# PSYCHOLOGY 407/507: ATTACHMENT THEORY AND RELATIONSHIPS Spring 2015

Tuesdays, 12:00-1:50; Room 257 Straub Hall

**Professor:** Jennifer Ablow, Ph.D.

Office Hours: Tuesday 2:00-3:00, and by appointment

Office: 475 Straub Hall

e-mail: <u>jcablow@uoregon.edu</u>

No phone calls or voicemail please

GTF: Xiaoning (Shining) Sun

Office Hours: Tuesday 10:00-12:00, and by appointment

Office: 439 Straub Hal e-mail: <a href="mailto:sun2@uoregon.edu">sun2@uoregon.edu</a>

No phone calls or voicemail please

## **Course Description**

Attachment theory has emerged as one of the leading frameworks for the study of close relationships, personality processes, and emotional dynamics. The theory has gained in importance largely because it addresses a wide range of issues that are central to human well being: the development of intimate relationships; the regulation of thought, feeling, and action; and the processes promoting mental health. Moreover, from a scientific and educational point of view, attachment theory is compelling because it draws upon the theories and empiricism of multiple perspectives, including ethology, evolution, and virtually all areas of psychology (e.g., clinical, development, cognitive, neuroscience, and social-personality psychology).

Through reading and discussion of original sources (i.e., empirical and theoretical papers), students in this advanced seminar will explore the universality of and individual differences in the development and trajectory of attachment relationships. We will begin by exploring the theoretical underpinnings of attachment theory. Parallels will be made to animal models by exploring evolutionary theory, primate research and biological markers of attachment (e.g., hormones and physiology responsible for caregiving behavior). By examining the literature on infant-parent attachment relationships, core constructs of attachment will be defined, individual differences in patterns of attachment will be outlined, and issues of measurement will be introduced. In addition attachment and romantic and marital relationships and the intergenerational transmission of attachment will be examined. Finally, the course will explore how maladaptive processes relate to attachment (e.g., abuse). Throughout the course, issues of attachment across cultures will figure prominently. The course will include lecture, presentations and discussion of videos, assigned readings and observation.

## **Learning Goals**

This course is designed to help students meet the following goals:

- 1. To understand the foundations of attachment and how it originated
- 2. To understand how attachment theory has guided empirical research focusing on relationships and how research findings have influenced the evolution of the theory
- 3. To articulate the associations among the infant's emotional experience, caregiver-child reciprocity, brain development, and ongoing affective regulation
- 4. To demonstrate knowledge of the longitudinal studies documenting the influence of attachment on the neurobiological, behavioral, cognitive, relational and physiological development of individuals across the lifespan

- 5. To evaluate the cultural differences of attachment patterns validated through empirical crosscultural research
- 6. To engage in critical thinking about attachment theory, research, and its applications

## **Course Structure**

We will meet for 2 hours in a single session once a week. Each week we will read several articles. Early in the quarter, I will provide introductory overviews and lectures (always interspersed with discussion). Once you have learned the basics of the theory, the class will take on more of a discussion-oriented structure. Student groups will be assigned to help guide the discussion for each meeting. Discussion leaders will be asked to summarize the readings to ensure that everyone is on the same page and generate and lead a discussion.

#### **COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND GRADING**

- 1. Attendance, Readings and Reaction Papers (5 points per reaction paper X 10 = 50 points). Regular attendance, participation in class discussion and responsibility for assigned readings is required. If you need to miss all or part of a class, please let me know in advance. I will give you additional work to make up for your absence. Inadequate attendance will lead to a decrease in your grade. Assigned readings must be done prior to the class and a brief written reaction paper (1 page) sent via email to jcablow@uoregon.edu, and to the assigned student discussion facilitators (by 8:00 PM, Monday evening prior to class). Your reactions should focus on insights, conceptual connections, criticisms, and questions regarding the readings. The standard is evidence of having read and thought about the material. The reactions should not be solely a summary of the readings, but also include comments, ideas or questions that come up as you read. Reactions can be personal, conceptual or both. Your reactions will be graded with respect to three factors: (a) whether your reaction was submitted on time, (b) the quality of your writing (i.e., clarity, grammar, coherence), and (c) the quality of your ideas (i.e., Do they reflect a careful reading and thoughtful consideration of the issues? Do they have the potential to generate productive discussion? Do they suggest new directions for research that could actually be pursued?). An example of a 5-point reaction paper may be found on Canvas.
  - a. The goal of a seminar is to foster active discussion. My hope is to create an environment in which everyone feels comfortable sharing their ideas, insights, criticisms and questions. The reaction papers will prepare you for discussion. Grades for attendance and participation will be according to the following benchmarks (Attendance and participation = 20):
    - i. Attend every class and actively participate = 20
    - ii. Attend every class but don't contribute = 16
    - iii. 1 point reduction for each class missed
- 2. <u>Class Facilitation</u> (25 points). Student groups will be assigned to guide the discussion for a class meeting, weeks 4-9. Discussion leaders will summarize the readings to ensure that everyone is on the same page and generate and lead a discussion. To supplement the discussion, leaders should identify an additional one or more recent article (published within the last 2-3 years) on the weekly topic. Please send the identified current article to me by the Wednesday prior to "your" class, so that I can approve it and load it on blackboard.
  - Prepare a powerpoint presentation summarizing the key issues related to your additional search and the weekly topic. Send your presentation to me the Sunday prior to "your" class by 8:00 PM.
  - Prepare to lead discussion based on a close reading of the assigned readings, consideration of the class's questions (from their respective reaction papers), and any additional research the

- group chooses to do. This additional preparation will help make the facilitators the "resident experts" for the weekly topic.
- Your week for facilitation, you may take a "by" from submitting a weekly reaction paper. Rather, you will automatically receive 5 points.
- 3. Adult Attachment Interview Assignment (20 points). Tuesday, April 21<sup>st</sup>, a 3-4-page interview paper will be due. Students will be required to spend one to two hours interviewing an adult about her/his early attachment experiences using a modified version of the Adult Attachment Interview (AAI), and then having somebody "interview" you. (Specific guidelines will be provided Week 3). As students will not be trained to administer or code the interview, the purpose of this assignment is primarily experiential. Grading will be based on the quality of student's observations about the content of the interview, thoughtfulness of understanding the individual's experience, application of material learned in class and readings and overall clarity of the presentation of the material. Students may incorporate quotes from the interviews to illustrate points.
- 4. Patterns of Attachment Observation Assignment (20 points). Infant attachment observation paper of approximately 3-4 pages will be due in class **Tuesday**, **May 26**<sup>th</sup>.

  To capture the spirit of observation that many of the theorists who have shaped the field emphasized (e.g., Bowlby, Darwin, Ainsworth, Hinde, to name a few), students will be required to spend at least 2 hours making detailed observations about one or more infant's patterns of attachment. Grading will be based on quality of the observations, thoughtfulness of understanding the infant's experience, application of material learned in class and readings, and overall clarity of the presentation of the material. Observation protocol and format will be discussed in class.
- 5. Final Paper (80 points). Critically review the evidence for one subtopic in attachment theory using recent empirical literature; focus on a small enough area within attachment so that it is a manageable project within the space of your paper. Please meet with me if you would like help identifying a topic. Read at least 8 empirical research articles related to the topic, selecting articles not on the syllabus and not from other classes (although you may use articles on the syllabus if they are relevant to your topic and you want to cite them in your paper). Ideally, the articles should be published between 2005-2015. Write an 8-10-page, double-spaced paper. Grading for papers will be based on (1) critical thinking about the topic and the material, (2) thoughtfulness, (3) organization of paper and style of writing, including following correct APA v. 6 format.
  - <u>Sample topics</u>. attachment and physiology; earned secure; changes in attachment security or representations of attachment; attachment and fathers; gay parents and attachment; daycare and attachment; adoption and attachment; attachment interventions; attachment and psychopathology; non-typical infants and attachment (e.g., blind, deaf, developmentally delayed, autistic).
  - There are several deadlines associated with your review paper. Failure to meet deadlines 2, 3, and 4 will result in forfeiting the points indicated below and you will not have the opportunity to receive feedback on your first draft. Late papers will be marked down substantially.
    - **1. Friday, April 17**<sup>th</sup> (or sooner): Email to jcablow@uoregon.edu the topic sentence for your term paper. The topic sentence can be the proposed title for your paper or just a very brief description of what you plan to research, e.g., "I plan to research the relation between the experience of daycare in the first year and the quality of parent-infant attachment." I will read and reply with either a comment that the topic should be more focused or that I approve it. (5 points)

- **2. Tuesday, April 28<sup>th</sup> (or sooner):** In class, submit an APA formatted list of references for your paper at least 5 references from 2005-2015. (5 points)
- **3. Sunday, May 10<sup>th</sup> by midnight (or before I wake up Monday morning):** First Draft. Email a first draft of your review paper to me. I will print out the papers, remove the cover pages with names, and then in class on **Tuesday, May 12th**, I will pass out these papers (with no identifying information) to students in the class for written feedback. Thus, on **May 12th**, everyone who sent a paper to me on **May 10<sup>th</sup>** will receive a paper by another student to review. In the past, some students have turned in "papers" at this stage that were little more than outlines not finished enough to allow another to edit and give feedback. In such cases, your paper will be returned to you and you will not be given another student's paper to review. Note that the more complete your paper is, the more help the student will be able to give you.
- **4. Tuesday, May 19th:** <u>Peer review</u>: Submit your written peer review plus the edited paper (20 points).
- **5. Week 10: Paper Blitz:** Prepare a short powerpoint to present the highlights of your paper research to the class. In preparation for the Blitz, send the .pdf of one key article that you would like another student (or two) to read (15 points).
- **6. Monday, June 8<sup>th</sup> (or sooner):** Turn in the final draft of your paper to SafeAssign (50 points). Make sure to post your paper using MICROSOFT WORD. Follow these directions to post your paper on safe assign:
- 1. Go to the blackboard course homepage
- 2. Click on the "Assignments" link in the left-hand menu
- 3. Click on the "View/Complete" link
- 4. Click on the "Direct Submit" link
- 5. Upload your paper

In addition, on June 8<sup>th</sup> submit the following to Dr. Ablow's mailbox in the Psychology Office:

- 1. A hardcopy of your final paper.
- 2. The first draft and the feedback you received from another student
- **3.** Your brief assessment of how helpful you found the feedback.

The Psychology office closes promptly at 5:00 PM. Make sure to turn in these materials by 4:00 PM.

If you feel that your writing abilities may be a hindrance and prevent you from expressing your thoughts in a clear and concise manner, I encourage you to seek the services of the *Writing Lab* at the *Academic Learning Services (ALS) (68 PLC, phone 6-3226)*. The Writing Lab (room 72, PLC) is available to all University students on a walk-in basis, and is open Monday-Friday, 9am-4pm. In general, all papers benefit significantly from feedback from others, thus it is encouraged that students have their papers read for typos, awkward phrasing, and clarity prior to turning them in. In addition, tips on writing and editing papers will either be posted on Blackboard.

#### **Summary of Points:**

Attendance & Particip	ation	= 20
Reaction Papers		= 50
Class Facilitation		= 25
AAI Paper		= 20
<b>Observation Paper</b>		= 20
Paper Blitz		= 15
Final Paper		= 80
- Topic Sentence	= 5	
- Reference List	= 5	
- Peer Review	= 20	
- Paper	= 50	
TOTAL POINTS	= 80	= 230 points

COURSE SCHEDULE AND READINGS (readings are subject to change, so please check blackboard and updated syllabus and posted readings regularly)

## Week 1: March 31, 2015

Introduction to course, History, Bowlby and the Secure Base of Attachment

## **Required Readings:**

Bowlby, J. (1988). A Secure Base (pp. 1-38). NY: Basic Books

Cassidy, J. (2008). The nature of the child's ties. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

## **Optional**

Bowlby, J. (1969/1982). Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment. New York: Basic Books. [ch. 3]

van der Horst FC. Leroy HA. van der Veer R. When strangers meet": John Bowlby and Harry Harlow on attachment behavior. Integrative Psychological & Behavioral Science. 2008. Vol. 42(4):370-388. (a fun reading – if you read it, tell me if you think it should be required)

\*\*Due Date: Friday, April 3<sup>rd</sup>: Reaction Paper 1 on Week 1 Readings

\*\*Due Date: Friday, April 3<sup>rd</sup>: Email Class Facilitation Top 3 Choices

\*\*Due Date: Monday, April 6<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 2 on Week 2 Readings

## Week 2: April 7, 2015

Mary Ainsworth and the Strange Situation (SS), Internal Working Models of Attachment (IWM)

## Required Readings:

Sroufe, L.A. & Waters, E. (1977). Attachment as an organizational construct. *Child Development, 48,* 1184-1199.

Weinfield, N. S., Sroufe, L. A., Egeland, B., Carlson, E. A. (2008). Individual differences in infantcaregiver attachment: Conceptual and empirical aspects of security. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications (2nd Ed.) (pp. 78-101). New York: Guilford.

#### optional

Bretherton, I. (1992). The origins of attachment theory: John Bowlby and Mary Ainsworth. Developmental Psychology, 28, 759-775.

Karen, R. (1990). Becoming Attached. The Atlantic Monthly.

Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E. & Wall, S. (1978). Patterns of attachment. A psychological study of the strange situation. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. [Chapter 1].

Monday, April 13<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 3 on Week 3 Readings

#### Week 3: April 14, 2015

Mary Main and the Adult Attachment Interview (AAI)

## Required Readings:

Hesse, E. (2008). The Adult Attachment Interview: Protocol, method of analysis and empirical studies. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

Main, M. (2000) The organized categories of infant, child, and adult attachment: Flexible vs. Inflexible attention under attachment-related stress. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association.

Hesse, E. & Main, M. (2000). Disorganized infant, child, and adult attachment: Collapse in behavioral and attentional strategies. Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association, 48, 1097-1127.

#### optional

Bretherton, I. & Munholland, K. (2008). Internal working models in attachment relationships: Elaborating a central construct in attachment theory. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

Friday, April 17<sup>th</sup>: Term paper topic sentence \*\*Due Date: Sunday, April 19<sup>th</sup>: Group 1 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date:

Monday, April 20<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 4 on Week 4 Readings \*\*Due Date:

\*\*Due: Adult Attachment Interview Assignment\*\* Week 4: April 21, 2015

**Culture and Attachment** (Facilitator: TBD)

#### Required Readings:

van IJzendoorn, M. & Sagi-Schwartz, A. (2008). Cross-cultural patterns of attachment: Universal and contextual dimensions. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

<u>optional</u> – the following paper has a really nice description of the Asian concepts *amae* and *maternal* dew

Jin, M.K., Jacobvitz, D., Hazen, N. & Jung, S.H. (2012). Maternal sensitivity and infant attachment security in Korea: Cross-cultural validation of the Strange Situation. *Attachment & Human Development*, *14*, 33-44.

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, April 26<sup>th</sup>: Group 2 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date: Monday, April 27<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 5 on Week 5 readings

# Week 5: April 28<sup>th</sup>, 2015 \*\*APA formatted reference list\*\*

The Biology of Attachment and Affect Regulation (Facilitator: TBD)

## Required Readings:

Barrett, J. & Fleming, A.S. (2010). Annual research review: All mothers are not created equal: Neural and psychobiological perspectives on mothering and the importance of individual differences. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry.

Coan, J. (2008). Toward a neuroscience of attachment. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

## Optional supplemental reading

Schore, A.N. (2001). Effects of a secure attachment: Relationships on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *22*, 7-66.

Laurent, H.K. & **Ablow**, J.C. (2012). The missing link: Mothers' neural response to infant cry related to infant attachment behaviors. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *35*, 761-772.

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, May 3<sup>rd</sup>: Group 3 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date: Monday, May 4<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 6 on Week 6 readings

# Week 6: May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2015

**Intergenerational Transmission of Attachment: Predictors of Stability & Change** (Facilitator: TBD)

#### Required Readings:

Dykas, M.J. & Cassidy, J. (2011). Attachment and processing of social information across the life span: Theory and evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137, 19-46.

Grienenberger, J., Kelly, K. & Slade, A. (2005). Maternal reflective functioning, mother-infant affective communication, and infant attachment: Exploring the link between mental states and observed behavior in the intergenerational transmission of attachment. *Attachment & Human Development*, 7, 299-311.

## Optional/supplemental

Ablow, J.C., Marks, A.K., Feldman, S.S., & Huffman, L.C. (2013). Associations between first-time expectant women's representations of attachment and their physiological reactivity to cry. *Child Development*, 84, 1373-1391.

Sabatier, C. & Lannegrand-Willems, L. (2005). Transmission of family values and attachment: A French three-generation study. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 54,* 378-395.

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, May 10<sup>th</sup>: Group 4 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, May 10<sup>th</sup>: First draft of Paper

\*\*Due Date: Monday, May 11<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 7 on Week 7 readings

# Week 7: May 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Abuse, Neglect and Trauma Leading to Disorganization (Facilitator: TBD)

## Required Readings:

Main, M., & Hesse, E. (1990). Parents' unresolved traumatic experiences are related to infant disorganized attachment status: Is frightened or frightening parental behavior the linking mechanism? In M. Greenberg, D. Cicchetti & E. M. Cummings (Eds.), *Attachment in the preschool years* (pp. 161-182). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Lyons-Ruth, K. & Jacobvitz, D. (2008). Attachment disorganization: Genetic factors, parenting contexts, and developmental transformation from infancy to adulthood. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

## Optional/Supplemental

Conradt, E., Measelle, J.R. & Ablow, J.C. (2013). Poverty, problem behavior and promise: Differential susceptibility among infants reared in poverty. *Psychological Science*, 24, 235-242.

- Cyr, C., Euser, E.M., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M.J. & van IJzendoorn, M.H. (2010). Attachment security and disorganization in maltreating and high-risk families: A series of meta-analyses.

  \*Development and Psychopathology, 22, 87-108. doi:10.1017/S0954579409990289
- Out, D., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M.J. & van IJzendoorn, M.H. (2009). The role of disconnected and extremely insensitive parenting in the development of disorganized attachment: Validation of a new measure. *Attachment and Human Development, 11(5), 419-443.* DOI: 10.1080/14616730903132289

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, May 17<sup>th</sup>: Group 5 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date: Monday, May 18<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 8 on Week 8 Readings

Week 8: May 19<sup>th</sup>, 2015 \*\*Due: Peer Review\*\*
Foster Care and Adoption (Facilitator: TBD)

## Required Readings:

Bakermans-Kranenburg, M.J., Steele, H., Zeanah, C.H., Muhamedrahimov, R.J., Vorria, P., Dobrova-Krol, N.A., Steele, M., van IJzendoorn, M. H., Juffer, F., & Gunnar, M. R. (2011). III. Attachment and emotional development in institutional care: Characteristics and catch up. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 76, 62-91.

- Ballen, N., Bernier, A., Moss, E., Tarabulsy, G.M. & St. Laurent (2010). Insecure attachment states of mind and atypical caregiving behavior among foster mothers. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 31, 118-125.
- Dozier, M. & Rutter, M. (2008). Challenges to the development of attachment relationships faced by young children in foster and adoptive care. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.

\*\*Due Date: Sunday, May 24<sup>th</sup>: Group 6 Powerpoint

\*\*Due Date: Monday, May 25<sup>th</sup>: Reaction Paper 9 on Week 9 Readings

Week 9: May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2015 \*\*Due: Patterns of Attachment Observation Assignment\*\*
Romantic Attachments (Facilitator: TBD)

## Required Readings:

- Haydon, K.C., Collins, W.A., Salvatore, J.E., Simpson, J.A. & Roisman, G.I. (2012). Shared and Distinctive origins and correlates of adult attachment representations: The developmental organization of romantic functioning. *Child Development*, 83, 1689-1702.
- Simpson, J.A. et al. (2007). Working models of attachment and reactions to different forms of caregiving from romantic partners. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *93*, 466-477.
- Tarabulsy, G.M., Larose, S., Bernier, A., Trottier-Sylvain, K., Girard, D., Vargas, M., & Noël, C. (2012). Attachment states of mind in late adolescence and the quality and course or romantic relationships in adulthood. *Attachment and Human Development*, *14*, 621-643.
- Roisman, G.I. (2007). The psychophysiology of adult attachment relationships: Autonomic reactivity in marital and premarital interactions. *Developmental Psychology*, *43(1)*, 39-53. DOI: 10.1037/0012-1649.43.1.39
- Waters, E., Crowell, J., Elliott, M., Corcoran, D., & Treboux, D. (2002). Bowlby's secure base theory and the social/personality psychology of attachment style: Work(s) in progress. Attachment and Human Development, 4, 230-242. (read for understanding debate between self-report and narrative methodology to assess adult attachment)

#### Optional/supplemental

- Feeney, J.A. (2008). Adult romantic attachment: Developments in the study of couple relationships. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Trebaux, D., Crowell, J. A., & Waters, E. (2004). When "new" meets "old": Configurations of adult attachment representations and their implications for marital functioning. *Developmental Psychology*, 40, 295-314.
- Roisman, G.I., Collins, W.A. & Sroufe, L.A. (2005). Predictors of young adults' representations of and behavior in their current romantic relationship: Prospective tests of the prototype hypothesis. *Attachment & Human Development, 7,* 105-121.

# \*\*Due Date: Monday, June 1st: Reaction Paper 10 on Week 10 Readings

Week 10: June 2, 2015 Future Directions & Paper Blitz

## Required Readings:

Cassidy, J., Jones, J. D., & Shaver, P. R. (2013). Contributions of attachment theory and research: A framework for future research, translation, and policy. *Developmental Psychopathology*, 25, 1415-1434.

#### **TBD**

\*\*Due Date: Monday, June 8<sup>th</sup>, 2015: Final Paper submitted via SafeAssign

## **Academic Honesty:**

All work submitted in this course must be your own and produced exclusively for this course. No form of cheating or plagiarism will be tolerated, and the consequences are severe (e.g., receiving a "0" on an exam or writing assignment, or failing the course outright, are typical sanctions in the Psychology Department for academic misconduct). Plagiarism is the inclusion of someone else's product, words, ideas, or data as one's own work. When a student submits work for credit that includes the product, words, ideas, or data of others, the source must be acknowledged by the use of complete, accurate, and specific references. By placing one's name on work submitted for credit, the student certifies the originality of all work not otherwise identified by appropriate acknowledgements. On written assignments, if verbatim statements are included, the statements must be enclosed in quotation marks. Unauthorized collaboration with others on papers or projects can inadvertently lead to a charge of plagiarism. In addition, it is plagiarism to submit work in which portions were substantially produced by someone acting as a tutor or editor. We will be electronically checking all term papers for plagiarism using the SafeAssign feature on Blackboard. If you are in doubt about your work, please consult the professor or teaching assistant, or seek assistance from the staff of Academic Learning Services (PLC 68; 346-3226). If cheating is discovered on the tests or plagiarism on the writing assignments, then the university will be notified and appropriate action will be taken.