

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems[•]

RESEARCH ARTICLE 10.1029/2021GC010200

Key Points:

- We build an open-system box model for kinetic clumped isotope effects (KIEs) in the CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system
- The model reproduces extreme $\delta^{18}O$ and Δ_{47} KIEs in high-pH inorganic calcite precipitation experiments
- The model can be adapted to investigate KIEs in natural carbonates, particularly if the dissolved inorganic carbon fluxes are constrained

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

J. M. Watkins, watkins4@uoregon.edu

Citation:

Watkins, J. M., & Devriendt, L. S. (2022). A combined model for kinetic clumped isotope effects in the CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems,* 23, e2021GC010200. https:// doi.org/10.1029/2021GC010200

Received 6 OCT 2021 Accepted 7 MAY 2022

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: James M. Watkins, Laurent S. Devriendt Funding acquisition: James M. Watkins Investigation: James M. Watkins, Laurent S. Devriendt Methodology: James M. Watkins, Laurent S. Devriendt Project Administration: James M. Watkins Resources: James M. Watkins, Laurent S. Devriendt Software: James M. Watkins Supervision: James M. Watkins Validation: James M. Watkins Visualization: James M. Watkins Writing - original draft: James M. Watkins

© 2022 The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

A Combined Model for Kinetic Clumped Isotope Effects in the CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O System

James M. Watkins¹ D and Laurent S. Devriendt^{1,2} D

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA, ²Department of Ocean Systems, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Utrecht University, Texel, The Netherlands

Abstract Most Earth surface carbonates precipitate out of isotopic equilibrium with their host solution, complicating the use of stable isotopes in paleoenvironment reconstructions. Disequilibrium can arise from exchange reactions in the DIC-H₂O system as well as during crystal growth reactions in the DIC-CaCO₃ system. Existing models account for kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) in these systems separately but the models have yet to be combined in a general framework. Here, an open-system box model is developed for describing disequilibrium carbon, oxygen, and clumped (Δ_{47} , Δ_{48} , and Δ_{49}) isotope effects in the CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system. The model is used to simulate calcite precipitation experiments in which the fluxes and isotopic compositions of CO₂ and CaCO₃ were constrained. Using a literature compilation of equilibrium and kinetic fractionation factors, modeled δ^{18} O and Δ_{47} values of calcite are in good agreement with the experimental data covering a wide range in crystal growth rate and solution pH. This relatively straightforward example provides a foundation for adapting the model to other situations involving CO₂ absorption (e.g., corals, foraminifera, and high-pH travertines) or degassing (e.g., speleothems, low-pH travertines, and cryogenic carbonates) and/or mixing with other dissolved inorganic carbon sources.

Plain Language Summary The clumped isotope composition (Δ_{47}) of carbonate minerals is a measurement that can be used to determine the temperature of mineral formation. Sometimes, the inferred temperatures show systematic departures from expected temperatures, indicating that Δ_{47} holds additional information about the conditions of mineral growth. Our ability to extract this information is limited by an incomplete knowledge of how different steps in the crystallization process contribute to the net Δ_{47} value. This study develops a framework, informed by recent theoretical developments as well as data from carbonates grown under controlled settings, for interpreting the Δ_{47} of carbonate minerals when temperature is not the only controlling factor.

1. Introduction

The carbon, oxygen, and clumped isotope compositions of carbonate minerals are widely used for paleoenvironment reconstructions. When crystals grow slowly, near equilibrium, oxygen isotope partitioning and bond ordering (e.g., ${}^{13}C^{-18}O$, ${}^{18}O^{-18}O$, and ${}^{13}C^{-18}O^{-18}O$) are expected to depend solely on temperature (Bigeleisen & Mayer, 1947; Eiler, 2007; Ghosh et al., 2006; Urey, 1947). Natural mineral growth, however, typically occurs under nonequilibrium conditions (Coplen, 2007; Daëron et al., 2019; Kluge et al., 2014), as does precipitation of calcite in laboratory experiments (Affek & Zaarur, 2014; Dietzel et al., 2009; Gabitov et al., 2012; Kim & O'Neil, 1997; Watkins et al., 2013). The resulting kinetic isotope effects (KIEs) can arise from multiple processes, including but not limited to: (a) diffusive transport of CO₂ through membranes (Hansen et al., 2017; Thiagarajan et al., 2011), (b) crystal growth reactions (DePaolo, 2011; Watkins et al., 2013), and (c) isotope exchange reactions between dissolved inorganic carbon species (DIC = CO₂ + HCO₃⁻ + CO₃²⁻) and water (e.g., Affek, 2013; Bajnai et al., 2018; Devriendt et al., 2017a; Guo, 2008; Staudigel & Swart, 2018; Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012; Usdowski et al., 1991; Zeebe & Wolf-Gladrow, 2001; many others). Reconstructing environments from disequilibrium isotope compositions requires knowledge of the reaction pathways and the KIEs that arise during each step in mineral formation.

Over the past decade, significant progress has been made toward quantifying KIEs in the $CaCO_3$ -DIC-H₂O system (Figure 1). In the simplest scenario of $CaCO_3$ growth from an isotopically equilibrated DIC pool, KIEs can be attributed to the following crystal growth reactions (Watkins et al., 2013):

$$Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \stackrel{k_{B_1}}{\underset{v_{B_1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} CaCO_3,$$
(1)

.

Writing – review & editing: James M. Watkins, Laurent S. Devriendt

$$Ca^{2+} + HCO_3^- \underset{v_{B_2}}{\stackrel{k_{B_2}}{\rightleftharpoons}} CaCO_3 + H^+$$
(2)

where the k's and ν 's are mass-dependent rate constants following the notation of Wolthers et al. (2012). For calcite, the KIEs attending these reactions can be significant across the full range of growth rate and pH: ~1–4‰ for δ^{18} O and ~0.03‰ for Δ_{47} (Watkins & Hunt, 2015; Watkins et al., 2014), which translate to ΔT of about 4–16°C and 7–11°C, respectively (Ghosh et al., 2006; McCrea, 1950; Zaarur et al., 2013). Although this is a fairly large temperature range, the temperature sensitivities of most empirical calibrations are probably not compromised by surface reaction-controlled KIEs because each individual CaCO₃ archive forms within a relatively narrow range in mineral growth rate and pH. It is clear, however, that different CaCO₃ archives are recorded at different precipitation rates, contributing to archive-specific isotopic offsets from the established inorganic calibrations (e.g., Candelier et al., 2013; Dennis & Schrag, 2010; Devriendt et al., 2017b; Ghosh et al., 2006; Kele et al., 2015; Kelson et al., 2017; Kim & O'Neil, 1997; Kluge et al., 2015; Marchitto et al., 2014; McCrea, 1950; O'Neil et al., 1969; Parker et al., 2017; Watkins et al., 2013; Zaarur et al., 2013, and many others).

The situation is more complex when $CaCO_3$ precipitates from a DIC pool that is not isotopically equilibrated. Here, the key reactions are the relatively slow CO_2 (de-)hydration and (de-)hydroxylation reactions:

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{HCO}_3^- + \operatorname{H}^+$$
(3)

and

and

$$CO_2 + OH^- \stackrel{k_{+4}}{\underset{k_{-4}}{\rightleftharpoons}} HCO_3^-, \tag{4}$$

where the k's are isotopologue-specific rate constants. If the reactions are unidirectional (either forward or backward), the KIEs can be an order of magnitude larger than those attending crystal growth (Christensen et al., 2021; Clark & Fontes, 1990; Clark et al., 1992; Devriendt et al., 2017a; Falk et al., 2016; Guo, 2008, 2020; Guo et al., 2009; Leleu et al., 2016; Mervine et al., 2014; O'Neil & Barnes, 1971; Yumol et al., 2020). More often than not, however, these reactions are bidirectional, and an important objective is to be able to estimate the degree of reaction reversibility and the net magnitude of KIEs.

Two different approaches have been taken to model KIEs in the DIC-H₂O system in the absence of crystal growth. The IsoDIC model of Guo (2020) tracks all of the reactions (n = 155) involving ¹²C, ¹³C, ¹⁶O, ¹⁷O, and ¹⁸O. This amounts to 32 coupled ordinary differential equations (ODEs) describing changes in the concentration of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ isotopologues (Table 1). By contrast, the ExClump38 model of Uchikawa et al. (2021) only tracks the major isotopologue at each mass, up to mass 63 (Table 1). The latter approach has the advantage of only requiring 8 ODEs for clumped isotopes (i.e., Δ_{47}) but it includes approximations that have not been fully explained or validated against the IsoDIC model. Additionally, the ExClump38 model does not include ¹⁸O-¹⁸O (Δ_{48}) and ¹³C-¹⁸O (Δ_{49}) isotopologues.

In this contribution, we build upon existing CaCO₃-DIC and DIC-H₂O models by deriving the equations for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O clumped isotopes. The two complementary models are merged together in a box model framework that can describe kinetic clumped isotope effects in the full CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system. The model was applied with oxygen and carbon kinetic and equilibrium fractionation factors (KFFs and EFFs) compiled from previously published laboratory or field studies and clumped isotope KFFs and EFFs taken from the theoretical work of Guo (2020). We refer to the combined model using the acronym "COAD," which stands for "carbon, oxygen, α , Δ ." We provide the first application of the COAD box model to δ^{18} O and ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotope measurements from calcite precipitation experiments where there is a known CO₂ influx and CaCO₃ outflux. The example provided can be extended to future ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotope measurements and modified to describe KIEs attending carbonate precipitation in other settings.

2. Definitions, Notation, and Guides

The $CaCO_3$ -DIC and DIC-H₂O models track the concentrations of isotopologues. The studies upon which these models are based use different notation, which can be a source of confusion. In this study, we have made our





Figure 1. Overview of the chemical species and reactions considered herein. Kinetic isotope fractionation for carbon, oxygen, and clumped isotopes are recorded in CaCO₃ where there is one or more reaction(s) involving a dissolved inorganic carbon species (DIC = $CO_{2(aq)} + HCO_3^- + CO_3^{-2}$; purple boxes) with backward/forward rate ratio(s) not equal to unity (red arrows: CO_2 hydration/hydroxylation and HCO_3^- dehydration/dehydroxylation; attachment/detachment of CO_3^{-2} and HCO_3^- to/from CaCO₃). Reactions that are several orders of magnitude faster than the CO_2 hydration/hydroxylation and CaCO₃ precipitation/dissolution reactions are assumed to reach chemical and isotopic equilibrium (green arrows: OH^- protonation and HCO_3^- deprotonation). The species $CO_3^{-2}^-$ and HCO_3^- are always in isotopic equilibrium with one another and form the equilibrated inorganic carbon pool (EIC) while $CO_{2(aq)}$ is not always in isotopic equilibrium with the EIC. The kinetics of isotope exchange between the EIC and H_2O depends on the rate of the CO_2 hydration/hydroxylation reactions, which positively covary with the $CO_{2(aq)}/EIC$ concentration ratio. In most cases, the isotopic composition of $CaCO_3$ is a convolution of equilibrium and kinetic isotope fractionations in the $CaCO_3$ -DIC-H₂O system.

best effort to adopt a notation that is self-consistent while being compatible with previous studies. Since the $CaCO_3$ -DIC and DIC-H₂O models rely on conversions between isotope ratios (*r*) and isotopologue ratios (*R*), this section is intended to serve as a stand-alone reference for these conversions and how they are implemented in clumped isotope calculations.

2.1. Equilibrium and Kinetic Fractionation Factors

Equilibrium and nonequilibrium fractionation factors are written in the following form:

$${}^{18}\alpha_{\rm CaCO_3-H_2O} = \frac{{}^{18}r_{\rm CaCO_3}}{{}^{18}r_{\rm H_2O}} = \frac{\left(\frac{{}^{18}{\rm O}}{{}^{16}{\rm O}}\right)_{\rm CaCO_3}}{\left(\frac{{}^{18}{\rm O}}{{}^{16}{\rm O}}\right)_{\rm H_2O}},\tag{5}$$

where subscripts on α direct the reader to the relevant phases or chemical species. When referring to an equilibrium α or *r*, we append a superscript "eq" (e.g., ${}^{18}\alpha^{eq}_{CaCO_3-H_2O})$.

Kinetic fractionation factors (KFFs) are associated with kinetic limits during unidirectional reactions and are defined as the ratio of isotope-specific rate constants. For example, the oxygen isotope KFFs attending the forward (crystal growth) reactions (reactions 1 and 2) are given by

$${}^{18}\alpha_{k_{\rm B_1}}^{\rm kin} = \frac{{}^{18}k_{\rm B_1}}{{}^{16}k_{\rm B_1}} \tag{6}$$



List of CO_2 and HCO_3^- or CO_3^2 -Isotopologues Tracked in the IsoDIC Model of Guo (2020)

Number ID Part I: CO ₂	Isotopologue	Mass (ignoring H)	Permutations	Abundance
1	¹² C ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	44	1	98.40%
2	¹³ C ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	45	1	1.11%
3	$^{12}C^{17}O^{16}O$	45	2	748 ppm
4	¹² C ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O	46	2	0.40%
5	$^{13}C^{17}O^{16}O$	46	2	8.4 ppm
6	$^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O$	46	1	0.142 ppm
7	¹³ C ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O	47	2	44.4 ppm
8	$^{12}C^{17}O^{18}O$	47	2	1.50 ppm
9	¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O	47	1	1.60 ppb
10	¹² C ¹⁸ O ¹⁸ O	48	1	3.96 ppm
11	¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁸ O	48	2	16.8 ppb
12	¹³ C ¹⁸ O ¹⁸ O	49	1	44.5 ppb
				100.0%

Part II: HCO_3^- or CO_3^{2-}									
1	H ¹² C ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	60	1	98.20%					
2	H ¹³ C ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	61	1	1.10%					
3	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{16}O^{16}O$	61	3	0.11%					
4	H ¹² C ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	62	3	0.60%					
5	H ¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	62	3	12 ppm					
6	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O^{16}O$	62	3	405 ppb					
7	H ¹³ C ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O ¹⁶ O	63	3	67 ppm					
8	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{18}O^{16}O$	63	6	4.4 ppm					
9	H ¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O ¹⁶ O	63	3	4.54 ppb					
10	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O^{17}O$	63	1	50 ppt					
11	H ¹² C ¹⁸ O ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O	64	3	12 ppm					
12	$H^{13}C^{17}O^{18}O^{16}O$	64	6	50 ppb					
13	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{17}O^{18}O$	64	3	828 ppt					
14	H ¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O	64	1	0.5 ppt					
15	H ¹³ C ¹⁸ O ¹⁸ O ¹⁶ O	65	3	138 ppb					
16	$H^{12}C^{17}O^{18}O^{18}O$	65	3	4.5 ppb					
17	H ¹³ C ¹⁷ O ¹⁷ O ¹⁸ O	65	3	9 ppt					
18	$H^{12}C^{18}O^{18}O^{18}O$	66	1	8 ppb					
19	$H^{13}C^{17}O^{18}O^{18}O$	66	3	51 ppt					
20	$H^{13}C^{18}O^{18}O^{18}O$	67	1	94 ppt					
				100.0%					

Note. The subset of isotopologues in bold are tracked in the model presented herein. Abundances are from Eiler (2007) and Ghosh et al. (2006).

and

$${}^{18}\alpha_{k_{\rm B_2}}^{\rm kin} = \frac{{}^{18}k_{\rm B_2}}{{}^{16}k_{\rm B_2}},\tag{7}$$

where subscripts on α direct the reader to the relevant reaction and the "kin" superscripts make clear that these are KFFs.

2.2. "Cheat Sheet" for Conversions Between Isotope Ratios and Isotopologue Ratios

In the case where the isotopes are randomly distributed, we have the following relationships for oxygen isotopes in CO_3^{2-} (note that C refers to ${}^{12}C + {}^{13}C$):

$$\left[\mathsf{C}^{16}\mathsf{O}^{16}\mathsf{O}^{16}\mathsf{O}\right] = P(16, 16, 16) = (0.998)^3 \approx 0.994 \tag{8}$$

$$\left[\mathsf{C}^{16}\mathsf{O}^{16}\mathsf{O}^{18}\mathsf{O}\right] = P(16, 16, 18) = 3(0.998)(0.998)(0.002) \approx 0.005998 \tag{9}$$

$$\left[C^{16}O^{18}O^{18}O\right] = P(16, 18, 18) = 3(0.998)(0.002)(0.002) \approx 0.000012$$
(10)

and

$$\left[\mathsf{C}^{18}\mathsf{O}^{18}\mathsf{O}^{18}\mathsf{O}\right] = P(18, 18, 18) = (0.002)^3 \approx 8 \times 10^{-9},\tag{11}$$

where in this example ¹⁸O constitutes 2% of oxygen atoms in CO_3^{2-} and the *P*'s refer to probabilities. Without rounding, the values sum to exactly 1. The ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio of CO_3^{2-} is related to the isotopologue abundances through

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \left(\frac{{}^{18}{\rm O}}{{}^{16}{\rm O}}\right)_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \frac{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right] + 2\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right] + 3\left[{\rm C}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{3\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}\right] + 2\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right] + \left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}.$$
 (12)

Equation 12 is exact in all cases. In the case of a stochastic distribution, the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio can be expressed using any two different isotopologues without losing any information (i.e., each of the following expressions returns the exact ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio):

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \left(\frac{\left[{\rm C}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}\right]}\right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{(0.002)(0.002)(0.002)}{(0.998)(0.998)(0.998)}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (13)$$

or

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}\right]} = \frac{3(0.998)(0.998)(0.002)}{3(0.998)(0.998)(0.998)},\tag{14}$$

or

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \left(\frac{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{3\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}\right]}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{3(0.998)(0.002)(0.002)}{3(0.998)(0.998)(0.998)}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (15)

Because the singly substituted isotopologue is the second most abundant, a sensible choice is to use Equation 14 as done by Watkins et al. (2014) in their ion-by-ion model for calcite growth from HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} isotopologues.



Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems

For CO₂, we have:

$$\left[C^{16}O^{16}O\right] = P(16, 16) = (0.998)^2 \approx 0.996$$
⁽¹⁶⁾

$$\left[\mathsf{C}^{16}\mathsf{O}^{18}\mathsf{O}\right] = P(16, 18) = 2(0.998)(0.002) \approx 0.003992 \tag{17}$$

$$\left[\mathbf{C}^{18}\mathbf{O}^{18}\mathbf{O}\right] = P(18, 18) = (0.002)^2 \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}$$
(18)

The ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio can be written as:

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2} = \left(\frac{{}^{18}{\rm O}}{{}^{16}{\rm O}}\right)_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{\left[{\rm C}{}^{16}{\rm O}{}^{18}{\rm O}\right] + 2\left[{\rm C}{}^{18}{\rm O}{}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{2\left[{\rm C}{}^{16}{\rm O}{}^{16}{\rm O}\right] + \left[{\rm C}{}^{16}{\rm O}{}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}.$$
(19)

There are two other ways this can be written:

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2} = \left(\frac{\left[{\rm C}{}^{18}{\rm O}{}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{\left[{\rm C}{}^{16}{\rm O}{}^{16}{\rm O}\right]}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{(0.002)(0.002)}{(0.998)(0.998)}\right)^{1/2} \tag{20}$$

or

$${}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{18}{\rm O}\right]}{\left[{\rm C}^{16}{\rm O}^{16}{\rm O}\right]} = \frac{2(0.002)(0.998)}{2(0.998)(0.998)}.$$
(21)

In this study, we express carbon and oxygen isotope compositions and equilibrium fractionation factors in a self-consistent way using the abundance ratios of singly and non-substituted isotopologues (Equations 14 and 21).

2.3. Shorthand Notation

For isotopologues, we hereafter use the following shorthand notation: ${}^{12}C = 2$, ${}^{13}C = 3$, ${}^{16}O = 6$, and ${}^{18}O = 8$. We also drop the superscript charges on ionic species (e.g., [H⁺] becomes [H] and [H2666⁻] becomes [H2666]) unless the species are used in the subscript to a fractionation factor (e.g., ${}^{18}\alpha_{HCO_3^-H_2O}$). We treat permutations (e.g., 286–268) and isotopomers (e.g., 2886-2868-2688) as indistinguishable such that [2886] refers to the total concentration of doubly substituted CO_3^{-} ; that is, [2886] = [2886] + [2868] + [2688].

2.4. Equilibrium Clumped Isotopes

2.4.1. ¹³C-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

Clumped isotope thermometry involves the equilibrium of ${}^{13}C{}^{-18}O$ bonding within a single species. For CO₂, we can write the following isotope exchange reaction:

$$366 + 286 \rightleftharpoons 386 + 266,$$
 (22)

which has an equilibrium constant

$${}^{47}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[386][266]}{[366][286]}.$$
(23)

The abundance of 386 is measured as (Eiler, 2007):

$$\Delta_{47} = \left[\left(\frac{4^7 R}{4^7 R^*} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{4^6 R}{4^6 R^*} - 1 \right) - \left(\frac{4^5 R}{4^5 R^*} - 1 \right) \right] \times 1000, \tag{24}$$

where ${}^{47}R$, ${}^{46}R$, and ${}^{45}R$ are the abundance ratios of masses 47, 46, and 45 relative to mass 44, and the asterisk denotes the stochastic distribution. The ${}^{46}R$ and ${}^{45}R$ terms in Equation 24 depend on ${}^{17}r_{CO_2}$, which cannot be measured independently due to mass interferences between ${}^{17}O$ and ${}^{13}C$. ${}^{17}r_{CO_2}$ is commonly estimated from ${}^{18}r_{CO_2}$ and



assuming a ¹⁷O/¹⁸O natural abundance ratio. The result of this should lead to ${}^{46}R = {}^{46}R^*$ and ${}^{45}R = {}^{45}R^*$, in which case Equation 24 simplifies to (Eiler & Schauble, 2004; Saenger et al., 2021):

$$\Delta_{47} = \left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*} - 1\right) \times 1000,\tag{25}$$

where

$$^{17}R = \frac{[386]}{[266]}.$$
 (26)

The stochastic ratio, ${}^{47}R^*$, can be calculated from the carbon and oxygen isotope composition, and ${}^{47}K_{CO_2}$ can be related to Δ_{47} values by first multiplying the top and bottom by [266]:

$${}^{47}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[386]}{[266]} \frac{[266]}{[366]} \frac{[266]}{[286]} = {}^{47}R \cdot \underbrace{\left({}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1}}_{{}^{47}R^{*-1}} = \left({}^{47}R \right)^{\rm CQ}_{\rm CO_2}.$$
(27)

Here, the equilibrium constant *K* is equivalent to R/R^* . As shown below, however, this is not the case for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O clumped isotopes. Combining Equation 25 with Equation 27 leads to

$${}^{47}K_{\rm CO_2} = \left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*}\right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[386][266]}{[366][286]} = \left(\frac{\Delta_{47,\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}}{1000} + 1\right). \tag{28}$$

00

Similar expressions can be written for clumped isotope equilibrium in HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} :

$$H3666 + H2866 \rightleftharpoons H3866 + H2666$$
 (29)

and

$$3666 + 2866 \rightleftharpoons 3866 + 2666, \tag{30}$$

which have equilibrium constants

$${}^{63}K_{\rm HCO_3^-} = \frac{[\rm H3866][\rm H2866]}{[\rm H3666][\rm H2866]} = \left(\frac{{}^{63}R}{{}^{63}R^*}\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} = \left(\frac{\Delta_{\rm eq}^{\rm eq}}{1000} + 1\right)$$
(31)

and

$${}^{53}K_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \frac{[3866][2666]}{[3666][2866]} = \left(\frac{{}^{63}R}{{}^{63}R^*}\right)_{\rm CO_3^{2-}}^{\rm eq} = \left(\frac{{}^{\Delta^{\rm eq}}_{-63,{\rm CO_3^{2-}}}}{1000} + 1\right). \tag{32}$$

2.4.2. ¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

The mass 48 and 64 isotopologues involve ${}^{18}\text{O}{}^{-18}\text{O}$ clumps. For CO₂, we can write the following isotope exchange reaction:

$$286 + 286 \rightleftharpoons 288 + 266 \tag{33}$$

which has an equilibrium constant

$${}^{48}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[288][266]}{[286][286]}.$$
 (34)

The abundance of 288 is measured as:

$$\Delta_{48} = \left(\frac{{}^{48}R}{{}^{48}R^*} - 1\right) \times 1000, \tag{35}$$

where



Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems

$$^{48}R = \frac{[288]}{[266]}.\tag{36}$$

Unlike the case for ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotopes, the ⁴⁸ K_{CO_2} and R/R^* are not equivalent:

$${}^{48}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[288]}{[266]} \frac{[266]}{[286]} \frac{[266]}{[286]} = {}^{48}R \cdot \left(2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{{}^{48}R}{{}^{48}R^*}\right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2},\tag{37}$$

where we have used the relationship from Section 2.2 (Equation 20) that, for a stochastic distribution,

$${}^{48}R^* = \left(\frac{[288]}{[266]}\right)^* = {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}^2.$$
(38)

The factor of 1/4 in Equation 37 will be important in the derivation of backward rate constants for dehydration and dehydroxylation.

Combining Equation 35 with Equation 37 leads to

$${}^{48}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[288][266]}{[286][286]} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{{}^{48}R}{{}^{48}R^*} \right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\Delta^{\rm eq}_{48,\rm CO_2}}{1000} + 1 \right). \tag{39}$$

Similar expressions can be written for clumped isotope equilibrium in HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} :

$$H2866 + H2866 \rightleftharpoons H2886 + H2666 \tag{40}$$

and

$$2866 + 2866 \rightleftharpoons 2886 + 2666,\tag{41}$$

which have equilibrium constants

$${}^{64}K_{\rm HCO_3^-} = \frac{[\rm H2886][\rm H2866]}{[\rm H2866][\rm H2866]} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{{}^{64}R}{{}^{64}R^*}\right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^-} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\Delta_{64,\rm HCO_3^-}}{1000} + 1\right)$$
(42)

and

$${}^{64}K_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = \frac{[2886][2666]}{[2866][2866]} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{{}^{64}R}{{}^{64}R^*}\right)_{\rm CO_3^{2-}}^{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\Delta_{64,\rm CO_3^{2-}}^{\rm eq}}{1000} + 1\right). \tag{43}$$

2.4.3. ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

The mass 49 and 65 isotopologues involve ${}^{13}C{}^{-18}O{}^{-18}O{}$ clumps. For CO₂, we can write the following isotope exchange reaction:

$$386 + 286 \rightleftharpoons 388 + 266,$$
 (44)

which has an equilibrium constant

$${}^{49}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[388][266]}{[386][286]}.$$
(45)

The abundance of 388 is measured as:

$$\Delta_{49} = \left(\frac{{}^{49}R}{{}^{49}R^*} - 1\right) \times 1000,\tag{46}$$

where

$$^{49}R = \frac{[388]}{[266]}.\tag{47}$$



(40 -) eq

By multiplying the top and bottom by [266] we have:

$${}^{49}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[388]}{[266]} \frac{[266]}{[386]} \frac{[266]}{[286]}.$$
(48)

This expression involves the [386]/[266] ratio, which cannot be treated as stochastic. To get the non-stochastic ratio, recall from Section 2.2 that for a stochastic distribution, we have

$${}^{47}R^* = \left(\frac{[386]}{[266]}\right)^* = \frac{[366]}{[266]} \cdot \frac{[286]}{[266]} = {}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2} \cdot 2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}, \tag{49}$$

which is used to express the non-stochastic ${}^{47}R$ as follows:

$${}^{47}R = \frac{[386]}{[266]} = \left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*}\right) \cdot {}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2} \cdot 2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}.$$
(50)

This leads to an expression for ${}^{49}K_{CO_2}$ that depends on the ${}^{13}C{}^{-18}O$ clumped isotope composition:

$${}^{49}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[388]}{[266]} \frac{[266]}{[386]} \frac{[266]}{[286]} = {}^{49}R \cdot \left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left({}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(2 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO_2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{49}R^*}\right)^{-1}}{\left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*}\right)^{\rm cO_2}}, (51)$$

which is similar to the analogous expression for the mass 48 and 64 isotopologues in that the factor of 1/4 comes from the oxygen isotope part of the expression. Combining Equation 46 with Equation 51 leads to

$${}^{49}K_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{[388][266]}{[386][286]} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{{}^{49}R}{{}^{49}R^*}\right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}}{\left(\frac{{}^{47}R}{{}^{47}R^*}\right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}} = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{{}^{\Delta_{\rm 49,CO_2}^{\rm eq}}}{1000} + 1\right)}{\left(\frac{{}^{\Delta_{\rm 47,CO_2}^{\rm eq}}}{1000} + 1\right)}.$$
(52)

Similar expressions can be written for clumped isotope equilibrium in HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻:

$$H3866 + H2866 \Rightarrow H3886 + H2666$$
 (53)

and

$$3866 + 2866 \rightleftharpoons 3886 + 2666, \tag{54}$$

/ . ea

 Δ^{eq}

`

which have equilibrium constants

$${}^{65}K_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}} = \frac{[\rm H3886][\rm H2666]}{[\rm H3866][\rm H2866]} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{6^{5}R}{6^{5}R^{*}}\right)_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}^{\rm eq}}{\left(\frac{6^{3}R}{6^{3}R^{*}}\right)_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}^{\rm eq}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\Delta_{65,\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}}{1000} + 1\right)}{\left(\frac{\Delta_{63,\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}}{1000} + 1\right)}$$
(55)

and

$${}^{65}K_{\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}} = \frac{[3886][2666]}{[3866][2866]} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{65}{R^{*}}\right)^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}}}{\left(\frac{63}{63}R^{*}\right)^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{-65\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}}{1000} + 1\right)}{\left(\frac{\Delta^{\text{eq}}_{63\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}}}{1000} + 1\right)}.$$
(56)

3. CaCO₃-DIC Model

Kinetic isotope fractionation arising from reactions (1) and (2) have been investigated by growing calcite from an isotopically equilibrated DIC pool and developing "ion-by-ion" models of crystal growth to describe the results (Levitt et al., 2018; Watkins & Hunt, 2015; Watkins et al., 2013, 2014; Wolthers et al., 2012). For clumped



isotopes, the latest version of the ion-by-ion model is limited to the mass 63 isotopologue of CaCO₃ (Watkins & Hunt, 2015), but there are good reasons to extend the model to heavier isotopologues. For example, despite the low abundance of the mass 64 isotopologue (Table 1), it is now possible to measure Δ_{48} with sufficient precision to resolve departures from equilibrium in Δ_{47} - Δ_{48} (or Δ_{63} - Δ_{64}) space (Bajnai et al., 2020; Fiebig et al., 2019; Fiebig et al., 2021; Swart et al., 2021). Such measurements could potentially be used to correct for kinetic effects and infer temperatures of carbonate formation from samples that were previously thought to be compromised (Bajnai et al., 2020; Fiebig et al., 2021). New Δ_{48} measurements will also be useful for distinguishing between competing models of kinetic effects arising from various inorganic and biological processes (Guo, 2020). In this section, we present an updated compilation of data and fractionation factors for carbon, oxygen, and ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotopes in anticipation of future applications.

3.1. Carbon and Oxygen Isotopes

In the ion-by-ion model, the nonequilibrium isotopic composition of calcite is a function of growth rate and pH through the attachment rates (AR) and detachment rates (DR) of the isotopologues of HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} during crystal growth. The equations for calculating the singly substituted carbon and oxygen isotopologue ratios of calcite are:

$${}^{13}R_{\text{CaCO}_3} = \frac{[\text{Ca3666}]}{[\text{Ca2666}]} = \frac{{}^{13}R^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CaCO}_3} \cdot \text{AR}}{\frac{{}^{13}R^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CaCO}_3}(\text{AR} - \text{DR})}{(1 - \chi){}^{13}a^{\text{kin}}_{\text{KB}_1}{}^{13}R_{\text{CaCO}_3} + \chi{}^{13}a^{\text{kin}}_{\text{KB}_2}{}^{13}R_{\text{HCO}_3}} + \text{DR}},$$
(57)

and

$${}^{8}R_{CaCO_{3}} = \frac{[Ca2866]}{[Ca2666]} = \frac{{}^{18}R_{CaCO_{3}}^{eq} \cdot AR}{\frac{{}^{18}R_{CaCO_{3}}^{eq} (AR - DR)}{{}^{(1-\chi)\cdot18}a_{R_{B_{1}}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}R_{CoC_{3}}^{eq} + \chi \cdot {}^{18}a_{R_{B_{2}}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}R_{HCO_{3}}} + DR}.$$
(58)

where χ is the fraction of HCO₃⁻ in EIC (i.e., [HCO₃⁻] / ([HCO₃⁻] + [CO₃²⁻])) in solution. The AR and DR terms depend on solution composition (e.g., [Ca²⁺], [HCO₃⁻], [CO₃²⁻]) and parameter values (e.g., attachment/detachment frequencies, kink formation energy, edge work, and surface speciation) in accordance with the ion-by-ion calcite growth model of Wolthers et al. (2012). All parameters used to calculate AR and DR can be found in the Matlab script that accompanies this article.

The behavior of these equations is shown in Figures 2a and 2b against data that have been adjusted to 5°C (Supplement S1 in Supporting Information S1). The data are from experiments in which the DIC pool likely remained in equilibrium with water during calcite growth, and thus, KIEs can be ascribed to attachment/detachment of CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^{-} to/from the mineral surface. The observed growth rate- and pH-dependences place constraints on the carbon and oxygen isotope KFFs attending the crystal growth reactions (Table 2). Note that the oxygen isotope KFFs used to make these curves differ from those reported in Watkins et al. (2014) because the new values were calculated using Beck et al. (2005) rather than Wang et al. (2013) for the equilibrium oxygen isotope compositions of HCO_3^{-} and CO_3^{2-} .

3.2. ¹³C-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

The ion-by-ion expression for clumped isotopes has the same form as for carbon and oxygen isotopes (i.e., Equations 57 and 58) but written for the mass 63 isotopologue:

$${}^{63}R_{\text{CaCO}_3} = \frac{[\text{Ca3866}]}{[\text{Ca2666}]} = \frac{{}^{63}R^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CaCO}_3} \cdot \text{AR}}{\frac{{}^{63}R^{\text{eq}}_{\text{CaCO}_3}(\text{AR} - \text{DR})}{{}^{(1-\chi),63}a^{\text{kin}}_{k_{\text{B}_1}}{}^{63}R_{\text{CaCO}_3}(\text{AR} - \text{DR})} + \text{DR}}.$$
(59)





Figure 2. Behavior of the CaCO₃-DIC model at 5°C showing how the isotopic composition of calcite deviates from the equilibrated inorganic carbon pool (EIC = HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-}) as a function of growth rate and pH. The data are from experiments in which the dissolved inorganic carbon pool likely remained in equilibrium with water during calcite growth, and thus, kinetic isotope effects can be ascribed to attachment/detachment of CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^- to/from the mineral surface.



Equilibrium and Kinetic Fractiona	tion Factors Used in the $CaCO_3$ - H_2O Model	
Symbol	Value	Reference/Note
Part I: Carbon isotope parameters	·	
$^{13}\alpha^{eq}_{CO_2-HCO_3^-}$	$-9.866 T_{\rm K}^{-1} + 1.02412$	Zhang et al. (1995)
$^{13}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2^{2-}-HCO_2^{-}}$	$-0.867 T_{\rm K}^{-1} + 1.00252$	Zhang et al. (1995)
$^{13}\alpha^{eq}_{CaCO_3-HCO_2^-}$	$\exp([1874.2 T_{\rm K}^{-1} - 3.3434]/1000)$	Bottinga (1968); Zhang et al. (1995)
$^{13}\alpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$	1.0000	Watkins and Hunt (2015)
$^{13}\alpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$	1.0000	Watkins and Hunt (2015)
Part II: Oxygen isotope parameter.	S	
$^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2-H_2O}$	$\exp(2520 T_{\rm K}^{-2} + 0.01212)$	Beck et al. (2005)
$^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^H_2O}$	$\exp(2590 T_{\rm K}^{-2} + 0.00189)$	Beck et al. (2005)
$^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{{\rm CO}_3^{2^-}-{\rm H}_2{\rm O}}$	$\exp(2390 T_{\rm K}^{-2} - 0.00270)$	Beck et al. (2005)
$^{18}\alpha^{eq}_{OH^H_2O}$	$5.6676 \times 10^{-5} T_{\rm K} + 0.9622$	based on Zeebe (2020)
$^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3-H_2O}$	$\exp([17747 T_{\rm K}^{-1} - 29.777]/1000)$	Watkins et al. (2014)
$^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}$	0.9995	This study
$^{18}\alpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$	0.9966	This study
Part III: ¹³ C- ¹⁸ O clumped isotope	parameters	
Δ^{eq}_{63,HCO_3^-}	$43655/T_{\rm K}^2 - 23.643/T_{\rm K} - 0.0088$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta^{eq}_{63,CO_3^{2-}}$	$43187/T_{\rm K}^2 + 34.833/T_{\rm K} + 0.0007$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta^{eq}_{63,CaCO_3}$	$43159/T_{\rm K}^2 - 25.095/T_{\rm K} - 0.0078$	Hill et al. (2020)
$^{63}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{k_{\rm B_1}}$	$^{13}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{k_{\rm B_{1}}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{k_{\rm B_{1}}} + \epsilon_{k_{\rm B_{1}}}$	Watkins and Hunt (2015)
$^{63}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{k_{\rm B_2}}$	$^{13}lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{18}lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} + \epsilon_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}.$	Watkins and Hunt (2015)
Part IV: ¹⁸ O- ¹⁸ O clumped isotope	parameters	
Δ^{eq}_{64,HCO_3^-}	$21842/T_{\rm K}^2 - 50.457/T_{\rm K} + 0.0291$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta^{eq}_{64, CO_3^{2-}}$	$23492/T_{\rm K}^2 - 52.842/T_{\rm K} + 0.0304$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta^{eq}_{64,CaCO_3}$	$23566/T_{\rm K}^2 - 52.319/T_{\rm K} + 0.0297$	Hill et al. (2020)
${}^{64}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}$	$^{18}lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{18}lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} + \epsilon_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}',$	This study
${}^{64}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{k_{\rm B_2}}$	$^{18}a_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{18}a_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} + \epsilon_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}'.$	This study
Part V: ¹³ C- ¹⁸ O- ¹⁸ O clumped isoto	pe parameters	
Δ^{eq}_{65,HCO_3^-}	$112026/T_{\rm K}^2 - 97.208/T_{\rm K} + 0.009$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta^{eq}_{65,CO_2^{2^-}}$	$112667/T_{\rm K}^2 - 123.11/T_{\rm K} + 0.0304$	Hill et al. (2020)
$\Delta_{65,CaCO_3}^{eq}$	$112667/T_{\rm K}^2 - 102.28/T_{\rm K} + 0.012$	Hill et al. (2020)
$^{65}\alpha_{k_{\rm B_1}}^{\rm kin}$	$^{13} lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{18} lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{18} lpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{kin}} + \epsilon_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}}'',$	This study
$^{65}\alpha_{k_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$	$^{13}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{2}}}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{2}}}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{2}}}} + \epsilon^{\prime\prime}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{2}}}}.$	This study



Here, the values of ${}^{63}R_{CO_3^2}$, ${}^{63}R_{HCO_3^-}$, and ${}^{63}R_{CaCO_3}^{eq}$ depend on the carbon and oxygen isotope composition of the crystal through the stochastic terms in:

$${}^{63}R_{\rm CO_3^{2-}} = {}^{63}R_{\rm CO_3^{2-}}^* \left(\frac{\Delta_{63,\rm CO_3^{2-}}}{1000} + 1\right),\tag{60}$$

$${}^{63}R_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}} = {}^{63}R_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}^{*} \left(\frac{\Delta_{63,\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}}{1000} + 1\right),\tag{61}$$

and

$${}^{63}R^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3} = {}^{63}R^{\rm eq*}_{\rm CaCO_3} \left(\frac{\Delta^{\rm eq}_{63,\rm CaCO_3}}{1000} + 1\right),\tag{62}$$

where

$$^{63}R^*_{\rm CO^{2-}_3} = 3 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm CO^{2-}_3} \cdot {}^{13}r_{\rm CO^{2-}_3}, \tag{63}$$

$${}^{63}R^*_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^-} = 3 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\mathrm{CO}_3^{-2}} \cdot {}^{13}r_{\mathrm{CO}_3^{-2}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^- - \mathrm{CO}_3^{-2}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^- - \mathrm{CO}_3^{-2}}, \tag{64}$$

and

$${}^{63}R^{eq*}_{CaCO_3} = 3 \cdot {}^{18}r_{CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{13}r_{CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{eq}_{CaCO_3 - CO_3^{2-}} {}^{13}\alpha^{eq}_{CaCO_3 - CO_3^{2-}}.$$
 (65)

The stochastic ratio for *nonequilibrium* calcite is given by:

$${}^{63}R^*_{\text{CaCO}_3} = 3 \cdot {}^{13}r_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}r_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3 - \text{CO}_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3 - \text{CO}_3^{2-}}.$$
 (66)

Combining these relationships and simplifying ultimately leads to:

$$\frac{{}^{63}R_{\text{CaCO}_3}^{*}}{{}^{63}R_{\text{CaCO}_3}^{*}} = \frac{\left[\text{carbon isotopes}\right] \cdot \left[\text{oxygen isotopes}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{caCO}_3}^{\text{cacO}_3}}{1000} + 1\right)}{AR\left(DR + \frac{A \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{caCO}_3}^{\text{cac}}}{1000} + 1\right) \left(AR - DR\right)}{\left(1 - \chi\right)^{63} a_{kB_1}^{\text{kin}} \left(\frac{\Delta_{63,\text{CO}_3}^{\text{cac}}}{1000} + 1\right) + \chi^{\cdot 63} a_{kB_2}^{\text{kin}} \cdot B \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{63,\text{HCO}_3}^{\text{cac}}}{1000} + 1\right)}{1000}\right)},$$
(67)

where

$$[\text{carbon isotopes}] = \left(\frac{{}^{13}\alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3-\text{CO}_3^{2-}}^{\text{eq}}(\text{AR} - \text{DR})}{(1-\chi) \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{k_{\text{B}_1}}^{\text{kin}} + \chi \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{k_{\text{B}_2}}^{\text{kin}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\text{HCO}_3^--\text{CO}_3^{2-}}^{2-}} + \text{DR}\right),\tag{68}$$

$$[\text{oxygen isotopes}] = \left(\frac{{}^{18}\alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3-\text{CO}_3^{--}}^{\text{eq}}(\text{AR} - \text{DR})}{(1 - \chi) \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{\text{B}_1}}^{\text{kin}} + \chi \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{\text{B}_2}}^{\text{kin}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\text{HCO}_3^{--}\text{CO}_3^{--}}^{\text{cO}_3^{--}} + \text{DR}\right),$$
(69)

$$A = {}^{13} \alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3 - CO_3^2} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3 - CO_3^2}, \tag{70}$$

$$B = {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_3^{2-}}, \tag{71}$$

$${}^{63}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} = {}^{13}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_{1}}}, \tag{72}$$

and



$${}^{63}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} = {}^{13}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_2}}.$$
(73)

This set of expressions is identical to Equation 38 of Watkins and Hunt (2015) but with some changes in notation and without the specification that DIC species are isotopically equilibrated with water. The $\epsilon_{k_{B_1}}$ and $\epsilon_{k_{B_2}}$ parameters are expected to be small, on the order of 10^{-5} (Watkins & Hunt, 2015).

The behavior of these equations is shown in Figure 2c using values of $\epsilon_{k_{B_1}} = \epsilon_{k_{B_2}} = 0$. For clarity, no data are shown in Figure 2c because different calibrations of the clumped isotope thermometer span a range of ~0.05‰ at a given temperature, with no resolvable dependence on pH (e.g., Kelson et al., 2017; Levitt et al., 2018). This range is greater than the range of model values depicted, implying that crystal growth-related kinetic effects are small for Δ_{63} . As noted by Watkins and Hunt (2015), the Δ_{63} of calcite is indistinguishable from that of EIC at fast growth rates, implying that calcite can directly "inherit" the isotopic composition of EIC. Interestingly, this behavior in the fast growth limit does not hold for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotopes.

3.3. ¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

The derivation for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O clumped isotopes in calcite follows the same procedure (Supplement S2 in Supporting Information S1) and leads to an expression that is very similar to Equation 67 but does not depend on the carbon isotope composition of the crystal:

$$\frac{{}^{64}R_{\text{CaCO}_3}}{{}^{64}R_{\text{CaCO}_3}^*} = \frac{\left[\text{oxygen isotopes}\right] \cdot \left[\text{oxygen isotopes}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{64,CaCO}_3}^{\text{eq}}}{1000} + 1\right)}{AR\left(DR + \frac{A' \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{64,CaCO}_3}^{\text{eq}}}{1000} + 1\right)\left(AR - DR\right)}{\left(1 - \chi\right)^{.64}a_{k_{\text{B}_1}}^{\text{kin}}\left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{64,CaCO}_3}^{\text{eq}}}{1000} + 1\right) + \chi^{.64}a_{k_{\text{B}_2}}^{\text{kin}} \cdot B' \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{64,HCO}_3}^{\text{eq}}}{1000} + 1\right)\right)},$$
(74)

where

$$\mathbf{A}' = {}^{18} \alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3 - \text{CO}_3^{-}}^{\text{eq}} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\text{CaCO}_3 - \text{CO}_3^{-}}^{\text{eq}},$$
(75)

$$B' = {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_3^{2-}},\tag{76}$$

$$^{64}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} = {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_{1}}}^{kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_{1}}}^{\prime}, \tag{77}$$

and

$${}^{64}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{\rm kin} = {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{\rm kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{\rm kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_2}}'.$$
(78)

The behavior of these equations is shown in Figure 2d using values of $\epsilon'_{k_{B_1}} = \epsilon'_{k_{B_2}} = 0$. Unlike the case for Δ_{63} , there is an offset in the Δ_{64} between calcite and EIC at fast growth rates. This difference in behavior is a manifestation of differences in the KFFs for carbon and oxygen isotopes. The nonequilibrium Δ_{63} of calcite is sensitive to the carbon isotope KFFs (see Section 4.3.1 of Uchikawa et al., 2021 for an explanation), which are equal to unity for the crystal growth reactions (Table 2; Watkins & Hunt, 2015). By contrast, the Δ_{64} of calcite is sensitive to the oxygen isotope KFFs, which are less than unity by some 2–4% (Table 2; Watkins et al., 2014), giving rise to a Δ_{64} of calcite that deviates from that of EIC at fast growth rates.

3.4. ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

The derivation for ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O clumped isotopes in calcite follows the same procedure (Supplement S3 in Supporting Information S1) and leads to the following expression:



$$\frac{{}^{65}R_{CaCO_3}}{{}^{65}R_{CaCO_3}^*} = \frac{\left[\text{carbon isotopes}\right] \cdot \left[\text{oxygen isotopes}\right] \cdot \left[\text{oxygen isotopes}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{\Delta_{65,CaCO_3}}{1000} + 1\right)}{AR^2 \left(DR + \frac{A'' \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{65,CaCO_3}}{1000} + 1\right) \left(AR - DR\right)}{\left(1 - \chi\right)^{.65} a_{kB_1}^{kin} \left(\frac{\Delta_{65,CaCO_3}}{1000} + 1\right) + \chi^{.65} a_{kB_2}^{kin} \cdot B'' \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{65,HCO_3}}{1000} + 1\right)}\right)},$$
(79)

where

$$A'' = {}^{13}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3 - CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3 - CO_3^{2-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CaCO_3 - CO_3^{2-}},$$
(80)

$$B'' = {}^{13}\alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2^{-}}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2^{-}}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2^{-}}}, \tag{81}$$

$$a_{k_{B_1}}^{kin} = {}^{13}a_{k_{B_1}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}a_{k_{B_1}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}a_{k_{B_1}}^{kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_1}}'',$$
(82)

and

$${}^{65}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} = {}^{13}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{k_{B_2}}^{kin} + \epsilon_{k_{B_2}}''. \tag{83}$$

The behavior of these equations is shown in Figure 2e using values of $\epsilon_{k_{B_1}}'' = \epsilon_{k_{B_2}}'' = 0$. Since the Δ_{65} of calcite is sensitive to both carbon and oxygen isotope KFFs, the Δ_{65} of calcite is offset from that of EIC at fast growth rates, but the offset is less than that for Δ_{64} because the product of the carbon and oxygen isotope KFFs $\left({}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}^{kin}\right)$ is closer to unity than the square of the oxygen isotope KFF $\left({}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}^{kin} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}^{kin}\right)$.

3.5. Summary of the CaCO₃-DIC Model

The CaCO₃-DIC model is a process-based model that can explain/predict the pH- and growth rate-dependence to the isotopic composition of calcite relative to EIC. The available data suggest that the KIEs for Δ_{63} , Δ_{64} , and Δ_{65} are too small to be resolved from the equilibrium calcite composition, given the current experimental reproducibility (Kelson et al., 2017; Levitt et al., 2018). It is nevertheless important to include the effects of CaCO₃ precipitation in models of clumped isotope KIEs for at least three reasons: (a) the rate of CaCO₃ growth affects the reversibility of hydration/hydroxylation reactions and their net KIEs, (b) small fractionations can lead to large KIEs due to isotopic distillation (Rayleigh fractionation) of the EIC pool, and (c) the fractionations are large enough to matter for carbon and oxygen isotopes and it would be inconsistent to treat clumped isotopes differently.

4. DIC-H₂O Model

4.1. Carbon and Oxygen Isotopes (After Chen et al., 2018)

We begin this section by deriving the DIC-H₂O model for carbon and oxygen isotopes of Chen et al. (2018). This is a necessary step for extending the model to ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O and clarifying some underlying assumptions. Along the way, we validate the model against analytical expressions available in the literature. Before we begin, note that the d[C¹⁸OO]/dt notation of Chen et al. (2018) refers to the change in concentration of ([286] + [268]) as opposed to (a) a single permutation or (b) the total ¹⁸O of CO₂, which would include [288].

4.1.1. Isotope Reactions

For carbon and oxygen isotope calculations, we have the following exchange reactions:

$$266 + H_2 6 \stackrel{k_{+1}}{\underset{k_{-1}}{\rightleftharpoons}} H2666 + H$$
(84)

$$266 + 6H \stackrel{*_{44}}{\underset{k_{-4}}{\Rightarrow}} H2666 \tag{85}$$

$$366 + H_2 6 \stackrel{c_{+1}}{\underset{c_{-1}}{\leftrightarrow}} H3666 + H$$
(86)

$$366 + 6H \stackrel{c_{+4}}{\underset{c_{-4}}{\leftrightarrow}} H3666 \tag{87}$$

$$266 + H_2 8 \stackrel{a_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons} H2866 + H$$
(88)

$$286 + H_26 \stackrel{b_{+1}}{\underset{\frac{2}{3}b_{-1}}{\overset{b_{+1}}{\leftrightarrow}}} H2866 + H$$
(89)

$$266 + 8H \stackrel{a_{+4}}{\underset{\frac{1}{3}a_{-4}}{\stackrel{a_{-4}}{\rightarrow}}} H2866 \tag{90}$$

$$286 + 6H \stackrel{b_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons} H2866 \qquad (91)$$

For the reactions involving oxygen isotopes, the 1/3 and 2/3 factors are necessary for isotopic mass balance (Christensen et al., 2021; Uchikawa et al., 2021). Consider, for example, the two dehydration reactions involving H2866 (reactions 88 and 89). The right-hand sides of these two reactions are identical, but for every mole of H2866 that undergoes dehydration, \sim 2/3 goes to 286 and \sim 1/3 goes to H₂8. The transfer is approximate because a_{-1} and b_{-1} are not exactly equal.

4.1.2. Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

From the reactions in Section 4.1.1, the following ODEs can be written:

$$\frac{d[266]}{dt} = -k_{+1}[266] + k_{-1}[E2666]\chi[H] -k_{+4}[266][6H] + k_{-4}[E2666]\chi$$
(92)

$$\frac{d[E2666]}{dt} = k_{+1}[266] - k_{-1}[E2666]\chi[H] k_{+4}[266][6H] - k_{-4}[E2666]\chi$$
(93)

$$\frac{d[366]}{dt} = -c_{+1}[366] + c_{-1}[E3666]^{13}\chi[H] -c_{+4}[366][6H] + c_{-4}[E3666]^{13}\chi$$
(94)

$$\frac{d[E3666]}{dt} = c_{+1}[366] - c_{-1}[E3666]^{13}\chi[H]$$

$$c_{+4}[366][6H] - c_{-4}[E3666]^{13}\chi$$
(95)

$$\frac{d[286]}{dt} = -b_{+1}[286] + \frac{2}{3}b_{-1}[E2866]^{18}\chi[H] -b_{+4}[286][6H] + \frac{2}{3}b_{-4}[E2866]^{18}\chi$$
(96)

$$\frac{d[E2866]}{dt} = a_{+1}[266]r_{w} - \frac{1}{3}a_{-1}[E2866]^{18}\chi[H] + a_{+4}[266][8H] - \frac{1}{3}a_{-4}[E2866]^{18}\chi + b_{+1}[286] - \frac{2}{3}b_{-1}[E2866]^{18}\chi[H] + b_{+4}[286][6H] - \frac{2}{3}b_{-4}[E2866]^{18}\chi$$
(97)

where $[EIC]\chi = [HCO_3^-]$. The first two ODEs (Equations 92 and 93) describe chemical equilibration without contributions from less abundant isotopologues for reasons discussed in Supplement S4 in Supporting Information S1.



4.1.3. HCO₃ Fraction of the EIC

As in the ion-by-ion model (Section 3.1), the χ terms represent the fraction of EIC that is HCO₃⁻:

$$\chi = \frac{[\text{H2666}]}{[\text{H2666}] + [2666]} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_2}{[\text{H}^+]}},\tag{98}$$

$${}^{13}\chi = \frac{[\text{H3666}]}{[\text{H3666}] + [3666]} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\kappa_2 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha^{\text{eq}}}{\text{CO}_3^2 - \text{HCO}_3^2}},$$
(99)

and

$$\chi = \frac{[H2866]}{[H2866] + [2866]} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\kappa_2 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha^{eq}}{CO_3^2 - HCO_3^2}},$$
(100)

where $\alpha_{CO_3^2 - HCO_3^-}^{eq}$ is the equilibrium fractionation factor between CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^- . These expressions are built upon the assumption of instantaneous $HCO_3^--CO_3^{2-}$ and H_2O-OH^- equilibration. This is a valid approximation because the equilibration time is on the order of 10^{-7} s, many orders of magnitude faster than the CO_2 hydration, hydroxylation, and crystal growth reactions (Zeebe & Wolf-Gladrow, 2001). For most practical applications, it is also appropriate to treat $[H_26]$ and $[H_28]$ as constant, implying there is an infinite reservoir of H_2O .

4.1.4. Rate Constants and Fractionation Factors

The forward rate constants are directly related to KFFs. For the hydration reactions, we have

$$\frac{c_{+1}}{c_{+1}} = {}^{13}\alpha_{c_{+1}}^{\rm kin},\tag{101}$$

$$\frac{a_{+1}}{\zeta_{+1}} = {}^{18}\alpha_{a_{+1}}^{\rm kin},\tag{102}$$

and

$$\frac{b_{+1}}{k_{+1}} = {}^{18}\alpha_{b_{+1}}^{\rm kin}.$$
(103)

For the hydroxylation reactions, we have

$$\frac{c_{+4}}{k_{+4}} = {}^{13}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{c_{+4}},\tag{104}$$

$$\frac{a_{+4}}{k_{+4}} = {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{a_{+4}},\tag{105}$$

and

$$\frac{b_{+4}}{k_{+4}} = {}^{18}\alpha_{b_{+4}}^{\rm kin}.$$
(106)

The backward rate constants can be calculated from equilibrium constraints; that is:

$$k_{+1}[266] [H_26] = k_{-1}[H_{2666}][H],$$
(107)

$$c_{+1}[366] [H_26] = c_{-1}[H3666] [H],$$
(108)

$$a_{+1}[266][H_28] = \frac{1}{3}a_{-1}[H2866][H],$$
 (109)



$$b_{+1}[286][H_26] = \frac{2}{3}b_{-1}[H2866][H],$$
 (110)

$$k_{+4}[266][6H] = k_{-4}[H2666], \tag{111}$$

$$c_{+4}[366][6H] = c_{-4}[H3666], \tag{112}$$

$$a_{+4}[266][8H] = \frac{1}{3}a_{-4}[H2866], \tag{113}$$

and

$$b_{+4}[286][6H] = \frac{2}{3}b_{-4}[H2866].$$
 (114)

Converting isotopologue ratios to isotope ratios (Section 2.2) and rearranging yields the following relationships between rate constants and equilibrium constants:

$$\frac{k_{+1}}{k_{-1}} = \frac{[\text{H2666}][\text{H}]}{[266][\text{H}_26]} = K_1,$$
(115)

$$\frac{c_{+1}}{c_{-1}} = \frac{{}^{13}r_{\rm HCO_3}^{\rm eq}[{\rm H2666}][{\rm H}]}{{}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}[{\rm 266}][{\rm H}_26]} = K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq},$$
(116)

$$\frac{a_{+1}}{a_{-1}} = \frac{(3)^{18} r_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} [\rm H2666][\rm H]}{(3)[266] [\rm H_26] r_{\rm w}} = K_1 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^- - \rm H_20}^{\rm eq}, \tag{117}$$

$$\frac{b_{+1}}{b_{-1}} = \frac{(2\cdot3)^{18} r_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} [H2666][H]}{(3\cdot2)^{18} r_{\rm CO_3}^{\rm eq} [266] [H_26]} = K_1 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq},$$
(118)

$$\frac{k_{+4}}{k_{-4}} = \frac{[\text{H26666}]}{[266][6\text{H}]} = \frac{K_1}{K_{\text{w}}},\tag{119}$$

$$\frac{c_{+4}}{c_{-4}} = \frac{{}^{13} r_{\rm HCO_3}^{\rm eq} [\rm H2666]}{{}^{13} r_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} [\rm 266][\rm 6H]} = \frac{K_1}{K_{\rm w}} \cdot {}^{13} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}, \tag{120}$$

$$\frac{a_{+4}}{a_{-4}} = \frac{(3)^{18} r_{\text{HCO}_3}^{\text{eq}} [\text{H2666}]}{(3)^{18} r_{\text{OH}}^{\text{eq}} [266][6\text{H}]} = \frac{K_1}{K_{\text{w}}} \cdot \frac{{}^{18} \alpha_{\text{HCO}_3}^{\text{eq}} - \text{H}_2\text{O}}{{}^{18} \alpha_{\text{OH}}^{\text{eq}} - \text{H}_2\text{O}}$$
(121)

and

$$\frac{b_{+4}}{b_{-4}} = \frac{(2\cdot3)^{18} r_{\rm HCO_3}^{\rm eq}[{\rm H2666}]}{(3\cdot2)^{18} r_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}[{\rm 266}][{\rm 6H}]} = \frac{K_1}{K_{\rm w}} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq}.$$
(122)

In these equations, the equilibrium isotope ratios have been defined using only the singly substituted isotopologue. This is consistent with how we define oxygen isotope ratios and effectively removes the small errors, of order 10^{-6} (Guo & Zhou, 2019b) associated with the difference between Equations 12 and 14; that is, the small errors on ¹⁸*r* values associated with non-stochasticity.

An up-to-date compilation of rate constants, equilibrium constants, and isotopic fractionation factors is provided in Table 3. Outputs from numerical integration of the coupled set of ODEs (Equations 92–97) are compared to analytical expressions in Supplement S5 in Supporting Information S1.

4.2. ¹³C-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes (After Uchikawa et al., 2021)

The above framework was extended to ${}^{13}C{}^{-18}O$ clumped isotopes by Uchikawa et al. (2021), and much of what follows has also been presented previously. Here, an abbreviated re-derivation is provided that explicitly tracks



Parameters for ¹³C/¹²C and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O Ratios

Symbol	Expression	Reference/Note
Part I: Chemical parameters		
<i>K</i> ₁	$\frac{[\text{H2666}][\text{H}]}{[266][\text{H}_26]}, [\text{H}_26] = 1$	Millero et al. (2007)
<i>K</i> ₂	[2666][H] [H2666]	Millero et al. (2007)
$K_{ m w}$	[6H][H]	DOE (1994)
<i>k</i> ₊₁	$\log_{10}k_{+1} = 329.85 - 110.541\log_{10}(T_K) - \frac{17265.4}{T_K}$	Uchikawa and Zeebe (2012)
k_{-1}	$k_{-1} = k_{+1}/K_1$	-
k ₊₄	$\log_{10}k_{+4} = 13.635 - \frac{2895}{T_K}$	Uchikawa and Zeebe (2012)
<i>k</i> _4	$k_{-4} = k_{+4} \frac{\kappa_w}{\kappa_1}$	-
X	$\chi = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{K_2}{\lfloor H^+ \rfloor}}$	-
Part II: Carbon isotope parameters		
$^{13}\alpha_{c_{+1}}^{\rm kin}$	0.9872	Yumol et al. (2020)
$^{13}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{c_{+4}}$	0.9814	Christensen et al. (2021)
<i>c</i> ₊₁	$c_{+1} = {}^{13}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{c_{+1}} \cdot k_{+1}$	-
<i>c</i> ₋₁	$c_{-1} = c_{+1} / \left(K_1 \cdot {}^{13} \alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^ \mathrm{CO}_2}^{\mathrm{eq}} \right)$	-
<i>c</i> ₊₄	$c_{+4} = {}^{13}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{c_{+4}} \cdot k_{+4}$	-
<i>C</i> ₋₄	$c_{-4} = c_{+4} / \left(\frac{K_1}{K_w} \cdot {}^{13} \alpha^{eq}_{HCO_3^ CO_2} \right)$	-
¹³ X	${}^{13}\chi = \frac{1}{{}^{K_2 \cdot 13} {}^{eq}_{CO_3^2 - HCO_3^-}}_{1 + \frac{1}{[H^+]}}$	-
Part III: Oxygen isotope parameters		
$^{18}\alpha^{\rm kin}_{a_{\pm 1}}$	1.0000	Yumol et al. (2020)
$^{18}\alpha_{b_{\pm 1}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$	0.9812	Yumol et al. (2020)
$^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{kin}}_{a_{+4}}$	0.9706 ^a	Christensen et al. (2021) ^a
$^{18}\alpha^{ m kin}_{b_{+4}}$	1.0000	Christensen et al. (2021)
a_{+1}, b_{+1}	$a_{+1} = {}^{18} \alpha_{a_{+1}}^{\rm kin} \cdot k_{+1}$	-
	$b_{+1} = {}^{18} \alpha_{b_{+1}}^{\rm kin} \cdot k_{+1}$	-
a_{-1}, b_{-1}	$a_{-1} = a_{+1} / \left(K_1 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^ \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{O}} \right)$	-
	$b_{-1} = b_{+1} / \left(K_1 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^ \mathrm{CO}_2} \right)$	-
a_{+4}, b_{+4}	$a_{+4} = {}^{18}a_{a_{+4}}^{\rm kin} \cdot k_{+4}$	-
	$b_{+4} = {}^{18} lpha_{b_{+4}}^{ m kin} \cdot k_{+4}$	-
<i>a</i> ₋₄ , <i>b</i> ₋₄	$a_{-4} = a_{+4} / \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_w} \frac{^{18}a_{\rm HCO_3^ H_2O}}{^{18}a_{\rm OH^ H_2O}} \right)$	-
	$b_{-4} = b_{+4} / \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_w}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2} \right)$	-
¹⁸ X	${}^{18}\chi = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\frac{K_2 \cdot 18 a^{61}}{CO_2^3 - HCO_3^2}}}}{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{16}}}$	-

^aUpdated value that has been corrected for a conversion error in Christensen et al. (2021) betwen VPDB-CO₂, VPDB, and VSMOW scales.



the 1/3 and 2/3 factors for oxygen isotope bookkeeping and does not fold the acid fractionation factors into the expressions for EIC. Additionally, we clarify a difference in the final expressions for the rate constants that would otherwise lead to issues when extending the model to ${}^{18}\text{O}{}^{-18}\text{O}$ and ${}^{13}\text{C}{}^{-18}\text{O}{}^{-18}\text{O}$ clumped isotopes.

4.2.1. Isotope Reactions

To add clumped isotopes to the model, we need to include the reactions involving ${}^{13}C{}^{-18}O$ "clumps" in CO₂ and HCO₃⁻:

$$366 + H_2 8 \stackrel{p_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons}_{\frac{1}{3}p_{-1}} H3866 + H$$
 (123)

$$386 + H_2 6 \stackrel{s_{+1}}{\underset{\frac{2}{3}s_{-1}}{\overset{s_{-1}}{=}}} H3866 + H$$
(124)

$$366 + 8H \stackrel{p_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons} H3866$$
 (125)

$$386 + 6H \stackrel{s_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons} H3866 \tag{126}$$

Rate constants for the clumped isotope reactions are denoted p for "primary" and s for "secondary" following Guo (2020). In the primary reactions, a clumped isotopologue is created from reactants that are singly substituted.

4.2.2. ODEs

From the preceding reactions we obtain the following ODEs:

$$\frac{d[386]}{dt} = -s_{+1}[386] + \frac{2}{3}s_{-1}[E3866]^{63}\chi[H] -s_{+4}[386][6H] + \frac{2}{3}s_{-4}[E3866]^{63}\chi$$
(127)

and

$$\frac{d[E3866]}{dt} = p_{+1}[366]r_{w} - \frac{1}{3}p_{-1}[E3866]^{63}\chi[H] + p_{+4}[366][8H] - \frac{1}{3}p_{-4}[E3866]^{63}\chi + s_{+1}[386] - \frac{2}{3}s_{-1}[E3866]^{63}\chi[H] + s_{+4}[386][6H] - \frac{2}{3}s_{-4}[E3866]^{63}\chi.$$
(128)

The next task is to derive the ${}^{63}\chi$ parameter as well as the rate constants.

4.2.3. HCO₃ Fraction of the EIC

The ${}^{63}\chi$ term is analogous to ${}^{13}\chi$ and ${}^{18}\chi$ and is used to instantaneously redistribute the clumped isotopes between HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} so that these two species are in clumped isotopic equilibrium with each other. The expression takes the same form as the other χ terms because the fraction of clumped EIC that is in the form of HCO_3^- has the same pH dependence as for standard isotope ratios (Hill et al., 2014):

$$^{63}\chi = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{^{63}K_2}{[\mathrm{H}^+]}},\tag{129}$$

where ${}^{63}K_2$ is the equilibrium constant for the deprotonation reaction:

$$^{63}K_2 = \frac{[3866][H]}{[H3866]}.$$
(130)



This can be expressed in terms of the equilibrium Δ_{63} values of HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻. First, the top and bottom are multiplied by a common factor:

$${}^{63}K = \frac{[3866][H]}{[H3866]} \cdot \frac{\frac{[H2666]}{[H3666][H2866]}}{\frac{[H2666]}{[H3666][H2866]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{[2666]}{[3666][2866]}}{\frac{[2666]}{[3666][2866]}} \cdot \frac{[2666]}{[2666]} \cdot \frac{[H2666]}{[H2666]},$$
(131)

which upon rearrangement yields

$${}^{63}K_2 = \frac{\frac{[3866][2666]}{[3666][2866]}}{\frac{[H3866][H2666]}{[H3666][H2866]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{[H2666]}{[H3666]}}{\frac{[2666]}{[2866]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{[H2666]}{[H2866]}}{\frac{[2666]}{[2866]}} \cdot \frac{[2666][H]}{[H2666]}.$$
(132)

Converting isotopologue ratios to isotope ratios leads to

$${}^{63}K_2 = {}^{63}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_3^{2-}-HCO_3^{-}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_3^{2-}-HCO_3^{-}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_3^{2-}-HCO_3^{-}} \cdot K_2, \tag{133}$$

where

$${}^{63}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_3^{2^-}-HCO_3^-} = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta^{\rm eq}_{63,\rm CO_3^{2^-}}}{1000} + 1\right)}{\left(\frac{\Delta^{\rm eq}_{63,\rm HCO_3^-}}{1000} + 1\right)}.$$
(134)

4.2.4. Rate Constants and Fractionation Factors

Guo (2020) defined the "intrinsic KFF" for clumped isotopes relative to the product of the carbon and oxygen KFFs:

$${}^{13-18}\mathrm{KIE}_{p+1} = \frac{{}^{13-18}\alpha_{p+1}^{\mathrm{kin}}}{{}^{18}\alpha_{a_{+1}}^{\mathrm{kin}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{c_{+1}}^{\mathrm{kin}}} = \frac{\frac{p_{+1}}{k_{+1}}}{\frac{c_{+1}}{k_{+1}}\frac{a_{+1}}{k_{+1}}}.$$
(135)

A ${}^{13-18}$ KIE_{*p*+1} = 1 means the intrinsic KFF does not deviate from the product of the corresponding oxygen and carbon isotope KFFs. This is analogous to the case where $\epsilon_{k_{B_1}} = \epsilon_{k_{B_2}} = 0$ in the CaCO₃-DIC model (Section 3.2). Guo's theoretical values for the $^{13-18}$ KIEs are provided in Table 4.

Rearranging Equation 135 leads to an expression for p_{+1} :

$$p_{+1} = \frac{{}^{13-18} \text{KIE}_{p_{+1}} c_{+1} a_{+1}}{k_{+1}},$$
(136)

where ${}^{13-18}$ KIE $_{p_{+1}}$ is treated as a known quantity (Guo, 2020). To obtain p_{-1} , we use the equilibrium constraint from the corresponding reaction:

$$\frac{p_{+1}}{p_{-1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} [\text{H3866}][\text{H}]}{[366] [\text{H}_2 8]}.$$
(137)

By multiplying the top and bottom by a common factor, we can write:

$$\frac{p_{+1}}{p_{-1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} [\text{H3866}][\text{H}]}{[366] [\text{H}_28]} \cdot \frac{\frac{[\text{H2666}]}{[\text{H3666}][\text{H2866}]}}{\frac{[\text{H2666}]}{[\text{H3666}][\text{H2866}]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{[\text{H2666}]}{[266]}}{\frac{[\text{H2666}]}{[266]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\text{H}_26}}{\frac{1}{\text{H}_26}},$$
(138)

which upon rearrangement leads to

$$\frac{p_{+1}}{p_{-1}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3} [\text{H3866}][\text{H2666}]}{[\text{H3666}][\text{H2866}]} \cdot \frac{[\text{H2666}][\text{H}]}{[266][\text{H26}]} \cdot \frac{\frac{[\text{H3666}]}{[\text{H266}]}}{\frac{[366]}{[266]}} \cdot \frac{\frac{[\text{H2866}]}{[\text{H266}]}}{\frac{[\text{H286}]}{[266]}}.$$
(139)

Converting isotopologue ratios to isotope ratios leads to



Parameters for ¹³C-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

Symbol	Value	Reference/Note
Δ^{eq}_{47,CO_2}	$26447/T_{\rm K}^2 + 285.51/T_{\rm K} - 0.3004$	Wang et al. (2004)
$\Delta^{eq}_{63, \text{HCO}_3^-}$	[see Table 2]	-
$\Delta^{eq}_{63,CO_3^{2-}}$	[see Table 2]	-
$\left({}^{47}\boldsymbol{R}/{}^{47}\boldsymbol{R}^*\right)^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}_2}$	$\Delta_{47, \text{CO}_2}^{\text{eq}} / 1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{63}\boldsymbol{R}/{}^{63}\boldsymbol{R}^*\right)_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^-}^{\mathrm{eq}}$	$\Delta_{63, \text{HCO}_3^-}^{\text{eq}} / 1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{63}R/{}^{63}R^{*}\right)_{\rm CO_{3}^{2-}}^{\rm eq}$	$\Delta^{\rm eq}_{63,\rm CO_3^{2-}}/1000 + 1$	-
$^{63}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_3^{2-}-HCO_3^{-}}$	$\left({}^{63}\boldsymbol{R}/{}^{63}\boldsymbol{R}^{*}\right)_{\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2}}^{\mathrm{eq}}$	-
$^{63}K_2$	${}^{63}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{{\rm CO}_3^{2-}-{\rm HCO}_3^{-}}^{13}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{{\rm CO}_3^{2-}-{\rm HCO}_3^{-}}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{{\rm CO}_3^{2-}-{\rm HCO}_3^{-}}\cdot K_2$	-
⁶³ X	$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{63K_2}{[H^+]}}$	-
$^{13-18}$ KIE p_{+1}	$(4613.8393/T_{\rm K}^2 - 4.0389/T_{\rm K} - 0.185)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18}$ KIE s_{+1}	$(-5705.688/T_{\rm K}^2 - 41.5925/T_{\rm K} - 0.015)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18}$ KIE p_{+4}	$(-902.7635/T_{\rm K}^2 + 157.1718/T_{\rm K} - 0.533)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18}$ KIE s_{+4}	$(-11771.2832/T_{\rm K}^2 - 62.7060/T_{\rm K} + 0.168)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
p_{+1}, s_{+1}	$p_{+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 13-18 \text{KIE}p_{+1} \cdot c_{+1} \cdot a_{+1} \end{pmatrix} / k_{+1}$	Uchikawa et al. (2021)
	$s_{+1} = \left({}^{13-18} \text{KIE} s_{+1} \cdot c_{+1} \cdot b_{+1} \right) / k_{+1}$	Uchikawa et al. (2021)
p_{-1}, s_{-1}	$p_{-1} = p_{+1} / \left[\left({}^{63}R / {}^{63}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ H_2O}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-
	$s_{-1} = s_{+1} \cdot \left[\left({}^{47}R/{}^{47}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{63}R/{}^{63}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-
p_{+4}, s_{+4}	$p_{+4} = \left({}^{13-18}\mathrm{KIE}p_{+4} \cdot c_{+4} \cdot a_{+4} \right)/k_{+4}$	Uchikawa et al. (2021)
	$s_{+4} = \left({}^{13-18} \mathrm{KIE} s_{+4} \cdot c_{+4} \cdot b_{+4} \right) / k_{+4}$	Uchikawa et al. (2021)
<i>p</i> ₋₄ , <i>s</i> ₋₄	$p_{-4} = p_{+4} / \left[\left({}^{63}R / {}^{63}R^{*} \right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^-} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13} \alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^ CO_2} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^ OH^-} \right]$	-
	$s_{-4} = s_{+4} \cdot \left[\left({}^{47}R / {}^{47}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{63}R / {}^{63}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-

$$\frac{p_{+1}}{p_{-1}} = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \left(\frac{{}^{63}R}{{}^{63}R^*}\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot \frac{{}^{13}r_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq}}{{}^{13}r_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}} \cdot \frac{3 \cdot {}^{18}r_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq}}{r_{\rm w}}.$$
(140)

The factors of 3 and 1/3 cancel and we get:

$$\frac{p_{+1}}{p_{-1}} = \left(\frac{^{63}R}{^{63}R^*}\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--H_2O}^{\rm eq}$$
(141)

Similar expressions can be derived for the remaining clumped isotope rate constants (Supplement S6 in Supporting Information S1), ultimately leading to:

$$\frac{s_{+1}}{s_{-1}} = \frac{\left(\frac{6^3 R}{6^3 R^*}\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq}}{\left(\frac{4^7 R}{4^7 R^*}\right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq}},$$
(142)

$$\frac{p_{+4}}{p_{-4}} = \left(\frac{{}^{63}R}{{}^{63}R^*}\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot \frac{K_1}{K_{\rm w}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^--OH^-}^{\rm eq},$$
(143)



$$\frac{S_{+4}}{S_{-4}} = \frac{\left(\frac{^{63}R}{^{63}R^{*}}\right)_{\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}}^{\text{eq}} \cdot \frac{K_{1}}{K_{w}} \cdot ^{18}\alpha_{\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}-\text{CO}_{2}}^{\text{eq}} \cdot ^{13}\alpha_{\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}-\text{CO}_{2}}^{\text{eq}}}{\left(\frac{^{47}R}{^{47}R^{*}}\right)_{\text{CO}_{2}}^{\text{eq}}}$$
(144)

These expressions for the rate constants are nearly identical to those of Uchikawa et al. (2021). The only significant difference is that they use ${}^{47}K_{CO_2}^{eq}$ and ${}^{63}K_{HCO_3}^{eq}$ instead of $({}^{47}R/{}^{47}R^*)_{CO_2}^{eq}$ and $({}^{63}R/{}^{63}R^*)_{HCO_3}^{eq}$, respectively. This works for ${}^{13}C_{-}{}^{18}O$ clumped isotopes because the quantities are equivalent, but breaks down for ${}^{18}O_{-}{}^{18}O$ and ${}^{13}C_{-}{}^{18}O$ clumped isotopes. A compilation of the parameters needed to solve the ODEs (Equations 127 and 128) is provided in Table 4.

4.3. ¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

4.3.1. Isotope Reactions

To add the mass 48 and 64 isotopologues to the model, we need to include the reactions involving ${}^{18}\text{O}{}^{-18}\text{O}$ "clumps" in CO₂ and HCO₃⁻:

$$286 + H_2 8 \stackrel{p'_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons} H2886 + H$$
(145)

$$288 + H_2 6 \stackrel{s'_{+1}}{\rightleftharpoons}_{\frac{1}{3}s'_{-1}} H2886 + H$$
(146)

$$286 + 8H \stackrel{p'_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons} H2886 \tag{147}$$

$$288 + 6H \stackrel{s'_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons}_{\frac{1}{3}s'_{-4}} H2886 \tag{148}$$

Note that the primary reactions have a factor of 2/3 on the back reaction whereas for standard clumped isotope reactions, the primary reactions had a factor of 1/3.

4.3.2. ODEs

From the preceding reactions we obtain the following ODEs:

$$\frac{d[288]}{dt} = -s'_{+1}[288] + \frac{1}{3}s'_{-1}[E2886]^{64}\chi[H] -s'_{+4}[288][6H] + \frac{1}{3}s'_{-4}[E2886]^{64}\chi$$

$$\frac{d[E2886]}{dt} = p'_{+1}[286]r_{w} - \frac{2}{3}p'_{-1}[E2886]^{64}\chi[H] + p'_{+4}[286][8H] - \frac{2}{3}p'_{-4}[E2886]^{64}\chi$$

$$+ s'_{-4}[288] - \frac{1}{3}s'_{-4}[E2886]^{64}\chi[H]$$
(150)

$$+ s'_{+4}[288][6H] - \frac{1}{3}s'_{-4}[E2886]^{64}\chi$$

4.3.3. Fraction of $HCO_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the EIC

The derivation of ${}^{64}\chi$ is provided in Supplement S7 in Supporting Information S1 with the resulting expression provided in Table 5.

4.3.4. Rate Constants

The derivation of p' and s' rate constants is provided in Supplement S7 in Supporting Information S1 with results compiled in Table 5.



Parameters for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

Symbol	Value	Reference/Note
Δ^{eq}_{48,CO_2}	$29306/T_{\rm K}^2 + 93.885/T_{\rm K} - 0.2914$	Wang et al. (2004)
Δ^{eq}_{64,HCO_3^-}	[see Table 2]	-
$\Delta^{\rm eq}_{64,{\rm CO}^{2-}_3}$	[see Table 2]	-
$\left({}^{48}R/{}^{48}R^*\right)^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}_2}$	$\Delta_{48,CO_2}^{eq}/1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{64}\boldsymbol{R}/{}^{64}\boldsymbol{R}^*\right)^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{HCO}_3^-}$	$\Delta_{64, \text{HCO}_3^-}^{\text{eq}} / 1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{64}R/{}^{64}R^*\right)^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}_3^{2-}}$	$\Delta_{64, CO_3^{2-}}^{eq} / 1000 + 1$	-
$^{64}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{{\rm CO}_3^{2^-}-{\rm HCO}_3^-}$	$\left({}^{64}\textit{\textit{R}}/{}^{64}\textit{\textit{R}}^* ight)^{ m eq}_{ m CO_3^2}/({}^{64}\textit{\textit{R}}/{}^{64}\textit{\textit{R}}^* ight)^{ m eq}_{ m HCO_3^-}$	-
$^{64}K_2$	${}^{64}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO^{2-}_{3}-HCO^{-}_{3}}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO^{2-}_{3}-HCO^{-}_{3}}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO^{2-}_{3}-HCO^{-}_{3}}\cdot K_{2}$	-
⁶⁴ X	$\frac{1}{1+\frac{6^4K_2}{\left[H^+\right]}}$	-
$^{18-18}\text{KIE}_{p'_{+1}}$	$(-13249.5324/T_{\rm K}^2 - 37.8964/T_{\rm K} + 0.027)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{18-18}$ KIE _{s'+1}	$(-18411.4121/T_{\rm K}^2 - 3.7575/T_{\rm K} + 0.074)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{18-18}\text{KIE}_{p'_{+4}}$	$(-5859.1625/T_{\rm K}^2 - 3.7964/T_{\rm K} - 0.197)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{18-18}\text{KIE}_{s'_{+4}}$	$(-12333.8137/T_{\rm K}^2 + 8.6005/T_{\rm K} + 0.024)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
p'_{+1}, s'_{+1}	$p'_{+1} = \left({}^{18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{p'_{+1}} \cdot b_{+1} \cdot a_{+1} ight) / k_{+1}$	-
	$s'_{+1} = \left({}^{18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{s'_{+1}} \cdot b_{+1} \cdot b_{+1} \right) / k_{+1}$	-
p'_{-1}, s'_{-1}	$p_{-1}' = p_{+1}' / \left[\left({}^{64}R / {}^{64}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18} \alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ H_2O}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-
	$s_{-1}' = s_{+1}' \cdot \left[\left({}^{48}R / {}^{48}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{64}R / {}^{64}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right]$	_
p'_{+4}, s'_{+4}	$p_{+4}' = \left({}^{18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{p_{+4}'} \cdot b_{+4} \cdot a_{+4} ight) / k_{+4}$	-
	$s'_{+4} = \left({}^{18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{s'_{+4}} \cdot b_{+4} \cdot b_{+4} \right) / k_{+4}$	-
p'_{-4}, s'_{-4}	$p_{-4}' = p_{+4}' \left[\left({^{64}R'}^{64}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq}} \cdot {^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^OH^-}^{\rm eq}} \right]$	-
	$s'_{-4} = s'_{+4} \cdot \left[\left({}^{48}R / {}^{48}R^* \right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm CO_2} \right] / \left[\left({}^{64}R / {}^{64}R^* \right)^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^-} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\rm eq}_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2} \right]$	-

4.4. ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

4.4.1. Isotope Reactions

To add the mass 49 and 65 isotopologues to the model, we need to include the reactions involving ${}^{13}O_{-}{}^{18}O_{-}{}^$

$$386 + H_2 8 \stackrel{p_{+1}''}{\rightleftharpoons}_{\frac{2}{3}p_{-1}''} H3886 + H$$
(151)

$$388 + H_2 6 \stackrel{s_{+1}''}{\rightleftharpoons} H3886 + H$$
(152)

$$386 + 8H \stackrel{p''_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons} H3886$$
(153)

$$388 + 6H \stackrel{s''_{+4}}{\rightleftharpoons}_{\frac{1}{3}s''_{-4}} H3886$$
(154)



Parameters for ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O Clumped Isotopes

Symbol	Value	Reference/Note
Δ^{eq}_{49,CO_2}	$108776/T_{\rm K}^2 + 477.14/T_{\rm K} - 0.5954$	Wang et al. (2004)
$\Delta^{eq}_{65, \rm HCO_3^-}$	[see Table 2]	-
$\Delta^{eq}_{65,CO_3^{2-}}$	[see Table 2]	-
$\left({}^{49}R/{}^{49}R^*\right)^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}_2}$	$\Delta_{49,CO_2}^{cq}/1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^*\right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq}$	$\Delta_{65, \text{HCO}_3}^{\text{eq}} / 1000 + 1$	-
$\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^{*}\right)_{\rm CO_{3}^{2-}}^{\rm eq}$	$\Delta_{65, \mathrm{CO}_3^2}^{\mathrm{eq}} / 1000 + 1$	-
$^{65}\alpha^{eq}_{CO_3^{2-}-HCO_3^{-}}$	$\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^{*}\right)_{\rm CO_{2}^{-}}^{\rm cq}$ /(${}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^{*}$) $_{\rm HCO_{3}^{-}}^{\rm cq}$	-
$^{65}K_2$	${}^{65}\alpha^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}^{2-}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{HCO}^{-}_{3}} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}^{2-}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{HCO}^{-}_{3}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}^{2-}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{HCO}^{-}_{3}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha^{\mathrm{eq}}_{\mathrm{CO}^{2-}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{HCO}^{-}_{3}} \cdot K_{2}$	-
⁶⁵ X	$\frac{1}{1+\frac{65}{[H^+]}}$	-
$^{13-18-18}$ KIE _{p''_{+1}}	$(11896.5529/T_{\rm K}^2 - 84.3221/T_{\rm K} - 0.168)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18-18}$ KIE _{s''}	$(-29208.4768/T_{\rm K}^2 - 83.2994/T_{\rm K} + 0.035)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18-18}$ KIE _{p''_{+4}}	$(-6817.6067/T_{\rm K}^2 + 92.9194/T_{\rm K} - 0.517)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$^{13-18-18}$ KIE _{s''+4}	$(-34709.15/T_{\rm K}^2 - 125.3407/T_{\rm K} + 0.409)/1000 + 1$	Guo (2020)
$p_{+1}^{\prime\prime}, s_{+1}^{\prime\prime}$	$p_{+1}^{\prime\prime} = \left({}^{13-18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{p_{+1}^{\prime\prime}} \cdot c_{+1} \cdot b_{+1} \cdot a_{+1} ight) / k_{+1}^2$	-
	$s_{+1}^{\prime\prime} = \left({}^{13-18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{s_{+1}^{\prime\prime}} \cdot c_{+1} \cdot b_{+1} \cdot b_{+1} \right) / k_{+1}^2$	-
p_{-1}'', s_{-1}''	$p_{-1}'' = p_{+1}'' \cdot \left[\left({}^{47}R / {}^{47}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R / {}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^H_2O}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-
	$s_{-1}'' = s_{+1}'' \cdot \left[\left({}^{49}R / {}^{49}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R / {}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^{\rm CO}_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^{\rm CO}_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^{\rm CO}_2}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-
$p_{+4}^{\prime\prime}, s_{+4}^{\prime\prime}$	$p_{+4}^{\prime\prime} = \left({}^{13-18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{p_{+4}^{\prime\prime}} \cdot c_{+4} \cdot b_{+4} \cdot a_{+4} ight) / k_{+4}^2$	-
	$s_{+4}^{\prime\prime} = \left({}^{13-18-18} \mathrm{KIE}_{s_{+4}^{\prime\prime}} \cdot c_{+4} \cdot b_{+4} \cdot b_{+4} \right) / k_{+4}^2$	-
p_{-4}'', s_{-4}''	$p_{-4}^{\prime\prime} = p_{+4}^{\prime\prime} \cdot \left[\left({}^{47}R/{}^{47}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {\rm CO_2^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^ {}^{18}\alpha_$	_
	$s_{-4}^{\prime\prime} = s_{+4}^{\prime\prime} \cdot \left[\left({}^{49}R/{}^{49}R^* \right)_{\rm CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right] / \left[\left({}^{65}R/{}^{65}R^* \right)_{\rm HCO_3^-}^{\rm eq} \cdot K_1 / K_{\rm w} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\rm HCO_3^CO_2}^{\rm eq} \right]$	-

4.4.2. ODEs

From the preceding reactions we obtain the following ODEs:

$$\frac{d[388]}{dt} = -s_{+1}''[388] + \frac{1}{3}s_{-1}''[E3886]^{65}\chi[H]
-s_{+4}''[388][6H] + \frac{1}{3}s_{-4}''[E3886]^{65}\chi$$

$$\frac{d[E3886]}{dt} = p_{+1}''[386]r_{w} - \frac{2}{3}p_{-1}''[E3886]^{65}\chi[H]
+ p_{+4}''[386][8H] - \frac{2}{3}p_{-4}''[E3886]^{65}\chi
+ s_{+1}''[388] - \frac{1}{3}s_{-1}''[E3886]^{65}\chi[H]
+ s_{+4}''[388][6H] - \frac{1}{3}s_{-4}''[E3886]^{65}\chi$$
(156)

4.4.3. Fraction of HCO_3^- in the EIC

The derivation of ${}^{65}\chi$ is provided in Supplement S8 in Supporting Information S1 with the resulting expression provided in Table 6.





4.4.4. Rate Constants

The derivation of p'' and s'' rate constants is provided in Supplement S8 in Supporting Information S1 with results compiled in Table 6.

4.5. DIC-H₂O Model Behavior

To demonstrate the behavior of the DIC-H₂O model, we follow the approach used by Guo (2020) and show what happens when a high-pH solution absorbs CO₂ (Figure 3). We chose this scenario because it is relevant to the experimental results discussed in Section 5. In the simulation, the DIC pool (4 mM) is initially equilibrated and then the CO₂ concentration and its δ^{13} C are increased and held constant while the system adjusts to a new equilibrium. The run conditions differ from those of Guo (2020) in three ways: (a) our model neglects ¹⁷O isotopologues, (b) we use a different set of equilibrium and kinetic parameters (Tables 3–6), and (c) we show results at $T = 5^{\circ}$ C and pH = 10.5 whereas Guo (2020) showed results at $T = 25^{\circ}$ C and pH = 9. A direct comparison between our model outputs and those of Guo (2020) using the same set of parameters is provided in Supplement S9 in Supporting Information S1.

Figure 3 shows how the isotopic compositions of HCO_3^- and CO_3^{2-} quickly respond to the change in CO_2 (as emphasized by the insets showing what happens in the first hour) and then gradually evolve to their respective equilibrium compositions on a timescale of about 500 hr. This timescale is consistent with that predicted by the analytical expression for the timescale to reach 99% oxygen isotope equilibration ($\tau_{99\%} \sim 685$ hr; Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012).

The DIC-H₂O model is useful for predicting the magnitude of disequilibrium effects and how different processes such as CO₂ absorption and degassing give rise to distinct signatures in the isotopic composition (δ^{13} C, δ^{18} O, Δ_{47} , Δ_{48} , and Δ_{49}) of the aqueous solution (Guo, 2020). The DIC-H₂O model has already been employed to identify and correct for KIEs in corals and other natural carbonate minerals but with the caveat that "the timing information in the model has no direct implication on the timescale of coral calcification process" because the CO₂ supply rate is treated as infinitely fast and there is no CaCO₃ outflux. (Bajnai et al., 2020). To more accurately model open-system laboratory experiments and natural environments, an open-system treatment with finite CO₂ and CaCO₃ fluxes is warranted. As we show below, such a treatment affects the range of expected KIEs and gives additional timing information such as how KIEs vary with crystal growth rate.

5. Combined Model and Application to Inorganic Calcite Precipitation Experiments

We can now combine the CaCO₃-DIC model from Section 3 and the DIC-H₂O model from Section 4 into an open-system box model for the complete CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system. We refer to the general framework as "COAD," which is short for "carbon, oxygen, α , Δ ." The model is applied to inorganic calcite precipitation experiments of Tang et al. (2014). This data set is particularly amenable to a box model because the experimental solutions were well-stirred (homogeneous) and the authors provided information regarding the magnitude and isotopic composition of DIC fluxes. The goals of this exercise are: (a) to provide the first example of a clumped isotope box model with a complete set of reaction kinetics that can be adapted to other situations and (b) to quantitatively evaluate the hypothesis by Tang

Figure 3. Behavior of the DIC-H₂O model at 5°C and high pH using rate constants compiled in Tables 3–6. In this run, the dissolved inorganic carbon pool is initially equilibrated; then, the CO_2 is perturbed and held constant while the system adjusts to the new equilibrium.





Figure 4. (a) Experimental setup used by Dietzel et al. (2009) and Tang et al. (2014). (b) Setup for the combined isotopic box model of the $CaCO_3$ -DIC-H₂O system.

et al. (2014) that extreme light isotope enrichments in their experiments are due to some combination of CO_2 diffusion through a membrane and the CO_2 hydroxylation reaction.

5.1. Summary of the Tang et al. (2014) Experiments

The experimental setup is shown in Figure 4a. A polyethylene (PE) container houses an inner solution with no Ca^{2+} but high $CO_{2(aq)}$. The PE container is placed in an outer solution with 10 mM $CaCl_2$ and no DIC initially. The DIC is delivered to the outer solution by CO_2 diffusion through the PE membrane. The CO_2 flux varies between experiments because of differences in membrane thickness and in the pH of inner and outer solutions. The pH of the outer solution is held constant through the use of an autotitrator with NaOH as the titrant.

Tang et al. (2014) describe their experiments as consisting of two stages. During Stage I, CO_2 diffuses from the inner to outer solution and the concentration of DIC in the outer solution increases monotonically until a critical saturation is reached for spontaneous calcite precipitation. During Stage II, the outer solution experiences both a CO_2 influx and $CaCO_3$ outflux. Stage II is characterized by a short period of rapid $CaCO_3$ nucleation and growth followed by a prolonged period of slower growth under nearly steady state conditions.

A modified version of the experimental parameters and results of Tang et al. (2014) is given in Table 7. The flux of CO₂ into solution during Stage I was calculated from [DIC] at the end of Stage I and the duration of Stage I (t_1). The flux of CaCO₃ was calculated from the moles of CaCO₃ precipitated (M) and duration of Stage II (t_2). The surface area (SA) normalized growth rate of CaCO₃ (moles m⁻² s⁻¹) was calculated by Tang et al. (2014) using a specific SA for calcite based on particle size distributions. From the reported growth rates, the total reactive SA of crystals at the end of the experiments span the range of 0.056–0.209 m². These represent maximum values since the starting surface area is 0 m².

5.2. Combined Model Setup

The open-system model deals only with Stage II and involves two fluxes: F_{CO_2} and F_{CaCO_3} (both in mol s⁻¹) (Figure 4b). For simplicity, we consider the reactive SA as constant but adjustable within the range of 0 to 0.209 m². We find that a value of 0.01 m² yields generally good agreement between the steady state F_{CO_2} (or F_{CaCO_3}) and

Experimental Data From Dietzel et al. (2009) and Tang et al. (2014) Used to Constrain the Input Parameters (T, pH, $[Ca^{2+}]$) and Adjustable Parameters (F_{CO_2} and SA) Used in the Model

	Т		t_1	t_2	t _{tot}	М	[Ca ²⁺] _{ini}	[DIC] ^(b)	$F_{\rm CO_2}^{(c)}$	$F_{CaCO_3}^{(d)}$	SA	$\log_{10}R$		Δ_{47}
Exp ^(a)	(°C)	pH _{out}	(h)	(h)	(h)	(mmoles)	(mM)	(mM)	(mmol/h)	(mmol/h)	m ²	moles/m ² /s	$1000 \ln \alpha$	ARF
1	5	9	194	647	841	5.8	10.1	0.24	0.006	0.009	0.059	-7.38	26.75	0.733
2	5	9	186	402	588	5.2	9.9	0.24	0.006	0.013	0.073	-7.31	28.23	0.735
3	5	9	162	223	385	9.6	10.5	0.33	0.010	0.043	0.188	-7.20	29.49	0.748
4	5	10	119	309	428	6.9	9.9	0.05	0.002	0.022	0.112	-7.26	14.09	0.962
5	5	8.5	438	336	774	3.7	10.2	0.53	0.006	0.011	0.056	-7.27	31.51	0.787
6	5	10.5	48	187	235	12.4	92.5	0.04	0.004	0.066	0.230	-7.10	13.07	1.065
7	5	8.3	72	26	98	6.6	10.0	2.15	0.149	0.254	0.164	-6.37	31.22	0.765
9	5	8.3	127	65	192	4.8	10.3	1.6	0.063	0.074	0.107	-6.72	31.78	0.753
10	5	8.3	61	51	112	9.3	9.9	1.95	0.160	0.182	0.209	-6.62	30.99	0.752

^aExperiment numbers from Dietzel et al. (2009). ^b[DIC] at the end of Stage I. cF_{CO_2} during Stage I. dF_{CaCO_3} during Stage II.

the measured surface area normalized growth rates across the experimental data set as a whole. Additional details regarding the treatments of F_{CO_2} and F_{CaCO_3} are described individually below.

5.2.1. CO₂ Flux

It is important to consider the isotopic composition of CO_2 as it enters solution during Stage I and throughout Stage II. We assume that the $CO_{2(aq)}$ in the inner solution is isotopically equilibrated with water. Under the experimental conditions (5°C and pH of inner solution between 7.3 and 8.1), the equilibration time for oxygen and clumped isotopes ($t_{99\%}$) ranges from 6 to 35 hr (Affek, 2013; Clog et al., 2015; Staudigel & Swart, 2018; Uchikawa & Zeebe, 2012). Tang et al. (2014) did not report the pre-experiment dwell time and whether or not it was sufficient to ensure isotopic equilibration. Additional fractionation may occur during $CO_{2(g)}$ transport through the PE membrane. This could potentially lead to lower $\delta^{18}O$ and higher Δ_{47} values (Eiler and Schauble, 2004).

5.2.2. CaCO₃ Flux

The precipitation of CaCO₃ constitutes a sink of EIC isotopologues (recall that EIC refers to "equilibrated inorganic carbon," or $HCO_3^- + CO_3^{2-}$) that affects the isotopic composition of residual EIC. Chen et al. (2018) provided the CaCO₃ flux terms for carbon and oxygen isotopes. Here, we derive the CaCO₃ sink term for E3866. To begin, we have the following definition:

$$\left(\frac{{}^{63}R}{{}^{63}R^*}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{138661}{126661}\right)}{\left(\frac{136661}{126661}\right)\left(\frac{128661}{126661}\right)}.$$
(157)

Next, we define a kinetic clumped isotope fractionation factor:

$${}^{63}\alpha_{\rm CaCO_3-EIC} = \frac{\left(\frac{63_R}{63_R^*}\right)_{\rm CaCO_3}}{\left(\frac{63_R}{63_R^*}\right)_{\rm EIC}} = \frac{\left[\frac{\left(\frac{(Ca366)}{(Ca2666)}\right)}{\left(\frac{(Ca3666)}{(Ca2666)}\right)}\right]}{\left[\frac{\left(\frac{(Ca366)}{(Ea2666)}\right)}{\left(\frac{(E3666)}{(Ea2666)}\right)}\right]},$$
(158)

which upon rearrangement leads to

$${}^{63}\alpha_{\text{CaCO}_{3}-\text{EIC}} = \frac{\left(\frac{[\text{Ca3866}]}{[\text{Ca2666}]}\right)}{\left(\frac{[\text{E3866}]}{[\text{E2666}]}\right)} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{[\text{E3866}]}{[\text{E2666}]}\right)}{\left(\frac{[\text{Ca3866}]}{[\text{Ca2666}]}\right)} = \frac{\left(\frac{[\text{Ca3866}]}{[\text{Ca2666}]}\right)}{\left(\frac{[\text{E3866}]}{[\text{E2666}]}\right)} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{\text{EIC}-\text{CaCO}_{3}} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{\text{EIC}-\text{CaCO}_{3}}.$$
(159)



Solving for [Ca3866] leads to

$$[Ca3866] = [Ca2666] \cdot \frac{[E3866]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{63}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC}.$$
(160)

Considering this expression in terms of the flux of CaCO₃, we can write:

$$\frac{d[Ca3866]}{dt} = \frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E3866]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{63}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC}.$$
(161)

Finally, the effect of calcite precipitation on the clumped isotope composition of residual EIC is given by

$$\frac{d[Ca3866]}{dt} = -\frac{d[E3866]}{dt}.$$
 (162)

The clumped isotope composition of calcite relative to EIC is calculated from the CaCO₃-DIC model.

Similar expressions can be derived for ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O clumped isotopes:

$$\frac{d[E2886]}{dt} = -\frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E2886]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{64}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}$$
(163)

and

$$\frac{d[E3886]}{dt} = -\frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E3886]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{65}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha$$

5.3. Governing Equations

The Tang et al. (2014) experiments are modeled by solving the following system of equations:

$$\frac{d[266]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq.92\} + \frac{F_{CO_2}}{V}$$
(165)

$$\frac{d[E2666]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq.93\} - \frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V}$$
(166)

$$\frac{d[366]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq.94\} + \frac{F_{CO_2} \cdot {}^{13}R_{CO_2}}{V}$$
(167)

$$\frac{d[E3666]}{dt} = \{rxn \, terms, Eq.95\} - \frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E3666]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC}$$
(168)

$$\frac{d[286]}{dt} = \{rxnterms, Eq.96\} + \frac{F_{CO_2} \cdot {}^{18}R_{CO_2}}{V}$$
(169)

$$\frac{d[E2866]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq.97\} - \frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E2866]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3-EIC}$$
(170)

$$\frac{d[386]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq. 127\} + \frac{F_{CO_2} \cdot {}^{47} R_{CO_2}}{V}$$
(171)

$$\frac{d[E3866]}{dt} = \{rxn terms, Eq.128\} - \frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V} \cdot \frac{[E3866]}{[E2666]} \cdot {}^{63}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{13}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC} \cdot {}^{18}\alpha_{CaCO_3 - EIC}$$
(172)

$$\frac{d\left[Ca^{2+}\right]}{dt} = -\frac{F_{CaCO_3}}{V}$$
(173)

Since we treat the experiments as "seeded" we initialize the model with $[Ca^{2+}] = 10$ mM and enough DIC so that $\Omega = 7$, which is representative of the Ω at the start of Stage II of the experiments (Dietzel et al., 2009). The CO₂ that enters into solution gets converted to HCO₃⁻ according to the CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation reactions,



leading to light isotope enrichment of HCO_3^- , and calcite grows at a rate that depends on $[Ca^{2+}]$ and $[CO_3^{2-}]$. The Matlab script that accompanies this article is subdivided into the following sequence of operations (pseudocode):

- 1. Specify *T*, pH, salinity, *V*, $[Ca^{2+}]$, the initial Ω , the crystal reactive SA, and F_{CO_2} . Calculate $[CO_3^{2-}]$ from $[Ca^{2+}]$ and Ω .
- 2. Calculate K_1, K_2, K_w , and K_{sp} as functions of *T* and salinity.
- 3. Calculate [DIC] and DIC speciation from $[CO_3^{2-}]$ and pH (Zeebe & Wolf-Gladrow, 2001).
- 4. Calculate the equilibrium carbon, oxygen, and clumped isotope fractionation factors as functions of T.
- 5. Calculate the forward k values for all reactions.
- 6. Calculate the backward k values using the forward k's and equilibrium constraints.
- 7. Calculate the equilibrium isotopologue concentrations.
- 8. Specify the isotopic composition of F_{CO_2} . In the default model, the incoming CO₂ is equilibrated with water.
- 9. Solve the system of coupled ODEs (Equations 165–173). At each time step, the HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ isotopologue concentrations are calculated from the EIC isotopologue concentrations using the χ values. These are then used as inputs into the CaCO₃-DIC model, which returns the SA normalized growth rate as well as the pH-and growth rate-dependent ¹³ α_{CaCO_3-EIC} , ¹⁸ α_{CaCO_3-EIC} , ⁶³ α_{CaCO_3-EIC} , ⁶⁴ α_{CaCO_3-EIC} , and ⁶⁵ α_{CaCO_3-EIC} values. The growth rate is multiplied by the reactive SA (0.01 m² for this simulation) to get F_{CaCO_3} , which feeds back into the ODEs, and the calculation is repeated until steady state is reached.

5.4. Model Behavior

An example showing the behavior of the model run to steady state is shown in Figure 5. The CO₂ flux is held constant at 0.01 mmol/hr (Figure 5a), which is in the middle of the range of the Tang et al. (2014) experiments. The flux of CaCO₃ is initially high and decreases monotonically until it exactly balances with the specified flux of CO₂ (Figure 5b). The time required to reach a steady state F_{CaCO_3} depends on F_{CO_2} , with low values requiring longer simulation times. The oxygen isotope composition of DIC is initially equilibrated and then 1000ln¹⁸ α steadily decreases due to the CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation reactions (Figure 5c). After several hours, the system reaches a steady state composition that is far from equilibrium. For clumped isotopes, the CO₂ becomes more ordered (higher Δ_{47} ; Figure 5d) and the DIC species also become more ordered (higher Δ_{63} ; Figure 5e) during CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation.

5.5. Model Application

Outputs from the model are compared to the Tang et al. (2014) data in Figures 6a and 6b. Each point on a model curve represents the *steady state* isotopic composition for the specified pH and F_{CO2} . Overall, the model fits the data well, which is noteworthy given that we treat the EFFs and KFFs as "known" and the only adjustable parameter is the reactive surface area of crystals. For both oxygen and clumped isotopes, there is an indication that the model may be underestimating the oxygen and perhaps also the clumped isotope KIEs at the highest pH values. However, given the ~3‰ spread in δ^{18} O in the replicate experiments at pH = 9.0, and the 0.05‰ variability in Δ_{47} among inorganic calibrations at a given *T* (see Figure 5 of Kelson et al., 2017), it is unclear how much emphasis to place on the data-model disagreement at high pH.

The range in solution pH (8.3–10.5) and crystal growth rate $(\log_{10}R = -6.37 \text{ to } -7.38 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ resulted in an 18.7% range in 1000ln¹⁸ a and 0.33 range in Δ_{63} (Tang et al., 2014). Although most of this variability can be explained by CO₂ exchange with water in the DIC-H₂O system, it is also clear that the CO₂ and CaCO₃ fluxes have a strong influence on the KIEs by modulating the reversibility of the CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation reactions. For a given F_{CO_2} , higher pH yields larger KIEs, and for a given pH, a higher F_{CO_2} or F_{CaCO_3} promotes unidirectional reaction and larger KIEs. It is thus important to account for these fluxes even though the KIEs attending the crystal growth reactions may be relatively minor.



Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems



Figure 5. CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O box model run showing the time evolution of the system. (a) F_{CO_2} is held constant throughout. (b) F_{CaCO_3} at each time step is calculated from the CaCO₃-DIC model based on the degree of supersaturation. Initially, $\Omega = 7$ and it decreases monotonically until $F_{CaCO_3} = F_{CO_2}$. In this example, the steady state F_{CaCO_3} translates to $\log_{10}R = -6.6 \text{ mol/m}^2/\text{s}$. (c) The oxygen isotope composition of HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ decrease due to kinetic effects attending CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation. CaCO₃ inherits the light composition of HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ plus an additional fractionation attending the crystal growth reaction. (d) The Δ_{47} of CO_{2(aq)} increases due to the hydration and hydroxylation reactions. (e) The Δ_{63} of HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ increase due to KIEs attending the CO₂ hydration and hydroxylation reactions (gray horizontal bar) as well as the increase in Δ_{47} of CO_{2(aq)}. Calcite growth is fast enough for it to (nearly) inherit the clumped isotope composition of the weighted sum of HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻.





Figure 6. Model outputs compared to (a) oxygen isotope results and (b) clumped isotope results. The model is in good overall agreement with the data and shows the strong influence of growth rate on the KIEs. Note that the data from Tang et al. (2014) have been converted from Δ_{47} (absolute reference frame) to Δ_{63} using the acid fractionation factor of 0.28% (Tripati et al., 2015).

6. Summary and Future Directions

KIEs are ubiquitous in inorganic and biogenic carbonates. Open-system, reactive-transport models, and box models have been useful for understanding the cause(s) of kinetic δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O effects in corals (Adkins et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2018), foraminifers (Zeebe et al., 1999), speleothems (Guo & Zhou, 2019a; Hansen et al., 2017; Sade et al., 2022), and alkaline travertines (Christensen et al., 2021). Kinetic clumped isotope effects hold additional information about the conditions of carbonate formation, but only recently has there been sufficient information regarding clumped isotope KFFs to extend the models to Δ_{63} , Δ_{64} , and Δ_{65} .

The recent experimental and analytical developments motivated us to update and expand an ion-by-ion model that describes KIEs in the CaCO₃-DIC system (Watkins & Hunt, 2015) to include ¹⁸O-¹⁸O (Δ_{64}) and ¹³C-¹⁸O-¹⁸O (Δ_{65}) clumped isotopes. We similarly expanded an existing model of the DIC-H₂O system (Uchikawa et al., 2021) to include ¹⁸O-¹⁸O and ¹³C-¹⁸O clumped isotopes. The two models are built on a common framework that tracks the abundances of isotopologues and we showed how the two models can be coupled in order to describe KIEs in the full CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system.

We applied the combined model ("COAD") to the inorganic calcite precipitation experiments of Tang et al. (2014). With only one adjustable parameter (the reactive surface area of calcite), the model is successful at explaining

their 1000ln¹⁸ α and Δ_{47} trends versus growth rate and pH. The model reveals that kinetic isotope effects from DIC-H₂O exchange are strongly modulated by crystal growth rate and that including such growth rate effects will be important to future applications.

The COAD model enables one to calculate the δ^{13} C, δ^{18} O, Δ_{63} , Δ_{64} , and Δ_{65} of calcite under nonequilibrium conditions and is readily adaptable to other situations involving CO₂ absorption (e.g., corals, foraminifera, and high-pH travertines) or CO₂ degassing (e.g., speleothems, low-pH travertines, and cryogenic carbonates) and/or mixing with other DIC sources. We anticipate this model framework being useful for guiding future experiments, informing carbonate sample collection strategies, and interpreting paleoclimate records.

Data Availability Statement

Matlab scripts used to produce some of the figures herein are available on GitHub (https://github.com/jwat-kins529/COAD_Box_Model) and Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6131547).

References

- Adkins, J., Boyle, E., Curry, W., & Lutringer, A. (2003). Stable isotopes in deep-sea corals and a new mechanism for "vital effects". *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67(6), 1129–1143. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(02)01203-6
- Affek, H. P. (2013). Clumped isotopic equilibrium and the rate of isotope exchange between CO₂ and water. *American Journal of Science*, 313(4), 309–325. https://doi.org/10.2475/04.2013.02
- Affek, H. P., & Zaarur, S. (2014). Kinetic isotope effect in CO₂ degassing: Insight from clumped and oxygen isotopes in laboratory precipitation experiments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 143, 319–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.08.005
- Bajnai, D., Fiebig, J., Tomašových, A., Garcia, S. M., Rollion-Bard, C., Raddatz, J., et al. (2018). Assessing kinetic fractionation in brachiopod calcite using clumped isotopes. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17353-7
- Bajnai, D., Guo, W., Spötl, C., Coplen, T. B., Methner, K., Löffler, N., et al. (2020). Dual clumped isotope thermometry resolves kinetic biases in carbonate formation temperatures. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17501-0
- Beck, W. C., Grossman, E. L., & Morse, J. W. (2005). Experimental studies of oxygen isotope fractionation in the carbonic acid system at 15°, 25°, and 40°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 69(14), 3493–3503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.02.003
- Bigeleisen, J., & Mayer, M. G. (1947). Calculation of equilibrium constants for isotopic exchange reactions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 15(5), 261–267. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1746492
- Bottinga, Y. (1968). Calculation of fractionation factors for carbon and oxygen isotopic exchange in the system calcite-carbon dioxide-water. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 72(3), 800–808. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100849a008
- Candelier, Y., Minoletti, F., Probert, I., & Hermoso, M. (2013). Temperature dependence of oxygen isotope fractionation in coccolith calcite: A culture and core top calibration of the genus Calcidiscus. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 100, 264–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2012.09.040
- Chen, S., Gagnon, A. C., & Adkins, J. F. (2018). Carbonic anhydrase, coral calcification and a new model of stable isotope vital effects. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 236, 179–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.02.032
- Christensen, J. N., Watkins, J. M., Devriendt, L. S., DePaolo, D. J., Conrad, M. E., Voltolini, M., et al. (2021). Isotopic fractionation accompanying CO₂ hydroxylation and carbonate precipitation from high pH waters at the Cedars, California, USA. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 301, 91–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.01.003
- Clark, I. D., & Fontes, J.-C. (1990). Paleoclimatic reconstruction in northern Oman based on carbonates from hyperalkaline groundwaters. *Quaternary Research*, 33(3), 320–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(90)90059-t
- Clark, I. D., Fontes, J.-C., & Fritz, P. (1992). Stable isotope disequilibria in travertine from high pH waters: Laboratory investigations and field observations from Oman. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 56(5), 2041–2050. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(92)90328-g
- Clog, M., Stolper, D., & Eiler, J. M. (2015). Kinetics of CO_{2(g)}-H₂O_(l) isotopic exchange, including mass 47 isotopologues. *Chemical Geology*, 395, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.11.023
- Coplen, T. B. (2007). Calibration of the calcite–water oxygen-isotope geothermometer at Devils Hole, Nevada, a natural laboratory. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71(16), 3948–3957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.05.028
- Daëron, M., Drysdale, R. N., Peral, M., Huyghe, D., Blamart, D., Coplen, T. B., et al. (2019). Most Earth-surface calcites precipitate out of isotopic equilibrium. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08336-5
- Dennis, K. J., & Schrag, D. P. (2010). Clumped isotope thermometry of carbonatites as an indicator of diagenetic alteration. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 74(14), 4110–4122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.04.005
- DePaolo, D. J. (2011). Surface kinetic model for isotopic and trace element fractionation during precipitation of calcite from aqueous solutions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(4), 1039–1056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2010.11.020
- Devriendt, L. S., McGregor, H. V., & Chivas, A. R. (2017b). Ostracod calcite records the ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio of the bicarbonate and carbonate ions in water. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 214, 30–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.044
- Devriendt, L. S., Watkins, J. M., & McGregor, H. V. (2017a). Oxygen isotope fractionation in the CaCO₃-DIC-H₂O system. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 214, 115–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.06.022
- Dietzel, M., Tang, J., Leis, A., & Köhler, S. J. (2009). Oxygen isotopic fractionation during inorganic calcite precipitation Effects of temperature, precipitation rate and pH. Chemical Geology, 268(1), 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.07.015
- DOE. (1994). Handbook of Methods for the Analysis of the Various Variables of the Carbon Dioxide System in Seawater (Vol. 2). Oak Ridge National Laboratory. ORNL/CDIAC-74.
- Eiler, J. M. (2007). "Clumped-isotope" geochemistry The study of naturally-occurring, multiply-substituted isotopologues. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 262(3), 309–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.08.020
- Eiler, J. M., & Schauble, E. (2004). ¹⁸O¹³C¹⁶O in Earth's atmosphere. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68(23), 4767–4777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.05.035

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to many colleagues for discussions and recent publications that underlie our understanding of the work presented here. In particular, we thank Don DePaolo, Kate Huntington, Casey Saenger, Aradhna Tripati, Jamie Lucarelli, and Zeeshan Parvez for many discussions surrounding Δ_{48} and the box model. We thank Weifu Guo for help on the DIC-H₂O model comparison in Supplement S9 in Supporting Information S1: We thank Ellen Olsen for help in compiling and reviewing the KFFs; We thank Philip Staudigel, an anonymous reviewer, and the AE for guidance toward improving the quality and clarity of the manuscript, J. M. Watkins was supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF-CAREER Grant No. EAR1749183.



- Erez, J. (2003). The source of ions for biomineralization in foraminifera and their implications for paleoceanographic proxies. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 54(1), 115–149. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501509346-010
- Falk, E., Guo, W., Paukert, A., Matter, J., Mervine, E., & Kelemen, P. (2016). Controls on the stable isotope compositions of travertine from hyperalkaline springs in Oman: Insights from clumped isotope measurements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 192, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.026
- Fiebig, J., Bajnai, D., Löffler, N., Methner, K., Krsnik, E., Mulch, A., & Hofmann, S. (2019). Combined high-precision δ₄₈ and δ₄₇ analysis of carbonates. *Chemical Geology*, 522, 186–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2019.05.019
- Fiebig, J., Daëron, M., Bernecker, M., Guo, W., Schneider, G., Boch, R., et al. (2021). Calibration of the dual clumped isotope thermometer for carbonates. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 312, 235–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.07.012
- Gabitov, R. I., Watson, E. B., & Sadekov, A. (2012). Oxygen isotope fractionation between calcite and fluid as a function of growth rate and temperature: An *in situ* study. *Chemical Geology*, 306, 92–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.02.021
- Ghosh, P., Adkins, J., Affek, H., Balta, B., Guo, W., Schauble, E. A., et al. (2006). ¹³C–¹⁸O bonds in carbonate minerals: A new kind of paleothermometer. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70(6), 1439–1456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.11.014
- Guo, W. (2008). Carbonate clumped isotope thermometry: Application to carbonaceous chondrites & effects of kinetic isotope fractionation. California Institute of Technology. https://doi.org/10.7907/BWC2-RH54
- Guo, W. (2020). Kinetic clumped isotope fractionation in the DIC-H₂O-CO₂ system: Patterns, controls, and implications. *Geochimica et Cosmo-chimica Acta*, 268, 230–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.07.055
- Guo, W., Mosenfelder, J. L., Goddard, W. A., III, & Eiler, J. M. (2009). Isotopic fractionations associated with phosphoric acid digestion of carbonate minerals: Insights from first-principles theoretical modeling and clumped isotope measurements. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 73(24), 7203–7225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.05.071
- Guo, W., & Zhou, C. (2019a). Patterns and controls of disequilibrium isotope effects in speleothems: Insights from an isotope-enabled diffusion-reaction model and implications for quantitative thermometry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 267, 196–226. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.07.028
- Guo, W., & Zhou, C. (2019b). Triple oxygen isotope fractionation in the DIC-H₂O-CO₂ system: A numerical framework and its implications. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 246, 541–564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.11.018
- Hansen, M., Scholz, D., Froeschmann, M.-L., Schöne, B. R., & Spötl, C. (2017). Carbon isotope exchange between gaseous CO₂ and thin solution films: Artificial cave experiments and a complete diffusion-reaction model. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 211, 28–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.05.005
- Hill, P. S., Schauble, E. A., & Tripati, A. (2020). Theoretical constraints on the effects of added cations on clumped, oxygen, and carbon isotope signatures of dissolved inorganic carbon species and minerals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 269, 496–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2019.10.016
- Hill, P. S., Tripati, A. K., & Schauble, E. A. (2014). Theoretical constraints on the effects of ph, salinity, and temperature on clumped isotope signatures of dissolved inorganic carbon species and precipitating carbonate minerals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 125, 610–652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.06.018
- Kele, S., Breitenbach, S. F., Capezzuoli, E., Meckler, A. N., Ziegler, M., Millan, I. M., et al. (2015). Temperature dependence of oxygen-and clumped isotope fractionation in carbonates: A study of travertines and tufas in the 6-95°C temperature range. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica* Acta, 168, 172–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.06.032
- Kelson, J. R., Huntington, K. W., Schauer, A. J., Saenger, C., & Lechler, A. R. (2017). Toward a universal carbonate clumped isotope calibration: Diverse synthesis and preparatory methods suggest a single temperature relationship. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 197, 104–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.10.010
- Kim, S.-T., & O'Neil, J. R. (1997). Equilibrium and nonequilibrium oxygen isotope effects in synthetic carbonates. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 61(16), 3461–3475. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7037(97)00169-5
- Kluge, T., Affek, H. P., Dublyansky, Y., & Spötl, C. (2014). Devils Hole paleotemperatures and implications for oxygen isotope equilibrium fractionation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 400, 251–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.047
- Kluge, T., John, C. M., Jourdan, A.-L., Davis, S., & Crawshaw, J. (2015). Laboratory calibration of the calcium carbonate clumped isotope thermometer in the 25-250°C temperature range. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 157, 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.02.028
- Leleu, T., Chavagnac, V., Delacour, A., Noiriel, C., Ceuleneer, G., Aretz, M., et al. (2016). Travertines associated with hyperalkaline springs: Evaluation as a proxy for paleoenvironmental conditions and sequestration of atmospheric CO₂. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 86(11), 1328–1343. https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2016.79
- Levitt, N. P., Eiler, J. M., Romanek, C. S., Beard, B. L., Xu, H., & Johnson, C. M. (2018). Near equilibrium ¹³C-¹⁸O bonding during inorganic calcite precipitation under chemo-stat conditions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 19(3), 901–920. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gc007089
- Marchitto, T., Curry, W., Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Bryan, S., Cobb, K., & Lund, D. (2014). Improved oxygen isotope temperature calibrations for cosmopolitan benthic foraminifera. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 130, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.12.034
- McCrea, J. M. (1950). On the isotopic chemistry of carbonates and a paleotemperature scale. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 18(6), 849–857. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1747785
- Mervine, E. M., Humphris, S. E., Sims, K. W., Kelemen, P. B., & Jenkins, W. J. (2014). Carbonation rates of peridotite in the Samail Ophiolite, Sultanate of Oman, constrained through ¹⁴C dating and stable isotopes. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 126, 371–397. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.11.007
- Millero, F., Huang, F., Graham, T., & Pierrot, D. (2007). The dissociation of carbonic acid in NaCl solutions as a function of concentration and temperature. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71(1), 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.08.041
- O'Neil, J. R., & Barnes, I. (1971). C¹³ and O¹⁸ compositions in some fresh-water carbonates associated with ultramafic rocks and serpentinites: Western United States. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 35(7), 687–697. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(71)90067-6
- O'Neil, J. R., Clayton, R. N., & Mayeda, T. K. (1969). Oxygen isotope fractionation in divalent metal carbonates. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 51(12), 5547–5558. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1671982
- Parker, W. G., Yanes, Y., Surge, D., & Mesa-Hernández, E. (2017). Calibration of the oxygen isotope ratios of the gastropods Patella candei crenata and Phorcus atratus as high-resolution paleothermometers from the subtropical eastern Atlantic Ocean. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 487, 251–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.09.006
- Sade, Z., Hegyi, S., Hansen, M., Scholz, D., & Halevy, I. (2022). The effects of drip rate and geometry on the isotopic composition of speleothems: Evaluation with an advection-diffusion-reaction model. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 317, 409–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2021.10.008
- Saenger, C. P., Schauer, A. J., Heitmann, E. O., Huntington, K. W., & Steig, E. J. (2021). How ¹⁷O excess in clumped isotope reference-frame materials and ETH standards affects reconstructed temperature. *Chemical Geology*, 563, 120059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120059

Staudigel, P. T., & Swart, P. K. (2018). A kinetic difference between ¹²C-and ¹³C-bound oxygen exchange rates results in decoupled δ¹⁸O and Δ₄₇ values of equilibrating DIC solutions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19*(8), 2371–2383. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007500
Swart, P. K., Lu, C., Moore, E. W., Smith, M. E., Murray, S. T., & Staudigel, P. T. (2021). A calibration equation between δ₄₈ values of carbonate and temperature. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 35(17), e9147. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.9147

- Tang, J., Dietzel, M., Fernandez, A., Tripati, A. K., & Rosenheim, B. E. (2014). Evaluation of kinetic effects on clumped isotope fractionation $(\Delta_{i\gamma})$ during inorganic calcite precipitation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 134, 120–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.005
- Thiagarajan, N., Adkins, J., & Eiler, J. (2011). Carbonate clumped isotope thermometry of deep-sea corals and implications for vital effects. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(16), 4416–4425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.05.004
- Tripati, A. K., Hill, P. S., Eagle, R. A., Mosenfelder, J. L., Tang, J., Schaubel, E. A., et al. (2015). Beyond temperature: Clumped isotope signatures in dissolved inorganic carbon species and the influence of solution chemistry on carbonate mineral composition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 166, 344–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.06.021
- Uchikawa, J., Chen, S., Eiler, J. M., Adkins, J. F., & Zeebe, R. E. (2021). Trajectory and timescale of oxygen and clumped isotope equilibration in the dissolved carbonate system under normal and enzymatically-catalyzed conditions at 25°C. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 314, 313–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.08.014
- Uchikawa, J., & Zeebe, R. E. (2012). The effect of carbonic anhydrase on the kinetics and equilibrium of the oxygen isotope exchange in the CO₂-H₂O system: Implications for δ¹⁸O vital effects in biogenic carbonates. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 95, 15–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.022
- Urey, H. C. (1947). The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances. Journal of the Chemical Society, 562–581. https://doi.org/10.1039/jr9470000562
- Usdowski, E., Michaelis, J., Bottcher, M., & Hoefs, J. (1991). Factors for the oxygen isotope equilibrium fractionation between aqueous and gaseous CO₂, carbonic-acid, bicarbonate, carbonate, and water (19°C). Zeitschrift fur Physikalische Chemie-International Journal of Research in Physical Chemistry and Chemical Physics, 170, 237–249.
- Wang, Z., Gaetani, G., Liu, C., & Cohen, A. (2013). Oxygen isotope fractionation between aragonite and seawater: Developing a novel kinetic oxygen isotope fractionation model. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 117, 232–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.04.025
- Wang, Z., Schauble, E. A., & Eiler, J. M. (2004). Equilibrium thermodynamics of multiply substituted isotopologues of molecular gases. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 68(23), 4779–4797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.05.039
- Watkins, J. M., & Hunt, J. D. (2015). A process-based model for non-equilibrium clumped isotope effects in carbonates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 432, 152–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.09.042
- Watkins, J. M., Hunt, J. D., Ryerson, F. J., & DePaolo, D. J. (2014). The influence of temperature, pH, and growth rate on the δ¹⁸O composition of inorganically precipitated calcite. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 404, 332–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.07.036
- Watkins, J. M., Nielsen, L. C., Ryerson, F. J., & DePaolo, D. J. (2013). The influence of kinetics on the oxygen isotope composition of calcium carbonate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 375, 349–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.05.054
- Wolthers, M., Nehrke, G., Gustafsson, J. P., & Van Cappellen, P. (2012). Calcite growth kinetics: Modeling the effect of solution stoichiometry. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 77, 121–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.11.003
- Yumol, L. M., Uchikawa, J., & Zeebe, R. E. (2020). Kinetic isotope effects during CO₂ hydration: Experimental results for carbon and oxygen fractionation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 279, 189–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.03.041
- Zaarur, S., Affek, H. P., & Brandon, M. T. (2013). A revised calibration of the clumped isotope thermometer. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 382, 47–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.07.026
- Zeebe, R. E. (2020). Oxygen isotope fractionation between water and the aqueous hydroxide ion. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 289, 182–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.08.025
- Zeebe, R. E., Bijma, J., & Wolf-Gladrow, D. A. (1999). A diffusion-reaction model of carbon isotope fractionation in foraminifera. *Marine Chemistry*, 64(3), 199–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4203(98)00075-9
- Zeebe, R. E., & Wolf-Gladrow, D. A. (2001). CO2 in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes (Vol. 65). Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Zhang, J., Quay, P., & Wilbur, D. (1995). Carbon isotope fractionation during gas-water exchange and dissolution of CO₂. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 59(1), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)91550-d