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1. Introduction

Recently, Alabern, Mateu and Verdera [1] characterized the fractional Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ via a new square function

$$S_\alpha(f)(x) := \left\{ \int_0^\infty |f_{B(x,t)} - f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^{1+2\alpha}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
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where $f_{B(x,t)}$ denotes the mean value of $f$ on the ball $B(x, t)$ with the center $x$ and radius $t$. They showed that $f \in W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|S_\alpha(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$. The key point for this result, as first observed by Wheeden [29] in the study of Lipschitz-type (Besov) spaces, and later independently by Alabern, Mateu and Verdera in [1], is that the square function $S_\alpha$ provides smoothness up to order 2 in the following sense: for all $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f_{B(x,t)} - f(x) = O(t^2)$, which follows from the second order Taylor expansion of $f$. Indeed, Wheeden in [29] obtained a general result which contains the above characterization for $\dot{W}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ as special cases. Via a similar observation, the corresponding characterization of higher order Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\alpha \in [2, \infty)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ was also obtained in [1]. Later, Yang, Yuan and Zhou [32] characterized the Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, via the square function

$$S_{\alpha,q}(f)(x) := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k\alpha q} |f_{B(x,2^{-k})} - f(x)|^{q} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

when the smoothness parameter $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Similar results for $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\alpha \in (2, \infty) \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, and for Besov spaces $\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$ were also obtained in [32] via some appropriately modified square function.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the above characterizations of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces from the isotropic setting into the weighted anisotropic setting. These spaces are associated with general expansive dilations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Muckenhoupt $A_\infty$ weights. The theory of function spaces in the weighted anisotropic setting, including Hardy spaces, Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, has been proved to be a very general theory which includes the classical isotropic spaces, the parabolic spaces, and the weighted spaces as special cases. For more details about this theory, we refer to [2–14, 23–26] and their references. On the other hand, there has been a significant interest in providing alternative characterizations of function spaces (see, for example, [18, 20–22, 25, 27, 28, 30–33]). In particular, in this paper we extend results from [32] to the weighted anisotropic setting.

In order to formulate our results we begin with some necessary definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** A real $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is called an expansive dilation, shortly a dilation, if $\max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda| > 1$, where $\sigma(A)$ is the set of all eigenvalues of $A$. A quasi-norm associated with expansive matrix $A$ is a Borel measurable mapping $\rho_A: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$, for simplicity, denoted as $\rho$, such that

(i) $\rho(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$;

(ii) $\rho(Ax) = b \rho(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $b := |\det A|$;

(iii) $\rho(x + y) \leq H [\rho(x) + \rho(y)]$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $H \in [1, \infty)$ is a constant.
It was pointed in [2, Lemma 2.2] that for any dilation $A$, there exist some constant $r \in (1, \infty)$ and a ellipsoid $\Delta$, i.e. $\Delta := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Px| < 1 \}$ for some nonnegative matrix $P$, such that

$$\Delta \subset r\Delta \subset A\Delta. \quad (1.1)$$

By a scaling we can additionally assume that the ellipsoid $\Delta$ in [2, Lemma 2.2] satisfies $|\Delta| = 1$. Let $B_k := A^k \Delta$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (1.1), we know that, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $B_k \subset B_{k+1}$ and $|B_k| = b^k$.

From [2, Lemma 2.4], we also deduce that any two homogeneous quasi-norms associated with a dilation $A$ are equivalent. For our purposes it is enough to restrict to a quasi-norm $\rho := \rho_A$, as in [2, Definition 2.5], given by

$$\rho(x) := \begin{cases} b^k, & x \in B_{k+1} \setminus B_k; \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $B_\rho(x, b^k) := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho(y-x) < b^{k+1} \}$. These balls are convex sets and satisfy that $|B_\rho(x, b^k)| = b^k$ and $B_\rho(x, b^j) \subset B_\rho(x, b^j)$ with $j \geq k$.

We now recall the class of Muckenhoupt weights associated with $A$ introduced in [6].

**Definition 1.2.** Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $A$ be a dilation and $w$ a non-negative measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. A function $w$ is said to belong to the class $A_p(A) := A_p(\mathbb{R}^n; A)$ of Muckenhoupt weights, if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that, when $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ b^{-k} \int_{B_\rho(x, b^k)} w(y) \, dy \right\} \left\{ b^{-k} \int_{B_\rho(x, b^k)} [w(y)]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \, dy \right\}^{p-1} \leq C$$

and, when $p = 1$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ b^{-k} \int_{B_\rho(x, b^k)} w(y) \, dy \right\} \left\{ \text{esssup}_{y \in B_\rho(x, b^k)} [w(y)]^{-1} \right\} \leq C.$$

Define $A_\infty(A) := \bigcup_{1 \leq p < \infty} A_p(A)$.

For any $w \in A_\infty(A)$, define

$$q_w := \inf \{ q \in [1, \infty) : w \in A_q(A) \}. \quad (1.2)$$

Obviously, $q_w \in [1, \infty)$. If $q_w \in (1, \infty)$, by [7, p. 3072], it is easy to know that $w \notin A_{q_w}(A)$. Moreover, even when $A = 2I_{n \times n}$, where $I_{n \times n}$ denotes the unit matrix.
of order $n \times n$, there exists a $w \in (\bigcap_{q>1} A_q(A)) \setminus A_1(A)$ such that $q_w = 1$; see Johnson and Neugebauer [19, p. 254, Remark].

Recall that $(\mathbb{R}^n, \rho, dx)$ is a space of homogeneous type; see [6, Proposition 2.3]. For some basic properties of the above weights, we refer, for example, to [16, Chapter IV] and [6].

For all $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$, the weighted Lebesgue space $L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the space of all $w(x) \, dx$-measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$  

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of all Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its topological dual space. Let $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) x^\gamma \, dx = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{S}'_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its topological dual space. It is not hard to show that any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\text{supp } \hat{\varphi}$ away from origin belongs to $\mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Denote by $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or resp. $L^1_{\text{loc}, w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) the space of all locally integrable (or resp. $w(x) \, dx$-integrable) functions. In what follows, for any $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (or resp. $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}, w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$), $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} g(y) \, dy := \frac{1}{|B_{\rho}(x, b^k)|} \int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} g(y) \, dy$$

(or resp.

$$\int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} g(y) w(y) \, dy := \frac{1}{w(B_{\rho}(x, b^k))} \int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} g(y) w(y) \, dy,$$

where $w(B_{\rho}(x, b^k)) := \int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} w(y) \, dy$. We say $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ means that $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the natural pairing $\langle f, \varphi \rangle$ given by the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \varphi(x) \, dx$ exists for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and induces an element of $\mathcal{S}'_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^a_{p, q}(A; w)$ the weighted anisotropic Triebel-Lizorkin space; see Section 2 for its definition. Moreover, we introduce the following function spaces of Triebel-Lizorkin type via a variant of the square function $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, q}$.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ and $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$.

(i) Let $p \in (0, \infty)$. The space $\mathcal{S}^a_{p, q}(A; w)$ is defined as the collection of all functions $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{S}^a_{p, q}(A; w)} := \|S_{\alpha, q}(f)\|_{L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$, where, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$S_{\alpha, q}(f)(x) := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{\alpha q} \left( \int_{B_{\rho}(x, b^k)} \left| f(y) - f(x) \right|^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$
with the usual modification made when \( q = \infty \).

(ii) The space \( \mathbf{SF}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \) is defined as the collection of all functions \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that

\[
\| f \|_{\mathbf{SF}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w)} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_{B_\rho(z, b^{-\ell})} \sum_{k \geq \ell} |\lambda|^k |f(y) - f(x)| dy \right\}^{\frac{q}{p}} < \infty
\]

with the usual modification made when \( q = \infty \).

Let \( \lambda_- \) and \( \lambda_+ \) be two positive numbers such that

\[
1 < \lambda_- < \min\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \leq \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} < \lambda_+.
\]

In the case when \( A \) is diagonalizable over \( \mathbb{C} \), we can even take

\[
\lambda_- := \min\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_+ := \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}.
\]

Otherwise, we need to choose them sufficiently close to these equalities according to what we need in our arguments. Let \( \zeta_\pm := \log_b \lambda_\pm \).

We first show that, in the sense of distributions, the elements in the weighted anisotropic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces \( \mathbf{F}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \) are locally integrable.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, \infty) \), \( w \in A_\infty(A) \), \( p \in (q_w, \infty] \) with \( q_w \) as in (1.2), and \( q \in (0, \infty] \). Then \( \mathbf{F}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) in the sense of \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

Using Theorem 1.4, we obtain the following characterization of \( \mathbf{F}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \).

**Theorem 1.5.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_-) \), \( w \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \), \( p \in (q_w, \infty] \) with \( q_w \) as in (1.2), and \( q \in (1, \infty] \). Then \( \mathbf{F}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) = \mathbf{SF}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \) with equivalent norms.

The corresponding conclusions for Besov spaces are also true. Indeed, let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( p, q \in (0, \infty] \). The space \( \mathbf{SB}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \) of Besov type is defined as the collection of all functions \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that

\[
\| f \|_{\mathbf{SB}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w)} := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda|^k \left\| \int_{B_\rho(z, b^{-k})} |f(y) - f(z)| \, dy \right\|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.
\]

Then we have analogous results to Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 for Besov spaces.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, \infty) \), \( w \in A_\infty(A) \), \( p \in (q_w, \infty] \) with \( q_w \) as in (1.2), and \( q \in (0, \infty] \). Then \( \mathbf{B}^p_{\alpha,q}(A; w) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) in the sense of \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \).
Theorem 1.7. Let \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_-) \), \( p \in (q_w, \infty] \) with \( q_w \) as in (1.2), and \( q \in (0, \infty] \). Then \( \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) = \mathbf{SB}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \) with equivalent norms.

Remark 1.8. (i) Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 generalize the \( S_{\alpha,q} \)-function characterization of isotropic Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces in [32, Theorems 1.2 and 4.1] and, in particular, the Sobolev space \( W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) in [1, Theorem 3], both in the case \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), to the anisotropic weighted cases. Indeed, as in [1, 32], let \( \dot{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) denote, respectively, the classical Triebel-Lizorkin space and the classical Besov space (see [25]). Observe that the parameter \( \alpha \) in \( \dot{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) plays a different role from the parameter \( \alpha \) used in \( \dot{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \) and \( \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \) of the present paper, which is caused by the difference existing in the definitions of these function spaces in [1, 32] and the present article. To be precise, if \( A = 2I_{n\times n} \), then \( \zeta_- = 1/n \) and \( b = 2^n \) and hence, when \( A = 2I_{n\times n} \) and \( w(x) := 1 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), then \( \dot{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) = \dot{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) = \dot{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Thus, in this case, \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_-) \) if and only if \( n\alpha \in (0, 2) \) and, therefore, Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 of the present paper coincide [32, Theorems 1.2 and 4.1] in the case \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \).

(ii) In [32, Theorems 1.2 and 4.1], the corresponding characterizations of isotropic Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces when \( \alpha \in (2N, 2N + 2) \), \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), were also obtained. However, it is still unknown whether the corresponding results of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 are also true when \( \alpha \geq 2\zeta_- \), due to the anisotropic structure of our spaces.

Remark 1.9. We point out that the inhomogeneous counterparts of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 are also true. Indeed, by referring to the definitions of weighted inhomogeneous anisotropic Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (see [3, Definition 3.3] and [6, Definition 3.3]), we can also give the definitions of weighted inhomogeneous spaces \( \mathbf{SB}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \) and \( \mathbf{SF}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \). Then, using some arguments similar to those for the homogeneous case, we can obtain the desired inhomogeneous results. We omit the details.

In comparison with [32] this paper considers a very general setting of Muckenhoupt weights and anisotropic dilations. This necessitates a more complicated approach which uses some additional techniques adopted for the anisotropic setting in [2, 4–6]. A key role in our arguments is played by a special variant of the Calderón reproducing formula associated with anisotropic dilations; see Lemma 3.8 below.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions and notation. The proofs of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.7 are given in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with some basic notions and notation.
Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all Schwartz functions, whose topology is determined by a family of seminorms, \( \{ || \cdot ||_{S_{k, m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \}_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \), where, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \in (0, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
\[
|| \varphi ||_{S_{k, m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^m|\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|.
\]

The above norm can be also equivalently modified with \((1 + |x|)^m\) replaced by \([1 + \rho(x)]^m\). Here, for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and $\partial^\alpha := (\partial_{\alpha_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial_{\alpha_n})^{\alpha_n}$. It is known that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ forms a locally convex topological vector space. Denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the topological dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ endowed with the weak $*$-topology. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the collection of all polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In what follows, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\varphi_k(x) := b^k \varphi(A^k x)$.

Now we recall the notion of weighted anisotropic Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces; see [3, 4, 6]. In what follows, for any $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\hat{\varphi}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $\varphi$, namely, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
\[
\hat{\varphi}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} \varphi(x) \, dx.
\]

**Definition 2.1.** Let $w \in A_\infty(A)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0, \infty]$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy
\[
\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset [-1/2, 1/2]^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi)| > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},
\]
where $A^*$ denotes the adjoint (transpose) of $A$.

The weighted anisotropic homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that
\[
|| f ||_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)} := \left\{ \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{kq} |\varphi_k * f|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \quad p \in (0, \infty),
\]
\[
|| f ||_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{\infty, q}(A; w)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \int_{B_\rho(x, \ell^{-1})} \left( \sum_{k \geq \ell} b^{kq} |\varphi_k * f(y)|^q w(y) \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,
\]
with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.

The weighted anisotropic homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $|| f ||_{\dot{B}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)} < \infty$, where
\[
|| f ||_{\dot{B}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)} := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{kq} ||\varphi_k * f||_{L^p(S^n)}^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}
\]
with the usual modifications made when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$. 
Remark 2.2. The space $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)$ was originally defined via dilated cubes in [4, Definition 3.2]. However, the estimate (3.14) in [4] indicates that the space $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)$ can also be equivalently defined via dilated balls, as in the above definition.

Remark 2.3. (i) Notice that, if $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)} = 0$, then $f$ is a polynomial. So the quotient space $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a quasi-Banach space. By abuse of the notation, the space $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also denoted by $\dot{F}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A; w)$ and its element $[f] := f + \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for simplicity by $f$. Similar observation applies to homogeneous Besov spaces.

(ii) By referring to [25, Section 5.1] or [6, p. 1479], we know that $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified with the space of all continuous functionals on the closed subspace $\mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Throughout the whole paper, we denote by $C$ a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq CB$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we then write $A \sim B$. If $E$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\chi_E$ its characteristic function. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not more than $a$.

3. Proofs of Main Results

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. The proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 are also sketched. To prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following three lemmas. The first lemma comes from [6, Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8].

Lemma 3.1. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (2.1) and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varphi}((A^*)^j \xi) \hat{\psi}((A^*)^j \xi) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$f(\cdot) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_j * \psi_j * f(\cdot) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{-j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_j * f(A^{-j}k) \psi_j(\cdot - A^{-j}k)$$

in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The next result follows from [4, (3.23)] and the fact that $w(x) \, dx$ is a $\rho_A$-doubling measure; see [4, Definition 2.5].
Lemma 3.2. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$, $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (2.1). Then there exist some positive constants $C$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that, for all $f \in \dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$ and integers $j \leq 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi_j * f(x)| \leq C b^{-j\alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)}.$$  

To emphasize the dependence on $\varphi$ of the norm in $\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$, we let $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w, \varphi)}$ denote $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)}$. The following lemma shows that the space $\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$ is independent of the choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (2.1); see [4, Corollary 3.6].

Lemma 3.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$, $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ and $\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (2.1). Then, for any $f \in \dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$, $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w, \varphi^{(1)})} \sim \|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w, \varphi^{(2)})}$ with the implicit positive constants independent of $f$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $f \in \dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)$ with $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$, $p \in (q_w, \infty]$ with $q_w$ as in (1.2) and $q \in (0, \infty]$. We only need to prove that there exists a function $g$ such that $f = g$ in $S'_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{B_{\rho}(0, \theta)} |g(x)| \, dx < \infty$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Let $x \in B_{\rho}(0, b^\ell)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (2.1) and (3.1), and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be sufficiently large. Let

$$I(x) := -\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} b^{-j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi_j * f(A^{-j}k) b^j \left[ \psi(A^j x - k) - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq L-1} \frac{\partial^\gamma \psi(-k)(A^j x)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \right]$$

and

$$\Pi(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j * \psi_j * f(x).$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1 and Remark 2.3(ii), we know that, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \langle I(x) + \Pi(x), \phi \rangle.$$  

We claim that $g$, which is defined pointwise by $g(x) := I(x) + \Pi(x)$, is the desired function.

By the Taylor remainder theorem, Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $|A^j x| \lesssim b^{jL} |x|$ for any $j \leq 0$ and $x \in B_{\rho}(0, b^\ell)$ (see [6, (2.8)]), we conclude that there exists some positive integer $N$ such that

$$I(x) \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}^\alpha_{p, q}(A; w)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} b^{j(L\zeta - \alpha)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{|\gamma| = L, \theta \in (0, 1)} \frac{|x|^L [1 + \rho(A^j x - k)]^N}{[1 + \rho(bA^j x - k)]^{N+2}}.$$
where $H \in [1, \infty)$ is as in Definition 1.1(iii) and $L$ is chosen such that $L \zeta_\alpha > N + \alpha$. Let $Q_k := k + [0, 1)^n$. Notice that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\rho(k) > 2Hb^\ell$, from the estimate $1/\rho(k) \lesssim |Q_k| \inf_{y \in Q_k} 1/\rho(y)$ and $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} Q_k$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{\rho(k) > 2Hb^\ell} [\rho(k)]^{-2} \lesssim \sum_{\rho(k) > 2Hb^\ell} \int_{Q_k} [\rho(y)]^{-2} dy \lesssim \int_{\rho(y) \gtrsim b^\ell} [\rho(y)]^{-2} dy \lesssim 1.
$$

This, together with the previous arguments, implies that $\int_{B_p(0, b^\ell)} |I(x)| \, dx < \infty$.

On the other hand, notice that, for any $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$ and $p \in (q_w, \infty]$, we have $w \in \mathcal{A}_p(A)$ and $w^{-\rho'/p} \in \mathcal{A}_{p'}(A)$ with $p'$ satisfying $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By Hölder’s inequality, $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ and Lemma 3.3 with $\varphi$, $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (2.1) and (3.1), we conclude that

$$
\int_{B_p(0, b^\ell)} |\Pi(x)| \, dx \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_{B_p(0, b^\ell)} |\varphi_j * \psi_j * f(x)| \, dx
\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w, \varphi, \psi)} \sum_{j=0}^\infty b^{-j\alpha} \int_{B_p(0, b^\ell)} |w(x)|^{-\frac{q'}{p'}} \, dx
\lesssim C_{w, \ell} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w)}.
$$

Combining the above estimates on $I(x)$ and $\Pi(x)$, we see that $g$ is locally integrable, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 follows immediately as a consequence of the following Theorems 3.4 and 3.7. Thus, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.5, it suffices to prove the following Theorems 3.4 and 3.7.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_-)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A)$, $p \in (q_w, \infty]$ and $q \in (1, \infty]$. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w)$, then there exists a polynomial $P_f$ such that $f + P_f \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w)$. Moreover, $\|f + P_f\|_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{p,q}^\alpha(A; w)}$, where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $f$.

To prove Theorem 3.4, we need the following lemma; see [6, Lemma 3.6] and [4, Proposition 3.15].
Lemma 3.5. For any \( \varphi^{(1)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying (2.1), there exists \( \psi^{(1)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying (2.1) such that \( \varphi^{(1)} \) and \( \psi^{(1)} \) satisfy (3.1). Moreover, for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A), p, q \in (0, \infty] \) and \( f \in \mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \), there exist polynomials \( \{P_j^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( P_f^{(1)} \) with degrees not more than \( [\alpha/\zeta_-] \) such that

\[
f + P_f^{(1)} = \lim_{i \to -\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j^{(1)} * \psi_j^{(1)} * f + P_i^{(1)} \right\}
\]

in \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Let \( (\varphi^{(2)}, \psi^{(2)}) \) be another pair of Schwartz functions also satisfying (2.1) and (3.1). Then, the corresponding polynomial \( P_f^{(2)} \) satisfies

\[
\deg(P_f^{(1)} - P_f^{(2)}) \leq [\alpha/\zeta_-].
\]

By [5, Corollary 3.7], we have the following embedding result.

Lemma 3.6. Let \( w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty(A), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, p \in (0, \infty] \) and \( q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty] \) with \( q_1 \leq q_2 \). Then \( \mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q_1}(A; w) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q_2}(A; w). \)

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 1.4, we know that \( \mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) in the sense of distributions. So, for any \( f \in \mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w) \), we only need to prove that there exists a polynomial \( P_f \) such that \( \|f + P_f\|_{L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathbf{F}^\alpha_{p,q}(A; w)}. \)

Let \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) be, respectively, as in \( \varphi^{(1)} \) and \( \psi^{(1)} \) of Lemma 3.5. In this case, we denote the corresponding \( P_f^{(1)} \) and \( \{P_i^{(1)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) in (3.2), respectively, by \( P_f \) and \( \{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \). Then (3.2) holds for \( f \) and the degrees of the polynomials \( \{P_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) in (3.2) are not more than \( [\alpha/\zeta_-] \). Since \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_-) \), each \( P_i \) has degree at most 1, and thus \( P_i(x) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_j + b_i, x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), for some constants \( a_{i,j} \) and \( b_i \). Furthermore, notice that, for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}, B_\rho(0, b^{-k}) = A^{-k}\Delta \) is symmetric at origin due to (1.1) and \( \int_{B_\rho(0, b^{-k})} \xi dz = 0 \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). Then, for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we have

\[
P_i(x) - \int_{B_\rho(x,b^{-k})} P_i(z) dz = P_i(x) - \int_{B_\rho(0,b^{-k})} P_i(x+z) dz = 0.
\]

Moreover, if \( \varphi^{(i)}, \psi^{(i)}, i \in \{1,2\} \), satisfy (2.1) and

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varphi}^{(i)}((A^*)^{-k}\xi) \hat{\psi}^{(i)}((A^*)^{-k}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},
\]

then, by Lemma 3.5, \( P_f^{(1)} - P_f^{(2)} \) is a polynomial of degree not more than \( [\alpha/\zeta_-] \leq 1 \), where \( P_f^{(i)} \) is as in (3.2) corresponding to \( \varphi^{(i)} \) and \( \psi^{(i)} \) for \( i \in \{1,2\} \). Then, by (3.3),
for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we see that
\[
P_j^{(1)}(x) - P_j^{(2)}(x) = \int_{B_{\rho}(x,b^{-k})} [P_j^{(1)}(z) - P_j^{(2)}(z)] \, dz = 0.
\]
(3.4)

Let \( \tilde{f} := f + P_f \). By (3.2), we have
\[
\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_{B,(b^{-k})} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f
\]
(3.5)
in \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \), where \( \tilde{f}_{B,(b^{-k})} := \int_{B,(b^{-k})} \tilde{f}(y) \, dy \), \( \chi := \frac{\chi_{B_{\rho}(0,1)}}{\|\chi\|_{L^\infty}} \) and \( \chi_k := b^k \chi(A^k \cdot) \).

From (3.4), it follows that \( \tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_{B,(b^{-k})} \) is independent of the choices of \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) satisfying (2.1) and (3.1). Then, to prove Theorem 3.4, it suffices to show that, when \( p \in (q_w, \infty) \) and \( q \in (1, \infty) \),
\[
\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{k\alpha q} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(x)| \right)^q \right] \, w(x) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^w(A; w)},
\]
(3.6)

When \( p = \infty \) and \( q \in (1, \infty] \) we need to show that, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[
\left\{ \int_{B_{\rho}(x,b^{-\ell})} \sum_{k \geq \ell} t^{k\alpha q} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(y)| \right)^q \, w(y) \, dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^w(A; w)},
\]
(3.7)

Indeed, if (3.6) holds, then, for each \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(x)| \right]^p \, w(x) \, dx < \infty,
\]
which implies that (3.5) holds in \( L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and hence almost everywhere. Therefore, for every \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[
|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_{B,(b^{-k})}| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f|
\]
almost everywhere, and hence \( \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{S}F_{p,q}^w(A; w)} \) is dominated by the left hand side of (3.6), which implies that \( \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{S}F_{p,q}^w(A; w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^w(A; w)} \). Similarly, if (3.7) holds, then (3.5) holds in \( L^1_{\text{loc}, w}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and hence almost everywhere and, therefore, an argument similar to the above leads to \( \|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{S}F_{p,q}^w(A; w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^w(A; w)} \).
To prove (3.6), we consider $\sum_{j \leq k}$ and $\sum_{j > k}$ separately. Notice that, for any smooth function $\Phi$ on $\mathbb{R}$,
\[ \Phi(1) = \Phi(0) + \int_0^1 \Phi'(s) \, ds = \Phi(0) + \Phi'(0) + \int_0^1 (1 - s)\Phi''(s) \, ds. \] (3.8)
Let $\Phi(s) := \varphi(A^j x + sz)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then (3.8) can be written as
\[ \varphi(A^j x + z) = \varphi(A^j x) + (\nabla \varphi)(A^j x) z^t + \int_0^1 (1 - s) z (\nabla^2 \varphi)(A^j x + sz) z^t \, ds, \]
where $z^t$ denotes the transpose of $z$.

Notice that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \in B_\rho(0, b^{j-k})$ with $j \leq k$ and $s \in [0, 1],
1 + \rho(A^j x + sz) \geq 1 + \rho(A^j x)/H - \rho(sz) \geq \rho(A^j x),
and hence
\[ 1 + \rho(A^j x - sz) \geq 1 + \rho(A^j x). \]
Therefore, when $j \leq k$, by the fact that $|x| \leq C[\rho(x)]^{\xi^{-}}$ for all $\rho(x) \leq 1$ (see [2, Section 2]), we see that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
\[
|\chi_k * \varphi_j(x) - \varphi_j(x)| = \left| \int_{B_\rho(0,1)} b^j \left[ \varphi(A^j x + A^{j-k} z) - \varphi(A^j x) \right] \, dz \right|
= \left| \int_{B_\rho(0,b^{j-k})} b^j \left[ \varphi(A^j x + z) - \varphi(A^j x) \right] \, dz \right|
= \left| \int_{B_\rho(0,b^{j-k})} b^j \int_0^1 (1 - s) z (\nabla^2 \varphi)(A^j x + sz) z^t \, ds \, dz \right|
\lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta_-} \frac{b^j}{[1 + \rho(A^j x)]^L}. \tag{3.9}
\]
where $L \in (1, \infty)$. Hence,
\[
|\langle \chi_k * \varphi_j - \varphi_j \rangle * \psi_j \ast f(x)| \lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta_-} \frac{b^j}{[1 + \rho(A^j y)]^L} |\psi_j \ast f(x - y)| \, dy
\lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta_-} M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x). \tag{3.10}
\]
Here $M_\rho$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined, for all locally integrable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, by
\[ M_\rho f(x) := \sup_{y \in B_\rho(x, r)} \int_{B_\rho(y, r)} |f(z)| \, dz, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]
Then, by choosing any $\delta \in (0, 2\zeta_\infty - \alpha)$, together with Hölder’s inequality, we conclude that

$$I_1 := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{k\alpha q} \left( \sum_{j \leq k} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k \ast \varphi_j) \ast \psi_j \ast f(x)| \right) \right]^{p/q} w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{j\alpha q} \left| M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x) \right|^q \right] w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{j\alpha q} \left( M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x) \right)^q \right] w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{F}^q_{\alpha, q}(A; w)}.$$

On the other hand, notice that, when $j > k$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it holds that

$$|(\chi_k \ast \varphi_j - \varphi_j) \ast \psi_j \ast f(x)| \leq |\chi_k \ast \varphi_j \ast \psi_j \ast f(x)| + |\varphi_j \ast \psi_j \ast f(x)| \leq \chi_k \ast |M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x)| + M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x) \leq M_\rho \circ M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x),$$

where $M_\rho \circ M_\rho$ denotes the composition of $M_\rho$ and $M_\rho$. Then, by taking $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$ and Hölder’s inequality, we see that

$$I_2 := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{k\alpha_q} \left( \sum_{j > k} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k \ast \varphi_j) \ast \psi_j \ast f(x)| \right) \right]^{p/q} w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{j\alpha q} \left[ M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x) \right]^q \right] w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{j\alpha q} \left( M_\rho \circ M_\rho(\psi_j \ast f)(x) \right)^q \right] w(x) \, dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\| f \right\|_{\mathbb{F}^q_{\alpha, q}(A; w)}.$$

This, together with the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, implies that $I_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbb{F}^q_{\alpha, q}(A; w)}$. This proves (3.6).
To prove (3.7), we split the sum over \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) into three parts: \( j < \ell \leq k, \ell \leq j \leq k, \) and \( j > k \geq \ell \). If \( j \leq \ell \leq k \), then, from (3.9) and Hölder’s inequality, we deduce that, for all \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
| (\chi_k * \varphi_j - \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(y) | \lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b^j}{[1 + \rho(A^j z)]^L} |\psi_j * f(y - z)| \, dz \\
\lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta-} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i^{i(1-L)} \int_{B_r(y, b_i^{-j})} |\psi_j * f(z)| \, dz.
\]

Moreover, for any \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n, i \geq 0 \) and \( r_0 > \max\{q_w, q\} \) with \( q \in (1, \infty] \) and \( q_w \) as in (1.2), by Hölder’s inequality with \( r_0 \), the definition of \( A_{r_0}(A) \) and Lemma 3.6 with \( q_1 = q \) and \( q_2 = r_0 \), we have

\[
\int_{B_r(y, b_i^{-j})} |\psi_j * f(z)| \, dz \lesssim \left\{ \int_{B_r(y, b_i^{-j})} |\psi_j * f(z)|^q w(z) \, dz \right\}^{1/q} \\
\lesssim b^{-j\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r_0, q}(A;d)} \lesssim b^{-j\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r_0, q}(A;d)}.
\]

From this and \( L \in (1, \infty) \), it follows that

\[
| (\chi_k * \varphi_j - \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(y) | \lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta-} b^{-j\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r_0, q}(A;d)}.
\]

This, together with \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta-) \), implies that

\[
\left\{ \int_{B_{r}(x, b_i^{-j})} b^{k\alpha q} \left[ \sum_{j \leq \ell} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k * \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(y)| \right] \, dy \right\}^{1/q} \\
\lesssim \left\{ \int_{B_{r}(x, b_i^{-j})} b^{k\alpha q} \left[ \sum_{j \leq \ell} b^{2(j-k)\zeta-} b^{-j\alpha} \right] \, dy \right\}^{1/q} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r_0, q}(A;d)} \\
\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r_0, q}(A;d)}.
\]

If \( \ell < j \leq k \), then, for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( y \in B_{r}(x, b^{-\ell}) \), using (3.10), we know that

\[
| (\chi_k * \varphi_j - \varphi_j) * \psi_j * f(y) | \lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta-} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b^j |\psi_j * f(z)| \chi_{B_r(x, 2H_{b^{-\ell}})}(z)}{[1 + b^j \rho(y - z)]^L} \, dz \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b^j |\psi_j * f(z)| \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(x, 2H_{b^{-\ell}})}(z)}{[1 + b^j \rho(y - z)]^L} \, dz \right].
\]

Since \( L \in (1, \infty) \), we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b^j |\psi_j * f(z)| \chi_{B_r(x, 2H_{b^{-\ell}})}(z)}{[1 + b^j \rho(y - z)]^L} \, dz \lesssim M_{\rho} \left( |\psi_j * f| \chi_{B_r(x, 2H_{b^{-\ell}})} \right)(y). \tag{3.13}
\]
On the other hand, notice that, if \( y \in B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell}) \) and \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell}) \), then \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(y, b^{-\ell}) \). Therefore,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b^j |\psi_j * f(z)|}{1 + b^j \rho(y - z)} \, dz \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\rho(y, b^{-j+1})} \frac{b^j |\psi_j * f(z)|}{b^j \rho(y - z)} \, dz \\
\lesssim \sum_{i \geq j-\ell} b^i(1-L) \int_{B_\rho(y, b^{-j+i})} |\psi_j * f(z)| \, dz \\
\lesssim b^{(j-L)(1-L)} b^{-j\alpha} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty,q}(A;w)}.
\]

Thus, for all \( y \in B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell}) \), we see that

\[
|\langle \chi_k \ast \varphi_j - \varphi_j \rangle | \lesssim b^{2(j-k)\zeta - (\gamma - \alpha)} M_\rho(\psi_j * f \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})})(y) \\
+ b^{2(j-k)\zeta - (\gamma - \alpha)} M_\rho(\psi_j * f \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})})(y).
\]

Using (3.13), (3.14), Hölder’s inequality, and the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, by an estimate similar to (3.11), we conclude that

\[
\left\{ \int_{B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell})} b^{k \alpha q} \left[ \sum_{j < k} |\langle \varphi_j - \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})} \rangle | \right]^q w(y) \, dy \right\}^{1/q} \\
\lesssim \left\{ \int_{B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell})} b^{k \alpha q} \left[ \sum_{j < k} b^{2(j-k)\zeta - (\gamma - \alpha)} M_\rho(\psi_j * f \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})})(y) \right]^q w(y) \, dy \right\}^{1/q} \\
+ \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty,q}(A;w)} \\
\lesssim \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty,q}(A;w)}.
\]

Similarly, if \( j > k \geq \ell \), then we see that, for all \( y \in B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell}) \),

\[
|\langle \chi_k \ast \varphi_j - \varphi_j \rangle | \lesssim M_\rho \left( \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})} \right) (y) + b^{-j\alpha} b^{(j-\ell)(1-L)} \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty,q}(A;w)}.
\]

This, together with an estimate similar to (3.12), implies that

\[
\left\{ \int_{B_\rho(x, b^{-\ell})} b^{k \alpha q} \left[ \sum_{j > k} |\langle \varphi_j - \chi_{B_\rho(x, 2Hb^{-\ell})} \rangle | \right]^q w(y) \, dy \right\}^{1/q} \\
\lesssim \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\infty,q}(A;w)}.
\]

Combining the estimates in the above three cases, we then obtain (3.7) and hence complete the proof of Theorem 3.4. \qed
The following theorem shows another part of Theorem 1.5.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 2\zeta), w \in A_\infty(A), p \in (q_\infty, \infty] \) and \( q \in (1, \infty] \). If \( f \in \mathbf{SF}_{p,q}^{a}(A; w) \), then \( f \in \mathbf{F}_{p,q}^{a}(A; w) \) and there exists a positive constant \( C \), independent of \( f \), such that \( \|f\|_{\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^{a}(A; w)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathbf{SF}_{p,q}^{a}(A; w)} \).

The proof of Theorem 3.7 relies on the following variant of the Calderón reproducing formula.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( \chi := \frac{x_{B_{\rho}(0,1)}}{|B_{\rho}(0,1)|} \), \( L \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\} \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). Then there exist \( j_0 := j_0(A; n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying that \( \text{supp} \phi \subseteq B_\rho(0,1) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)x^\gamma \, dx = 0
\]

for all \( |\gamma| \leq L \), and \( \text{supp} \hat{\psi} \subseteq [-1/2, 1/2]^n \setminus \{0\} \) such that, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}_j(\xi) \hat{\phi}_j(\xi) [\hat{\chi}_j(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{j-j_0}(\xi)] = 1. \tag{3.15}
\]

Moreover, for every \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \), there exist polynomials \( \{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and \( P_f \) such that

\[
f + P_f = \lim_{i \to -\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \phi_j * \psi_j * (f_{B_{\rho}(\cdot, b^j)} - f_{B_{\rho}(\cdot, b^j-j_0)}) + P_i \right\} \tag{3.16}
\]

in \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

**Proof.** It suffices to show (3.15). The proof of (3.16) follows from (3.15) and an argument similar to that used in the proof of [6, Lemma 2.6].

We shall construct \( \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that \( \text{supp} \hat{\psi} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2\} \) for two positive constants \( C_1 < C_2 \) to be chosen later. First we will show that there exist \( j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and a positive constant \( C_0 \) such that

\[
|\hat{\chi}(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{-j_0}(\xi)| \geq C_0 > 0 \quad \text{for all} \ C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2. \tag{3.17}
\]

Notice that \( B_{\rho}(0,1) = \Delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Px| \leq 1\} \) for some nonnegative matrix \( P \). Moreover, by [17, p. 429], we know that \( \hat{\chi}_{B(0,1)}(\xi) = J_{n/2}(2\pi|\xi|)/|\xi|^{n/2} \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \), where the Bessel function is given by

\[
J_v(t) := \frac{\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^v}{\Gamma(v + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{its} (1 - s^2)^{v-\frac{1}{2}} \, ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.\]
Since \( \chi(x) = \chi_{B(0, 1)}(Px) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), it follows that, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\hat{\chi}(\xi) = |\det(P^{-1})|\chi_{B(0, 1)}((P^{-1})^*\xi) = \frac{|\det(P^{-1})|J_{n/2}(2\pi|(P^{-1})^*\xi|)}{|(P^{-1})^*\xi|^n} ,
\]

where \((P^{-1})^*\) denotes the transpose of \(P^{-1}\). By \(\hat{\chi}_{-j_0}(\xi) = \hat{\chi}((A^*)^{j_0}\xi)\), we then see that, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\hat{\chi}_{-j_0}(\xi) = \frac{|\det(P^{-1})|J_{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi|(P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi|)}{|(P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi|^\frac{n}{2}} .
\]

Therefore, for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we know that

\[
|\hat{\chi}(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{-j_0}(\xi)| \leq \pi^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2 + 1/2)\Gamma(1/2) \times \left| \int_{-1}^{1} \left[ e^{2\pi i((P^{-1})^*\xi) s} - e^{2\pi i((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi) s} \right] \left[ (1 - s^2)^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{2}} \right] ds \right| .
\]

Since the spectrum \( \sigma(A^*) = \sigma(A) \), by [2, (2.1)], we conclude that there exists a positive constant \( C_3 := C_3(A; n) \) such that, for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \),

\[
1/C_3(\lambda_-)^j|\xi| \leq ||(A^*)^j\xi|| \leq C_3(\lambda_+)^j|\xi| .
\]

Thus, we can pick an integer \( j_0 := j_0(A; n) \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough such that, for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \),

\[
2||((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi| < ||((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi| .
\]

(3.19)

Choose a positive constant \( C_2 \) sufficiently close to zero and a positive constant \( C_1 := C_2/(8\|A\|) \) such that, for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2 \),

\[
||((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi| < 1/8 ,
\]

(3.20)

where \( \|A\| := \{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2\}^{1/2} \) for \( A := (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \). By (3.19) and (3.20), we see that there exists a positive constant \( C_4 \) such that

\[
0 < C_4 \leq \pi||((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi| \pm ||((P^{-1})^*\xi| < \pi/4 \quad \text{for } C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2 .
\]

Consequently, using the fact that \( \sin t \geq t/2 \) for \( t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \), we conclude that, for \( s \in (0, 1) \),

\[
\cos 2\pi s||((P^{-1})^*\xi| - \cos 2\pi s||((P^{-1})^*(A^*)^{j_0}\xi|
\]
Combining this inequality with (3.18), we obtain (3.17), namely, for all $C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2$, \[
|\hat{\chi}(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{=j_0}(\xi)| \gtrsim \int_0^1 s^2(1 - s^2)^{n/2-1/2} ds > 0.
\]

For any fixed $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$, select a positive smooth function $\phi$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset B_\rho(0,1)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)x^\gamma dx = 0$ for all $|\gamma| \leq L$, particularly when $L = -1$, the above vanishing condition is void, and $|\hat{\phi}(\xi)| \gtrsim C > 0$ for all $C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2$, where $C$ is a positive constant. For a construction of such $\phi$, see [15, Theorem 2.6]. Then $\phi \ast (\chi - \chi_{j_0}) \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has vanishing moments till order $L$ and satisfies that \[
|\hat{\phi}(\xi)[\hat{\chi}(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{=j_0}(\xi)]| \gtrsim 1 \tag{3.21}
\]
for all $C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2$.

Choose $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{g}$ is nonnegative, supp $g \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2\}$ and $g(\xi) \gtrsim C > 0$ if $2C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2/2$, where $C$ is a positive constant. We claim that, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, there exists some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|(A^*)^j| \notin [2C_1, C_2/2]$. Since $2C_1 \|A\| = C_2/2$, the smallest $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|(A^*)^j| \gtrsim 2C_1$ does this job. Let $F := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g((A^*)^{-j}\cdot)$. Then, $F$ is a bounded smooth function and $F((A^*)^{j}\cdot) \equiv F$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $F(\xi) \gtrsim 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Define $h := g/F$. Then, $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, supp $h \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2\}$ and, for all $\xi \neq 0$, $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} h((A^*)^{-j}\xi) = 1$. By (3.21), we can define a Schwartz function $\psi$ by setting $\hat{\psi} := h\{|\hat{\chi} - \hat{\chi}_{=j_0}|\}^{-1}$. Then \[
\text{supp } \hat{\psi} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : C_1 \leq |\xi| \leq C_2\}
\]
and, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, \[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}(j)\hat{\phi}(j)(\hat{\chi}_j(\xi) - \hat{\chi}_{=j_0}(\xi)) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}((A^*)^{-j}\xi) = 1.
\]

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.8.

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 3.7.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 2\zeta_\ast)$, $f \in \mathbf{SF}_{p, q}^\alpha(A; w)$ and $j_0$ be as in Lemma 3.8. By Lemma 3.8 and $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that \[
f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j * \psi_j * (\chi_j - \chi_{=j_0}) * f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j * \psi_j * (f_{B_{\rho}(\cdot, b^{-j})} - f_{B_{\rho}(\cdot, b^{j_0})}).
\]
which, modulo polynomials, holds in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here, $\phi$ and $\psi$ are as in Lemma 3.8. Let $\varphi$ be as in (2.1). For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\varphi_k \ast f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k \ast \phi_j \ast \psi_j \ast (f_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} - f_{B^p(a, b^{0-j})}).$$

Notice that, for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|\varphi_k \ast \phi_j \ast \psi_j(x)| = |\varphi_k \ast (\phi \ast \psi)_j(x)| \lesssim b^{-(s+1)|j-k| \zeta - \frac{b^{\min\{j, k\}}}{[1 + b^{\min\{j, k\}} r(x)]^L}},$$

(3.22)

where $s, L$ can be chosen sufficiently large; see [8, Lemma 5.4]. Thus,

$$|\varphi_k \ast \phi_j \ast \psi_j \ast g| = |\varphi_k \ast (\phi \ast \psi)_j \ast g| \lesssim b^{-2j - k} \mu_{\rho}(g).$$

Therefore, when $p \in (q_\nu, \infty)$, from Definition 2.1, Hölder’s inequality and the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, we infer that

$$\|f\|_{F^p_{q, \varphi(A; \omega)}^k} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{k \alpha q} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{-2j - k} \mu_{\rho}(f_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} - f_{B^p(a, b^{0-j})}) \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{k \alpha q} \left[ M_{\rho}(f_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} - f_{B^p(a, b^{0-j})}) \right] \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{\alpha q} \left| f_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} - f \right| \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|S_{\alpha, q}(f)\|_{L^p_{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

When $p = \infty$, we need to show that

$$\left\{ \int_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{k \alpha q} \left| \varphi_k \ast \phi_j \ast \psi_j \ast \left[ f_{B^p(a, b^{-j})} - f_{B^p(a, b^{0-j})} \right](y) \right| w(y) \, dy \right\}^{1/q}$$

is controlled by $\|f\|_{SF^p_{q, \varphi(A; \omega)}}$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proof of this is similar to that of (3.7), where we need to split $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ into three parts. Using (3.22) one can show that $\|f\|_{F^p_{q, \varphi(A; \omega)}} \lesssim \|f\|_{SF^p_{q, \varphi(A; \omega)}}$. We leave the details to the reader. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.7. \qed

Finally, we sketch the details needed to deal with Besov spaces.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1.5. Thus, we only prove an analogue of the estimate of $I_1$ in the proof of Theorem 3.4 for Besov spaces. The other estimates are left to the reader. For any $p > q_w$ and $q ∈ (0, ∞)$, by (3.10), Minkowski’s inequality with $p ∈ (q_w, ∞)$ and the $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_\rho$, we have

$$I_1 := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_{j \leq k} |(\varphi_j - \chi_k) * \varphi_j * f(x)| \right)^p w(x) \, dx \right|^\frac{q}{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_{j \leq k} b^{(j-k)(2\zeta - \alpha - \delta) + j\alpha} M_\rho(\varphi_j * f)(x) \right)^p w(x) \, dx \right]^\frac{q}{p} \right\}^\frac{1}{q}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[ \sum_{j \leq k} b^{(j-k)(2\zeta - \alpha - \delta) + j\alpha} \| \psi_j * f \|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

When $q = \infty$, it is easy to obtain $I_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A;w)}$. When $q ∈ (0, 1]$, we use the $q$-triangle inequality to deduce the same conclusion. Finally, when $q ∈ (1, \infty)$, we choose $\delta ∈ (0, 2\zeta - \alpha)$. Then, by Hölder’s inequality, we see that

$$I_1 \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \leq k} b^{(j-k)(2\zeta - \alpha - \delta) + j\alpha} \| \psi_j * f \|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} b^{(j-k)\delta} \right\}^q \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A;w)}.$$

Combining the estimates above, we conclude that $I_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\alpha}_{p,q}(A;w)}$ for $q ∈ (0, \infty]$ and $p ∈ (q_w, \infty)$. The same estimate also holds for $p = \infty$ with usual modifications, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.
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