Issues Discussed

1. General Overview:
   a. Design Development will be completed in December 2013.
   b. The Craft Center package will tentatively be submitted for permit review in December 2013

2. The proposed trash collection area near the northeast corner of the new building was discussed. UO indicated this trash collection area would likely be going away.

3. Larry of Cameron McCarthy (CM) provided an overview of the current site design concepts.

4. The need for crane access to Straub Hall was discussed.

5. Garrick Mishaga expressed a preference to use a 6” curb around the plant beds containing trees around the south lawn area to discourage pedestrian traffic.

6. There was some discussion about whether or not reinforced lawn was appropriate for use around the perimeter of the lawn area.
   a. Vince Babkirk and others expressed concern about the performance of reinforced lawns on past projects. It was acknowledged that reinforced lawns are not a concern when used for only emergency vehicle access. However, there was concern that reinforced lawns experiencing regular bicycle or vehicle traffic do not perform well. UO cited the recently constructed reinforced lawn at the Global Scholars Hall and other projects that are not performing well.
   b. Widening the pavement around the south lawn was discussed as an option instead of reinforced lawn, but a final consensus was not reached.

7. CM discussed opportunities for vegetated stormwater treatment facilities along the south side of 13th, south of the Craft Center, and within the south entry courtyard.

8. Geoff with BHE noted that the proposed design includes vegetated treatment, but also includes a proprietary (mechanical) manhole-type treatment structure to provide treatment for the majority of the project area.

9. Geoff with BHE explained that the City of Eugene stormwater code amendments will be adopted in January 2013. The code amendments include a hierarchy, requiring infiltration and vegetated treatment where feasible, allowing mechanical treatment only if vegetated treatment is not feasible.

10. Fred noted that the proposed hierarchy for vegetated treatment sounded consistent with UO policy.

11. Geoff noted that, if the City’s proposed hierarchy ends up not being fully in line with the project budget and UO’s policy for vegetated treatment, there may be an option to lock-in the current code by submitting an early permit package. For example, the Craft Center permit package could possibly include the downstream proprietary stormwater treatment structure to serve the entire EMU project.

12. Fred recommended that the stormwater treatment issue be further discussed with the Management Team to ensure UO’s goals are fully understood.

13. Fred noted that UO campus standard lighting will be required and alternatives will not be accepted. LISB should not be used as an example.
14. Larry with CM discussed the possibility of reusing soil excavated from the site, noting that this would reduce the risk of importing invasive plants. Garrick was receptive to this idea and indicated a stockpile area would be dedicated for soils, but it may not be within the project area.

15. UO noted their preference to remove the sweetgum trees within the south parking lot. This will need to be reconciled with the current budget.

16. Fred noted that the design team needs to review the UO campus tree plan and reconcile with the site plan.