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Higgs Branching Ratio measurements

Vertex Detector Parameter dependences

Neutron Radiation Damage Studies
Higgs Branching Ratio

Measurements and Vertex Detection

The physics opportunities of a future Linear Collider motivates a detector with the best possible vertex detector:

- Higgs branching ratios
- Higgs self coupling
- SUSY physics, eg. staus
- Top physics
- W/Z reconstruction
- Z pole physics

We really want to optimize performance, to extract maximal use from every event.

The measurement of Higgs decay modes is a particularly good benchmark physics process for the vertex detector design:

- Significant physics goal
- Rich in secondary vertexing
- Contains mixture of common and weaker channels
  - eg. bb vs. cc
MSSM Higgs Branching Ratios
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SLD’s VXD3

307,000,000 pixels
3.8 µm point resolution
Excellent b/c tagging

We can do even better
CCD Vertex Detector for the Future Linear Collider

~700,000,000 pixels
standalone tracking
w/ 5 barrels
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**Vertex Detector Parameters**

- Hit resolution
- Number of barrels
- Thickness of barrels (rad. lengths)
- Angular coverage
- Readout speed
- Material inside vertex detector (beampipe, etc.)
- Radiation hardness

Impact parameter resolution (LCDTK–Schumm)

Spectrum from B decays in ZH events
Vertex Detector Design for Future Linear Collider

- Maximum Precision ($< 4 \mu m$)
- Minimal Layer Thickness
  
  $1.2\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.4\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.12\% X_0 \rightarrow 0.06\% X_0$

  SLD-VXD2, SLD-VXD3 Linear Collider stretched
- Minimal Layer 1 Radius
  
  $28 \rightarrow 12 \text{ mm} \rightarrow 5\text{ mm}$

  SLD-VXD3, LC Schumm challenge
- Polar Angle Coverage ($\cos \theta \sim 0.9$)
- Standalone Track Finding (perfect linking)
- Layer 1 Readout Between Bunch Trains
- Deadtime-less Readout
Event simulation

- Pandora-pythia and Pythia v5.7
  - beamstrahlung included and important
- Detector model: NLC

\[
\begin{align*}
e^+ e^- & \rightarrow ZH \\
H & \rightarrow b \bar{b} \\
H & \rightarrow \tau \tau \\
H & \rightarrow c \bar{c} \\
H & \rightarrow g g \\
H & \rightarrow W W \\
H & \rightarrow Z Z \\
e^+ e^- & \rightarrow W W \\
e^+ e^- & \rightarrow Z Z \\
e^+ e^- & \rightarrow q q \\
e^+ e^- & \rightarrow t \bar{t}
\end{align*}
\]

\[\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}\]
\[M_H = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2\]
\[\int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1}\]

Analysis with \(Z \rightarrow l^+ l^-\) evts, scaled to \(Z \rightarrow q q\) (x 4)

Previous studies:
Hildreth, Barklow, Burke, PRD49, 3441 (1994)
M. Battaglia, HU-P-264 (1999)
**ZVTOP**

- Vertex reconstruction is based on the SLD algorithm ZVTOP
  - D. Jackson, NIM A388, 247 (1997)

- Implemented in the ROOT based NLC software by T. Abe

- Provides secondary vertex reconstruction, and \( pt \)-corrected mass

\[
M = p_T + \sqrt{M_v^2 + p_T^2}
\]
Flavor Tagging

These are the efficiency/purity curves for Z-pole decays.

Higgs decays have much different bottom/charm ratios, with charm greatly outnumbered by bottom.

T. Abe,
(one prongs in progress, will do better)
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Event Selection

We select for $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ \rightarrow l^+l^- \ (l = e, \mu)$

- Reconstruct all lepton pair masses in an event
- Select pair with mass closest to $m_Z$
- Calculate recoil mass
- Apply cuts on masses:
  $$|m_Z - m_{l^+l^-}| < 10 \text{ GeV}$$
  $$m_H - 10 \text{ GeV} < m_{\text{recoil}} < m_H + 20 \text{ GeV}$$

- Include hadronic Z decays by scaling signal up by a factor of 4 (D. Strom, LEP experience)

Signal event reconstructed Z and recoil mass distributions.
Neural Net Analysis

14 parameters have been defined to distinguish decay modes of the Higgs Boson, and the backgrounds. See C. Potter talk in P1-WG2 for details.

A neural net with 15 hidden units and 6 output units (one for each decay mode) was trained.

Cuts on each of the 6 output units was determined for each decay mode to maximize $S/\sqrt{S+B}$.
Efficiency/Purity Curves from Neural Net

Purity vs. efficiency for the case $m_H = 120$ GeV. The maximum possible efficiency is 0.31 due to mass cuts.
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### Branching Ratio Errors

\[ M_H = 140 \text{ GeV}/c^2, \quad \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}, \]
\[ \int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branching</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H \rightarrow bb$</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.013</td>
<td>(3.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.0038</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \rightarrow cc$</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.0064</td>
<td>(46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \rightarrow gg$</td>
<td>0.035 ± 0.0079</td>
<td>(23%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H \rightarrow WW^*$</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.018</td>
<td>(3.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact of Detector Parameters on BR Errors

\[ M_H = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2, \quad \sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}, \]
\[ \int L = 500 \text{ fb}^{-1} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( R_{\text{INNER}} ) (cm)</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>2.4</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>2.4</th>
<th>1.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hit res (( \mu )m)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H \rightarrow bb )</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H \rightarrow \tau \tau )</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H \rightarrow cc )</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H \rightarrow gg )</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H \rightarrow WW^* )</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mild dependence of charm to \( r_{\text{INNER}} \) and hit resolution.

In this analysis, we are essentially tagging on one of the two possible jets. In an analysis in which one needs to tag multiple jets, the dependence will be stronger.
Neutron Damage at the Linear Collider

Background estimates for the next Linear Collider have varied from $10^7 \text{n/cm}^2/\text{year}$ to $10^{11} \text{n/cm}^2/\text{year}$

- $2.3 \times 10^9 \text{n/cm}^2/\text{year}$ (Maruyama-Berkeley 2000)

Expected tolerance for CCDs about $10^9-10^{10}$

Increase tolerance to neutrons can be achieved through:
- improve understanding of issues and sensitivity
- engineering advances
- flushing techniques
- supplementary channels
- bunch compression & clock signal optimization
- others
Neutron Damage and Amelioration Study

Radiation Hardness Tests of CCDs - N. Sinev

This study investigated flushing techniques on spare VXD3 CCD

Flash light to fill traps, then read out

@SLAC  ~ 2 × 10^9 n/cm^2, 
T_{room}, Pu(Be), ≈ 4 MeV
@SLAC  Annealing study 
100° C for 35 days
@Reactor (I)  ~ 2 × 10^9 n/cm^2, 
T_{room}, reactor*, ≈ 1 MeV
@Reactor (II)  ~ 1.2 × 10^9 n/cm^2, 
T~190K, reactor*, ≈ 1 MeV

Total exposure ~ 5.2 × 10^9 n/cm^2

Neutron Damage and Amelioration Study

Image of damaged sites

Image of damaged sites after flushing


Basic concept demonstrated; traps are filled by flash, permitting charge to pass without loss.
Signal Loss Results from Exposure

\[ \sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{n/cm}^2 \quad \sim 5.2 \times 10^9 \text{n/cm}^2 \]

T = 185K, cluster sum
no flushing light
4.05\% \quad 29.1\%

T = 185K, cluster sum
with flushing light
1.5\% \quad 18.0\% *

T = 178K
11.0\% *

Note (*) - flush is only partially effective in test set-up due to required delay between flash and readout (1 second)

In LC detector – much reduced loss with flushing

Basic concept demonstrated; future work should involve charge injection to keep traps filled.
Summary

• We have studied the sensitivity of the Higgs branching ratio measurements to the vertex detector parameters

• Very weak dependence of HBR’s for
  • $R_{INNER} = 1.2 \text{ cm} - 2.4 \text{ cm}$
  • hit res. = $3 \mu m - 5 \mu m$

• The neutron levels at the NLC are expected to reach the limits for CCD survival
  • Flushing techniques can keep traps filled
  • We need to improve rad hardness of CCDs