - 5:45 p.m. Johnson Hall Conference Room

Members Present: Paul Holbo, Richard Littman (Chair), David Povey, Diane Reinhard (Secretary), Shirley Wilson, Arnulf Zwieg

Others: 2:00 - 2:20 Bob Berdahl; 3:30 - 5:00 Bob Albrecht; 3:30 - 5:45 William Boyd

1. Minutes

Minutes from the February 26 AC meeting previously distributed were approved as written. Minutes from the March 6 meeting were distributed and AC members were asked to review and note any necessary corrections at the next meeting.

2. Items From Floor/Information Items

A. The Advisory Council will not meet on March 19, but will meet again on Monday March 26 at 2:00 p.m.

B. Mei Yih, chair of the Education Committee is proposing legislation which would restrict entrance into schools of education to only those students who had SAT scores of more than 1000. Preliminary estimates indicate that this would eliminate approximately 75% of the student population at the U. of O.

C. Littman distributed a copy of the memo that Duncan wrote to Davis on student evaluations. Holbo distributed a copy of the actual wording of the legislation. The problems of faculty evaluations were briefly discussed.

D. Littman distributed a memo which clarified policies regarding enrollment limits at O.S.U.

E. A briefing on the AOF meeting held on Thursday was provided by Littman and Reinhard. Since there was such a small turnout (19), it was suggested that a mail ballot be distributed in order to determine the level of support for the amendment to Senate Bill 59. Littman also distributed a list of the issues that were distributed at the AOF meeting.

3. Ethnic Studies

Bob Berdahl discussed with the AC a proposal to integrate Ethnic Studies with folklore. Apparently combining these two areas is fairly common nationally. Advice from the AC was viewed as appropriate as the Ethnic Studies Program was an...
initiative of the University Faculty Assembly. The question was raised on whether this proposal required curricular review. After a brief discussion of how this proposal might be perceived by various segments of the faculty, it was suggested that Berdahl seek counsel from the University Curriculum Committee in case there are problems with the proposal from a substantial segment of the faculty.

4. Legislative Hearings

Boyd provided the AC with an overview of the hearings he has participated in in Salem. Some of the hearings have gone quite well while others have been disasters according to Boyd.

5. Summer School/Community Education/DCE

Bob Albrecht joined the AC and discussed what is happening in the whole area of community education. He indicated that the summer session was now almost self supporting although the enrollment has been decreasing over the last couple of years. This decrease in summer school enrollment appears to be a trend nationally as well. The community education program previously referred to as the non-matriculant program generates about 2000 student credit hours per term and operates with a budget of $30,000, the majority of which ($15,000) goes for publicity. It is hoped that some new programs will be in operation next year within the business school to allow late afternoon and evening courses to be scheduled. The Division of Continuing Education is now operating within the university and has enrollment of 7000-8000 student credit hours per year. It is staffed by one person, Ken Thomas, a secretary, plus some CETA positions. Currently there is legislation under consideration which would provide some sort of entitlement for DCE credits. There are a large number of issues that need to be resolved regarding DCE since their function has recently been decentralized. Albrecht asked the AC advise on bringing together the three programs under one primary leadership. Some members of the AC felt very strongly that there is a definite need for creative leadership in this whole field.

6. Trouble List

Boyd shared with the AC a number of items of concern that he is particularly worried about.

Agenda Items: librarianship item, Advisory Council meeting with the general faculty, continuation of discussion on university mission, organization of senate and assembly, and report of the library committee.
ADVISORY COUNCIL
MINUTES
March 26, 1979

2:00 - 5:15 Johnson Hall Conference Room

Members Present: Paul Holbo, Richard Littman (Chair), David Povey, Wanda Johnson, Diane Reinhard (Secretary), Shirley Wilson, Don Tull

Others: 3:15 - 5:15 William Boyd

1. Minutes

The minutes from the March 5 meeting were approved as written. The March 12 minutes were distributed and Advisory Council members were asked to review and note any necessary corrections at the next meeting.

2. Items From the Floor/Information Items

A. Boyd will meet with the Advisory Council next week at 2:00 p.m. rather than 3:00 p.m.

B. The AOF poll on support for the amendment to Senate Bill 59 resulted in a 30-30 tie.

C. The Chair distributed several copies of the BGRS Library committee report to Advisory Council members for review. It was suggested that continuing members might want to review this document since this issue may surface again next year.

D. At the last meeting Boyd identified what he referred to as a list of worries. The list which follows was reviewed by the Advisory Council members.

1. legal counsel
2. Malheur bird refuge
3. marine biology station
4. human resources cooperation
5. retirement
6. personnel administration
7. mental health of one faculty member
8. Affirmative Action
9. housing for education and AAA
10. concern regarding the proposal that the ECC had to separate the funding of research from instruction
11. proposal to fund education by level of coursework

3. Evaluation Legislation

The Chair distributed a copy of the editorial that appeared in the Register Guard which is not in favor of having the Legislature mandate requirements about faculty evaluations. Also distributed as a letter
from Tattersal on House Bill #2831. Paul Holbo provided Advisory Council members with a draft of a response to House Bill #2831 which was discussed. Holbo will redraft the letter based on comments provided.

4. Foreign Student Tuition

Clearly there is a need for more information on the ramifications of the foreign student tuition rates proposed by the Governor. Some departments have contacted Advisory Council members about their concern that this policy may limit the number of students from various countries who are available to teach foreign language courses. The educational value of having a diverse student population was cited and it was mentioned that the last time foreign student tuition went up quite drastically the university witnessed a big drop in enrollment (approximately 50% some recall). There is a need for more information on where foreign students are coming from and what is their financial need as well as what is the foreign student tuition level at comparable institutions.

5. Athletic Department

The article in the Register Guard on the secretary jog-a-thon and the "Dear Boss" letter was discussed. Advisory Council members were particularly distressed to read the letter which is a prime indication of the level of consciousness in some personnel in the athletic department toward females and particularly the relationship of a superordinate to a subordinate. Boyd discussed with the Advisory Council the reaction he has received from the public on the secretarial jog-a-thon and indicated that the shirts with lettering, "I gave my body to the ducks" will not be used and an alternative slogan will be selected. There may be some problem with freedom of expression however. Second, letters will be sent on behalf of the university to secretarial associations in the immediate area.

6. Audits

Boyd shared with the Advisory Council that fact that an external audit was being conducted on the Invention Center and that he had recently ordered an external audit on the Upward Bound program because of recent legislator interest or concern about potential misappropriation of funds.

7. Personnel Evaluations

A number of issues surrounding a specific case of personnel evaluation were identified and discussed with the Advisory Council by the president.

8. Advisory Agenda Items

A. librarianship
B. Advisory Council meeting with general faculty
C. continuation of discussion of university mission
D. organization of senate and assembly