

# CONSTRUCTING FULLY SYMMETRIC CUBATURE FORMULAE FOR THE SPHERE

SANGWOO HEO AND YUAN XU

July 1, 1997; revised, Jan. 25, revised, July 6, 1998

ABSTRACT. We construct symmetric cubature formulae for the surface measure on the unit sphere, making use of a new correspondence between cubature formulae on the sphere and on the triangle, which states, as a special case, that fully symmetric cubature formulae for the surface measure on the unit sphere correspond to symmetric cubature formulae for the weight function  $(u_1 u_2 u_3)^{-1/2}$ , where  $u_3 = 1 - u_1 - u_2$ , on the triangle.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we construct symmetric cubature formulae on the surface of the sphere  $S^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by using a correspondence between cubature formulae on the sphere and on the simplex established in [22]. Throughout this paper we denote by  $\Pi_n^d$  the space of polynomials of degree at most  $n$  in  $d$  variables ( $d = 2$  or  $3$ ), and we denote by  $T$  the triangle with vertices at  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ . Let  $W$  be a weight function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , normalized so that  $\int_{S^2} W(y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2) d\omega = 1$ . Associated with  $W$ , we define a weight function  $W_\Sigma$  on the triangle  $T$  by

$$(1.1) \quad W_\Sigma(u_1, u_2) = 2W(u_1, u_2, 1 - u_1 - u_2) / \sqrt{u_1 u_2 (1 - u_1 - u_2)}, \quad (u_1, u_2) \in T.$$

Then the correspondence between cubature formulae on  $S^2$  and on  $T$  states that

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $W$  and  $W_\Sigma$  be defined as above. Suppose that there is a cubature formula of degree  $M$  on  $T$  given by*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_T f(u_1, u_2) W_\Sigma(u_1, u_2) du_1 du_2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k f(u_{k,1}, u_{k,2}), \quad f \in \Pi_M^2,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65D32, 41A55, 41A63.

*Key words and phrases.* Cubature formulae, on the unit sphere, on the triangle, symmetric formula on a triangle, octahedral symmetry.

Supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-9500532.

whose  $N$  nodes lie on the triangle  $T$ . Then there is a cubature formula of degree  $2M + 1$  on the unit sphere  $S^2$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{S^2} g(y_1, y_2, y_3) W(y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2) d\omega \\ = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} g(\varepsilon_1 v_{k,1}, \varepsilon_2 v_{k,2}, \varepsilon_3 v_{k,3}) / 2^{a_k}, \quad g \in \Pi_{2M+1}^3,$$

where  $a_k$  is the number of nonzero elements among  $v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}$  and  $v_{k,3}$ , and the nodes  $(v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, v_{k,3}) \in S^2$  are defined in terms of  $(u_{k,1}, u_{k,2})$  by

$$(1.4) \quad (v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, v_{k,3}) = (\sqrt{u_{k,1}}, \sqrt{u_{k,2}}, \sqrt{1 - u_{k,1} - u_{k,2}}).$$

On the other hand, if there exists a cubature formula of degree  $2M + 1$  on  $S^2$  in the form of (1.3), then there is a cubature formula of degree  $M$  on the simplex  $T$  in the form of (1.2) whose nodes  $(u_{k,1}, u_{k,2}) \in T$  are defined by  $(u_{k,1}, u_{k,2}) = (v_{k,1}^2, v_{k,2}^2)$ .

The formula (1.3) is invariant under the change of signs, or invariant under the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The theorem establishes the equivalence between (1.2) and (1.3). In [22] this theorem is proved more generally for formulae on the sphere  $S^d$  and the simplex  $\Sigma^d$  for all  $d$ . For  $d = 2$  we have used the more customary notation  $T$  for the simplex  $\Sigma^2$ . When  $W(\mathbf{y}) = 1/4\pi$  is the reciprocal of the surface area of  $S^2$ , the corresponding weight function on  $T$  is the multiple of the weight function  $(u_1 u_2 u_3)^{-1/2}$ , which we will denote by  $W_0$ ; that is,

$$(1.5) \quad W_0(u_1, u_2) = (u_1 u_2 (1 - u_1 - u_2))^{-1/2} / 2\pi, \quad (u_1, u_2) \in T.$$

In the following section we adopt a method by Lyness and Jespersen in [14] to construct symmetric cubature formulae on  $T$ , which are formulae that are invariant under the symmetric group of the triangle, and use Theorem 1.1 to generate cubature formulae on the sphere. When the formula (1.2) on  $T$  is symmetric, the corresponding formula (1.3) in Theorem 1.1 is invariant under the octahedral group, which is the symmetric group of the unit cube  $\{\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In this case, the formula (1.3) is of the form

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{S^2} g(y_1, y_2, y_3) W(y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2) d\omega \\ = \sum_{k=1}^N \mu_k \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} g(\varepsilon_1 v_{k,\sigma_1}, \varepsilon_2 v_{k,\sigma_2}, \varepsilon_3 v_{k,\sigma_3}), \quad g \in \Pi_{2M+1}^3,$$

where the second sum is taken over all permutations of  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ , and  $\mu_k = \lambda_k / (b_k \cdot 2^{a_k})$  with  $b_k = \sum_{\sigma} 1$  for the corresponding point. Formulae of this type have been constructed by Lebedev [9 – 12]. It is called *fully symmetric* in [19, 6] and has been studied for  $S^d$  in [7], which contains another correspondence between fully symmetric

formulae on  $S^d$  and cubature formulae on  $\Sigma^d$ , namely, a correspondence between the consistent rule structure on these two regions.

Numerical integration on the sphere has attracted a lot of attentions, we refer to [1, 2, 4, 6, 9–12, 16–19] and the references there. Most formulae have been constructed by making use of symmetry to reduce the number of moment equations that have to be solved (see, for example, Sobolev [18] and MacLaren [17]). The fundamental result of Sobolev states that a cubature formula invariant under a finite group is exact for all polynomials in a subspace  $\mathcal{P}$  if, and only if, it is exact for all polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}$  that are invariant under the same group. The group that has been under intense study is the octahedral group; Lebedev constructed in [9–12] cubature formulae of degree up to 59, many of them have the smallest number of nodes among all formulae that are known. Working with symmetric cubature formulae on  $T$ , we are able to find many formulae on  $S^2$  that Lebedev did not consider (see the following section). There are also formulae that are invariant under the icosahedral group, which have, however, no correspondence on the triangle, since they are not symmetric under  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  in the first place. We refer to [4, 17, 19] and the references there for other papers that deal with cubature formulae on the sphere, see also [1] in which formulae are constructed making use of symmetry and a Taylor expansion formula.

## 2. SYMMETRIC FORMULAE ON $T$ AND FULLY SYMMETRIC FORMULAE ON $S^2$

In this section, we consider symmetric formulae with respect to the weight function  $W_0$  on  $T$ , which correspond to cubature formulae with octahedral symmetry on  $S^2$ . In the first part of the section we present a method of constructing symmetric formulae given by Lyness and Jespersen in [14]. Our findings of cubature formulae are discussed in the Subsection 2.2, and we discuss the numerical computation in Subsection 2.3.

**2.1. Symmetric formulae on the triangle.** Instead of  $T$ , Lyness and Jespersen used the equilateral triangle

$$\Delta = \{(x, y) : x \leq 1/2, \quad \sqrt{3}y - x \leq 1, \quad -\sqrt{3}y - x \leq 1\},$$

whose symmetric group  $\mathcal{S}_3(\Delta)$  is generated by a rotation through an angle  $2\pi/3$  and a reflection about the  $x$ -axis. The triangle  $T$  can be transformed into  $\Delta$  by the affine transformation

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi : (x_1, y_1) \in T \mapsto (x, y) \in \Delta, \quad x = 3(x_1 + x_2)/2 - 1, \quad y = \sqrt{3}(x_2 - x_1)/2.$$

It is easy to see that invariance is preserved under  $\varphi$ ; in particular, if a function  $f$  defined on  $\Delta$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{S}_3(\Delta)$ , then the function  $f \circ \varphi$  defined on  $T$  is invariant under  $\mathcal{S}_3(T)$ . The weight function  $W_0$  on  $T$  becomes

$$W_{\Delta}^0(x, y) = 3^{-3/2}((1+x)^2 - 3y^2)^{-1/2}(1-2x)^{-1/2}/(2\pi).$$

A basis for the class of  $\mathcal{S}_3(\Delta)$ -invariant polynomials of degree at most  $n$ , denoted by  $\Pi_n^G$ , can be written down in terms of the polar coordinates  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$  as follows,

$$(2.2) \quad r^{2i}(r^3 \cos 3\theta)^j, \quad 0 \leq 2i + 3j \leq n.$$

Moreover, working with functions  $g(r, \theta) = f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$  in polar coordinates, a basic invariant cubature formula takes the form

$$Q(r, \theta)g = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\{ g\left(r, \theta + \frac{2\pi j}{3}\right) + g\left(r, -\theta + \frac{2\pi j}{3}\right) \right\},$$

which is just a sum over the  $\mathcal{S}_3(\Delta)$ -orbit of the point  $(r, \theta)$ . Because of the invariance of  $Q(r, \theta)$ , we assume that  $r$  can take negative value and  $0 \leq \theta < \pi/3$ . Three distinct types of orbits occur according to  $r = 0$  (center of triangle);  $r \neq 0, \cos 3\theta = 1$  (median of triangle); and  $r \neq 0, \cos 3\theta \neq 1$ . These three types are denoted as **type 0**, **type 1** and **type 2**, whose corresponding  $Q(r, \theta)g$  requires 1, 3, or 6 function evaluations, respectively. Let  $n_i$  denote the number of orbits of type  $i$  in a symmetric cubature formula. The standard (*holistic*) type cubature formula takes the form

$$(2.3) \quad Q(g) = n_0 \lambda_0 g(0, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i Q(r_i, 0)g + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \lambda_i Q(r_i, \theta_i)g.$$

The number of nodes of this formula, denoted by  $\mu(Q)$ , is  $\mu(Q) = n_0 + 3n_1 + 6n_2$ . It is shown in [14] that the cubature formula  $Q(g)$  is of degree  $M$  if its nodes and weights satisfy the following system of equations:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \lambda_i = v_{0,0}, \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \lambda_i r_i^j + \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \lambda_i r_i^j \cos 3k\theta_i = v_{j,3k}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq M, \quad k = k_0, \\ & \sum_{2i=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \lambda_i r_i^j (\cos 3k_0\theta_i - \cos 3k\theta_i) = v_{j,3k}, \quad j = 6, \text{ or } 8 \leq j \leq M, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad 6 \leq 3k \leq j, \quad j + k \text{ even}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k_0 = 0$  if  $j$  is even and  $k_0 = 1$  if  $j$  is odd, and the numbers  $v_{j,3k}$  are defined by

$$(2.5) \quad v_{j,3k} = \int_{\Delta} r^{j+1} \cos 3k\theta W_{\Delta}^0(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) dr d\theta,$$

where the integral is over the region defined by  $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in \Delta$ . For each  $M$ , the system contains

$$E(M) = [(M^2 + 6M + 12)/12]$$

equations, where  $[x]$  denote the smallest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .

It is often useful to construct cubature formulae that have some nodes on the edges or at the vertices of the triangle. To describe such a formula, we use a sub-classification of the types of basic formula  $Q(r, \theta)$ . The type 1 ( $r \neq 0, \cos 3\theta = 1$ ) is split into three

sub-types according to  $r = -1$  (vertex),  $r = 1/2$  (mid point of edge), and  $-1 < r < 1/2$ ; the type 2 ( $r \neq 0, \cos 3\theta \neq 0$ ) is split into two sub-types according to  $r \cos \theta = 1/2$  (on an edge but not at mid point of the edge nor at a vertex), and  $r \cos \theta \neq 1/2$ . Accordingly,  $n_1$ , the number of orbits of type 1, is split as  $n_1 = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ , and  $n_2$ , the number of orbits of type 2, is split as  $n_2 = m_4 + m_5$ . Such a formula is called the *cytolic* type. A cytolic formula is identified by  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$ . We note that  $n_0, m_1$  and  $m_2$  can only be either 0 or 1.

A cytolic cubature formula is preferable for obtaining, via Theorem 1.1, a cubature formula on the sphere with fewer nodes. It is not hard to see that a cytolic formula  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$  leads to a cubature formula on  $S^2$  whose number of nodes is equal to

$$(2.6) \quad N(S^2) = 8n_0 + 6m_1 + 12m_2 + 24m_3 + 24m_4 + 48m_5.$$

A formula that has nodes on the vertices and edges of  $T$  uses more nodes than a formula that has all nodes in the interior, but it leads to a formula on  $S^2$  with fewer nodes.

The nonlinear system of equations (2.4) remains in the same form for the cytolic type formulae, we only need to assign proper values of certain  $r_i$  and  $\theta_i$  according to the given type. To form the nonlinear system equations (2.4), we choose  $n_0$  and  $m_i$  so that the number of parameters matches with the number of equations. For the type  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$ , this means

$$(2.7) \quad n_0 + m_1 + m_2 + 2m_3 + 2m_4 + 3m_5 = [(M^2 + 6M + 12)/12],$$

where  $M$ , as before, is the degree of the cubature formula. For each fixed  $M$  there may be a number of integer solutions to the above equation, leading to different types of cubature formulae. In this regard, the *consistency conditions* are very useful. Following the argument in [14] for the holistic type, the conditions for the cytolic type are

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 2m_4 + 3m_5 &\geq E(M - 6), \\ m_1 + m_2 + 2(m_3 + m_4) + 3m_5 &\geq E(M) - 1, \\ n_0 + m_1 + m_2 + 2(m_3 + m_4) + 3m_5 &\geq E(M). \end{aligned}$$

They are also included in the conditions found in [6] for  $d$ -dimensional simplex. These conditions ensure that there are enough unknown parameters to match part (properly defined) or all of nonlinear equations. Another useful restriction is as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *A formula of degree  $M$  is of type  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$  only if*

$$(2.9) \quad m_5 > \begin{cases} (M - 9)/4, & \text{if } m_4 \neq 0 \text{ and } M \geq 9, \\ (M - 6)/4, & \text{if } m_4 = 0 \text{ and } M \geq 6, \\ (M - 3)/4, & \text{if } m_3 = 0 \text{ and } M \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\ell_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , be the linear polynomials such that  $\ell_i = 0$  give the equations of the sides, and we choose the sign so that  $\ell_i$  are nonnegative on  $\Delta$ . Let  $h_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ ,

be the linear polynomials such that  $h_i = 0$  give the equations of the medians of  $\Delta$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathbf{x}_i = (r_i \cos \theta_i, r_i \sin \theta_i)$  be points of type 3, and let  $g_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m_5$ , be the quadratic polynomials so that  $g_i = 0$  gives the equation of the circle that has center at origin and radius  $r_i$ . If  $m_4 \neq 0$ , then the polynomial

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2 g_1^2 \dots g_{m_5}^2$$

will vanish on all nodes of the formula. Since the polynomial is positive on  $\Delta$ , its degree has to be bigger than the degree of the cubature formula, which leads to the desired inequality. If  $m_4 = 0$ , then the factors  $\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3$  can be dropped from the polynomial, leading to the desired inequality in this case. If  $m_3 = 0$ , then  $h_1^2 h_2^2 h_3^2$  can be dropped from the polynomial.  $\square$

This theorem and its proof are extensions of the result in [14, p. 26], which deals with the cases of  $M = 5, 6, 9$ . There are other conditions that can be derived this way; for example, if both  $m_3$  and  $m_4$  are zero, then  $m_5 > M/4$ . For fixed  $M$ , it is possible to identify all possible integer solutions of (2.7) which also satisfy the restriction (2.8) and (2.9); the number of the solutions, however, is still large even for moderate  $M$ . For more general conditions of this type, we refer to [6] and [15].

Some particular choices of the types lead to a system (2.4) that is split into subsystems with independent variables; the smaller size of the subsystem makes them easier to solve. Such a split is possible since the third group of the equations in (2.4) does not contain  $r_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ ; and it occurs whenever  $m_4$  and  $m_5$  satisfy the equation

$$(2.10) \quad 2m_4 + 3m_5 = E(M - 6) = [(M^2 - 6M + 12)/12],$$

because the third group of equations contain  $E(M - 6)$  independent parameters. It is not hard to check that the integer solutions of the above equation exist for every  $M \geq 7$ , except  $M = 10$ ; hence, the splitting occurs for each  $M \neq 10$ . One important class of formulae that admits the splitting corresponds to the cubature formulae constructed by Lebedev in [9–12] on  $S^2$  with octahedral symmetry. Apart from a few lower degree cases, Lebedev consider the formulae on  $S^2$  that correspond to the types

$$(2.11) \quad [1; 1, 0, 3m; m, m(m - 1)] \quad \text{and} \quad [1; 1, 1, 3m + 1; m, m^2],$$

which are of degree  $6m + 2$  and  $6m + 5$ , respectively; and he has constructed formulae for  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**2.2. Fully symmetric cubature formulae on  $S^2$ .** We have attempted to find symmetric cubature formulae of degree up to 20 on the triangle. Our strategy for choosing the type  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$  is as follows. We search for types whose corresponding formulae on  $S^2$  have fewer nodes. This means finding  $n_0$  and  $m_i$ , which satisfy (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), so that  $N$  in (2.6) is minimal or close to minimal. To this end, we choose  $n_0, m_1$  and  $m_2$  with value one whenever possible, and then  $m_5$  as small as possible. As a starting point, we choose  $m_4$  and  $m_5$  satisfy (2.10) so that the system (2.4) is split into subsystems. There are some nonlinear systems for which we found no solution. For

each  $M \leq 20$ , however, we found at least one type of cubature formula that has all nodes inside  $\Delta$  and have all positive weights, they correspond to cubature formulae on  $S^2$  of degree up to 41. Some of the formulae, however, have nodes outside  $\Delta$  and they will not lead to cubature formulae on  $S^2$  via Theorem 1.1.

We report our findings as fully symmetric cubature formulae on  $S^2$  and list the results in Table 2.1 below. Each formula is identified by its  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$  type and we give its number of nodes  $N$ . If a formula has all positive weight, we write  $P$  in the last column, otherwise, we write  $N$ .

**Table 2.1. Fully symmetric cubature formula on  $S^2$** 

Degrees	Type	# of Nodes	Quality
3	0;1,0,0;0,0	6	P [S]
	1;0,0,0;0,0	8	P [S]
5	1;1,0,0;0,0	14	P [S]
7	1;1,1,0;0,0	26	P [S]
	1;0,0,1;0,0	32	N [S]
9	1;1,0,0;1,0	38	P [L]
11	1;1,1,1;0,0	50	P [S]
13	1;1,1,1;1,0	74	N [L]
	0;1,0,2;1,0	78	P
15	1;1,0,2;1,0	86	P [L]
	0;1,1,2;1,0	90	P
17	1;1,0,3;1,0	110	P [L]
	1;1,0,2;2,0	110	N
19	1;1,1,3;0,1	146	P [L]
	1;1,1,2;1,1	146	P
	1;0,0,4;0,1	152	P
21	1;1,1,3;1,1	170	N
	1;1,1,2;2,1	170	N
	1;0,0,3;2,1	176	N
	0;0,0,3;1,2	192	P (2)
	1;0,0,2;0,3	200	P
23	1;1,1,4;1,1	194	P [L]
	0;1,0,4;2,1	198	P
	1;0,0,5;1,1	200	P
	1;0,0,4;2,1	200	N
25	1;1,0,5;2,1	230	N [L]
	1;0,0,5;1,2	248	P
	0;0,0,5;0,3	264	P
27	1;1,1,5;1,2	266	N [L]
	1;0,0,6;1,2	272	N
	1;1,0,5;0,3	278	N
	0;0,0,5;1,3	288	P
29	1;1,0,6;2,2	302	P [L]
	0;0,0,6;0,4	336	P
31	1;0,0,4;3,4	368	P
33	1;0,0,6;1,5	416	P
35	1;1,1,7;2,4	434	P [L]
	1;0,0,8;2,4	440	P
37	1;0,0,5;1,8	536	P
39	0;0,0,4;1,10	600	P (2)
41	1;1,0,9;3,6	590	P [L]

The types marked by [S] correspond to formulae in Stroud's book [19], types marked by [L] correspond to formulae on  $S^2$  found by Lebedev. The types  $[0;1,0,2;1,0]$  of degree 13 and  $[1;1,0,2;2,0]$  of degree 17 have been constructed by Keast in [6], but the numerical values of the nodes and weights are not given there. All other formulae in the table are new; in particular, these include formulae of degrees 21, 31, 33, 37 and 39, where no formulae of the same degree are known previously, and formulae of degrees 25 and 27 with all positive weights, where only formulae with negative nodes are known. We note that all formulae found by Lebedev have smaller number of nodes on  $S^2$ , although their corresponding formulae on  $T$  are not. Lebedev also found one formula for each of degree 47, 53 and 59 (the degree 41, 47, 53 are found in [12] joint with Skorokhodov). Because the system of equations (2.4) is nonlinear, its solution may not be unique. Indeed, in the cases of  $[0;0,0,3;1,2]$  of degree 21 and  $[0;0,0,4;1,10]$  of degree 39, we found two solutions in each case and we mark these cases by (2) in the table.

For each formula that is not marked by [S] or [L], we give the numerical values of the weights and nodes in the Appendix.

Numerical computation that leads to symmetric cubature formulae for the unit weight function is carried out in [14] for  $M \leq 11$  and in [3] for  $M \leq 20$ . The equations (2.4) in the cases of the unit weight function and the weight function  $W_0$  are of the same form, except that the moments are different, which only change the right hand side of the equations. Since the equations are nonlinear, formulae of the same type may possess different quality for different weight functions. For example, for the type  $[1;0,0,6;1,2]$  of degree 13, we found a formula for  $W_0$  with some negative weights, while the formula for the unit weight function has all positive weights. The most interesting case, however, is perhaps the type  $[1;0,0,8;1,7]$  of degree 19, which we found no solution for the weight function  $W_0$ , but a solution is found for the unit weight function in [3]. This shows that the nonlinear system (2.4) is sensitive to the change of weight functions.

**2.3. Remarks on numerical computation.** The numerical computation was carried out on a DEC Alphastation 500 in double precision, using the DUNLSF Fortran subroutine in the IMSL Math/Library (Visual Numerics, Inc., 1994); however, moments  $v_{j,3k}$  in (2.5) were computed exactly using Maple. The subroutine DUNLSF employs iterative techniques which require an initial estimate of the solution. For solving the nonlinear system (2.4), this means that we need to provide initial values for the weights  $\lambda_i$  and for the parameters  $r_i$  and  $\theta_i$  that determine nodes. To determine the initial values, we have followed the strategy in [3] for solving the systems for the unit weight function. The node locations of the formulae for the weight function  $W_0$  appear to be similar to those for the unit weight function: nodes are located closer to the edge of the triangle than the centroid, and are located closer to the median  $\theta = \pi/3$  than  $\theta = 0$ . Our computation shows that whenever a formula of a given type has a solution, then even a rough initial estimate leads to the solution in reasonable computing time. For example, finding a formula of degree 19 needs less than 30 minutes.

For each formula of degree  $M$ , we compute the relative error and the absolute error of  $\mathcal{I}(f) - \mathcal{I}_n(f)$  for all invariant polynomials  $f$  of degree  $\leq M$ , where  $\mathcal{I}(f)$  stands for the integral of  $f$  with respect to  $W_0$  on the triangle and  $\mathcal{I}_n(f)$  stands for the cubature

formula. The result shows that

$$\sup\{|\mathcal{I}(f) - \mathcal{I}_M(f)|/\mathcal{I}(f) : f \in \Pi_M^G\} \leq 0.5 \times 10^{-13}$$

for formulae of degree up to 19 and  $\leq 0.5 \times 10^{-12}$  for degree 20. The numerical values of the parameters are given to 12 digits. The DUNLSF subroutine solves the nonlinear equations in the least square sense; that is, it finds the minimal solution of  $\sum f_i^2(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $f_i = 0$  are nonlinear equations. In our computation, equations in (2.4) involve high powers of polynomials which are sensible to perturbations; for example, for  $M = 20$ , a perturbation in the 5-th decimal place of our solution did not change the order of the relative error  $10^{-12}$ . For  $M$  large, the accuracy of the solution found by DUNLSF subroutine is limited by the machine accuracy. Because the computer we used has limited precision of 15 digits, we stopped at  $M = 21$ .

### 3. FINAL COMMENTS

We comment on some perspectives that are not covered in the present paper.

*Remark 3.1.* Theorem 1.1 establishes the connection between cubature formulae on  $\Sigma^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric cubature formulae on  $S^d$ . In [21] we also establish a connection between cubature formulae on the ball  $B^d$  and on  $S^d$ , and the connection has been used to construct cubature formulae on  $S^2$  in [5]. Together, these results yield a correspondence between cubature formulae on  $\Sigma^d$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric formulae on  $B^d$ . In particular, a cubature formula for the weight function  $W_0$  on  $T$  corresponds to a formula for the weight function  $1/\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2}$  on  $B^2$ . Thus, the results in Sections 2 and 3 also lead to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric formulae on  $B^2$ . On the other hand, those formulae constructed in [5] that are  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric lead to formulae on  $T$ . However, not all formulae on  $B^2$  in [5] are fully symmetric. In fact, the correspondence between formulae on  $B^d$  and on  $S^d$  is not restricted to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric formulae.

*Remark 3.2.* The connection between cubature formulae on  $S^d$ ,  $\Sigma^d$  and  $B^d$  works for a large class of weight functions. In particular, cubature formulae for the unit weight function on  $T$  corresponds to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric formula for  $|x_1x_2x_3|d\omega$  on  $S^2$  and for weight function  $|x_1x_2|$  on  $B^2$ ; and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetric cubature formulae for the unit weight function on  $B^2$  correspond to formula for  $(1/\sqrt{x_1x_2})$  on  $T$ . For examples of formulae for the unit weight function on these domains, see the references in [17, 19].

*Remark 3.3.* The connection between formulae on the three domains works also in higher dimension. Although a number of formulae of lower degrees have been constructed for the unit weight function in the literature (see [2, 4, 17, 19]), it may be of interests to construct formulae for the weight function  $(u_1 \cdots u_d(1-u_1-\dots-u_d))^{-1/2}$  on  $\Sigma^d$  and use them to generate cubature formulae on  $S^d$ . To our knowledge, the calculation of symmetric cubature formulae for this weight function on  $\Sigma^d$  for  $d > 2$  has not been taken previously, although the consistency conditions have been studied in [6] and [15]. For the unit weight function, some symmetric formulae of lower degrees on  $\Sigma^d$  have been constructed, see [2, 6, 17, 19] and the references there.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors thank the referees for their useful comments.

APPENDIX

We give the weights and nodes for the cubature formulae described in Section 2. The cubature formulae on  $S^2$  are of the form (1.6) with  $W(\mathbf{x}) = 1/4\pi$ . Because of the symmetry, for each weight  $\mu_k$  we need to give only one node  $(v_{k,1}, v_{k,2}, v_{k,3})$ . For a formula of type  $[n_0; m_1, m_2, m_3; m_4, m_5]$ , the nodes corresponding to  $n_0$ ,  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are

$$(\sqrt{1/3}, \sqrt{1/3}, \sqrt{1/3}), \quad (1, 0, 0), \quad (\sqrt{1/2}, \sqrt{1/2}, 0),$$

respectively; the weights corresponding to them are  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . Note that some or all of  $\mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2$  could be zero, which means that the corresponding node does not show up in the formula.

For each formula we give the value of nonzero  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , first, those that are not given are understood as zero. We then give the table for the other nodes  $(v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3})$  and the corresponding weights  $\mu_i$  start with  $i = 3$  and follow the order of  $m_3$ ,  $m_4$  and  $m_5$ ; that is, the type  $m_3$  nodes are listed first, then the  $m_4$  type and followed by the  $m_5$  type.

**Degree 13:**  $[0;1,0,2;1,0]$ ;  $N = 78$

$$\mu_1 = 0.013866592105$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.286640146767	0.914152532416	0.286640146767	0.013050931863
4	0.659905001656	0.659905001656	0.359236381200	0.013206423223
5	0.539490098706	0.841991943785	0.0	0.011942663555

**Degree 15:**  $[0;1,1,2;1,0]$ ;  $N = 90$

$$\mu_1 = 0.013191522874, \quad \mu_2 = 0.011024070845$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.337785899794	0.878522265967	0.337785899794	0.010538971114
4	0.658511676782	0.658511676782	0.364314072036	0.011656960715
5	0.399194381765	0.916866318264	0.0	0.010660818696

**Degree 17:**  $[1;1,0,2;2,0]$ ;  $N = 110$

$$\mu_0 = 0.009103396603, \quad \mu_1 = -0.002664002664$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.357406744337	0.862856209461	0.357406744337	0.010777836655
4	0.678598344546	0.678598344546	0.281084637715	0.009161945784
5	0.542521185161	0.840042120165	0.0	0.009798544912
6	0.222866509741	0.974848972321	0.0	0.009559874447

**Degree 19-1:**  $[1;1,1,2;1,1]$ ;  $N = 146$

$$\mu_0 = 0.008559575701, \quad \mu_1 = 0.006231186664, \quad \mu_2 = 0.007913582691$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.201742306653	0.958436269875	0.201742306653	0.007736373931
4	0.675586904541	0.675586904541	0.295236631918	0.004644831902
5	0.443668207806	0.896191118781	0.0	0.007625284540
6	0.496188289109	0.814892033188	0.299579965948	0.006646198191

**Degree 19-2:**  $[1;0,0,4;0,1]$ ;  $N = 152$

$$\mu_0 = 0.006159164865$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.154480689145	0.975843959536	0.154480689145	0.007661426126
4	0.414167295917	0.810512740174	0.414167295917	0.006632044977
5	0.667293171280	0.667293171280	0.330816636714	0.006075982031
6	0.703446477338	0.703446477338	0.101617454410	0.005261983872
7	0.449332832327	0.882270011260	0.140355381171	0.006991087353

**Degree 21-1:**  $[1;1,1,3;1,1]$ ;  $N = 170$

$$\mu_0 = -0.056995598467, \quad \mu_1 = 0.005570590570, \quad \mu_2 = 0.004620905358$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.186798108665	0.964475470501	0.186798108665	0.006173897540
4	0.366886721514	0.854861548529	0.366886721514	0.006304034638
5	0.607095656232	0.607095656232	0.512708229276	0.025447255860
6	0.399651971962	0.916666952228	0.0	0.006599388582
7	0.573253885705	0.795085657737	0.198037318162	0.006218761274

**Degree 21-2:**  $[1;1,1,2;2,1]$ ;  $N = 170$

$$\mu_0 = -0.007545260195, \quad \mu_1 = -0.004709932317, \quad \mu_3 = 0.006599231780$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.295937832153	0.908207905163	0.295937832153	0.007200919394
4	0.519472253003	0.678452029786	0.519472253003	0.008304183973
5	0.446007176001	0.895029384409	0.0	0.006872624447
6	0.165319162227	0.986240120154	0.0	0.006895630527
7	0.566806527713	0.782784716286	0.256862702801	0.006393131123

**Degree 21-3:**  $[1;0,0,3;2,1]$ ;  $N = 176$

$$\mu_0 = -0.059097949898$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.136045412794	0.981317120668	0.136045412794	0.005922907575
4	0.321321668532	0.890788847406	0.321321668532	0.006504946198
5	0.547239633521	0.633291060261	0.547239633521	0.025578972384
6	0.645751079582	0.763547996670	0.0	0.003940466271
7	0.407685091182	0.913122591128	0.0	0.006943311404
8	0.568997367119	0.784986512893	0.245026877683	0.006237689734

**Degree 21-4:**  $[0;0,0,3;1,2]$ ;  $N = 192$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.121942991996	0.985017671621	0.121942991996	0.004843132969
4	0.405172013544	0.819555537399	0.405172013544	0.005906722557
5	0.635692088835	0.635692088835	0.437939649249	0.005570538352
6	0.601743299291	0.798689552804	0.0	0.004679374357
7	0.595006226182	0.774595852605	0.214403488618	0.004559352813
8	0.368090580737	0.919422462557	0.138461762661	0.005774096403

**Degree 21-5:**  $[0;0,0,3;1,2]$ ;  $N = 192$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.114731000078	0.986749003163	0.114731000078	0.004256407290
4	0.505750398065	0.698879867870	0.505750398065	0.005470376179
5	0.682741864646	0.682741864646	0.260244293924	0.006041707753
6	0.590785303447	0.806828807884	0.0	0.006478529177
7	0.467118005205	0.838405331367	0.280850973913	0.004792379399
8	0.344252417343	0.930159244514	0.127648160971	0.004917443735

**Degree 21-6:**  $[1;0,0,2;0,3]$ ;  $N = 200$

$$\mu_0 = 0.005200472756$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.124787616061	0.984304882522	0.124787616061	0.005028347403
4	0.382642965364	0.840933244743	0.382642965364	0.004910500721
5	0.580333662379	0.729548523912	0.361900250852	0.004214767940
6	0.600802371831	0.789769929774	0.123693039525	0.005272844391
7	0.371677251651	0.918768165963	0.133120538678	0.005509551481

**Degree 23-1:**  $[0;1,0,4;2,1]$ ;  $N = 198$

$$\mu_1 = 0.005026500922$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.176588660459	0.968314458218	0.176588660459	0.005279416073
4	0.339207318490	0.877426230612	0.339207318490	0.003732271633
5	0.498904016243	0.708653346251	0.498904016243	0.006051284349
6	0.679838773734	0.679838773734	0.275024514281	0.005561610887
7	0.615520670749	0.788120741943	0.0	0.005177363547
8	0.364554848325	0.931181917008	0.0	0.005381929440
9	0.491903042583	0.842732170863	0.218709590304	0.004613082753

**Degree 23-2:**  $[1;0,0,5;1,1]$ ;  $N = 200$

$$\mu_0 = 0.005651017861$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.115535209070	0.986561316356	0.115535209070	0.004259841569
4	0.282433358777	0.916767579979	0.282433358777	0.005294395887
5	0.441560530469	0.781056077285	0.441560530469	0.005588219406
6	0.670525624125	0.670525624125	0.317475628644	0.005591297404
7	0.706832372661	0.706832372661	0.027856667351	0.002936895883
8	0.345770219761	0.938319218138	0.0	0.005051846065
9	0.525118572444	0.836036015482	0.159041710538	0.005530248916

**Degree 23-3** [1;0,0,4;2,1];  $N = 200$

$$\mu_0 = -0.013079151392$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.083820743273	0.992949226292	0.083820743273	0.002613177651
4	0.208890425565	0.955368818946	0.208890425565	0.004696071564
5	0.527146296056	0.666508488400	0.527146296056	0.010074474289
6	0.684194032927	0.684194032927	0.252501585372	0.005747985286
7	0.599358474983	0.800480742096	0.0	0.005781262714
8	0.364297979633	0.931282439454	0.0	0.005394066441
9	0.461647695180	0.847134675079	0.263143017794	0.005859672926

**Degree 25-1:** [1;0,0,5;1,2];  $N = 248$

$$\mu_0 = 0.004313243133$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.111691690919	0.987446166816	0.111691690919	0.003986365505
4	0.315067166823	0.895245977808	0.315067166823	0.003663031548
5	0.459462014542	0.760124538735	0.459462014542	0.004204049922
6	0.660753497156	0.660753497156	0.356103400703	0.004269004376
7	0.702154945166	0.702154945166	0.118139180447	0.004203472415
8	0.532020255731	0.846731626604	0.0	0.004142483118
9	0.519695051509	0.822359911686	0.231605762210	0.004090305599
10	0.329337385202	0.938200966027	0.106375909186	0.003789950437

**Degree 25-2:** [0;0,0,5;0,3];  $N = 264$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.107086858755	0.988465886798	0.107086858755	0.003694297843
4	0.333879222938	0.881504015295	0.333879222938	0.003835709610
5	0.515654412063	0.684252186435	0.515654412063	0.004019086734
6	0.668811941305	0.668811941305	0.324624666862	0.003295936329
7	0.702834079289	0.702834079289	0.109765723158	0.004023268501
8	0.520513375926	0.792782385892	0.317114985615	0.003428775431
9	0.523649799991	0.845078822417	0.107854860213	0.003917073182
10	0.320409052387	0.940317942977	0.114630734376	0.004053335212

**Degree 27-1:** [1;0,0,6;1,2];  $N = 272$

$$\mu_0 = 0.004205508418$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.110768319347	0.987654169666	0.110768319347	0.003927799571
4	0.222696255452	0.949111561206	0.222696255452	-0.000407112852
5	0.322320222672	0.890067046976	0.322320222672	0.003694205329
6	0.462107300704	0.756910619077	0.462107300704	0.004136341725
7	0.660712667463	0.660712667463	0.356254883628	0.004202512176
8	0.702450665599	0.702450665599	0.114569301299	0.004176738239
9	0.525731112119	0.850650808352	0.0	0.004229582701
10	0.524493924092	0.819343388819	0.231479015871	0.004071467594
11	0.323348454269	0.939227929750	0.115311201101	0.004080914226

**Degree 27-2:** [1;1,0,5;0,3];  $N = 278$

$$\mu_0 = 0.004145413998, \quad \mu_1 = -0.001001399850$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.103271889407	0.989277430106	0.103271889407	0.004007770760
4	0.326015048812	0.887371610937	0.326015048812	0.003609101265
5	0.464476869175	0.754004294419	0.464476869175	0.004065377803
6	0.661042838405	0.661042838405	0.355027789879	0.004167019227
7	0.702427075066	0.702427075066	0.114858210103	0.004176827652
8	0.523633133581	0.818640861296	0.235871748274	0.003963497360
9	0.526385749330	0.849564329470	0.034036641931	0.002254418391
10	0.324014265315	0.939146832366	0.114096376493	0.004036641877

**Degree 27-3:** [0;0,0,5;1,3];  $N = 288$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.110332978624	0.987751622452	0.110332978624	0.003893829077
4	0.319075401552	0.892402250249	0.319075401552	0.003606286203
5	0.453117779552	0.767703429527	0.453117779552	0.003808504359
6	0.614431551407	0.614431551407	0.494921950685	0.002421634085
7	0.702545464357	0.702545464357	0.113400798163	0.004077606558
8	0.530118512908	0.847923559216	0.0	0.004062279727
9	0.622283431805	0.708196634681	0.333497911729	0.002263516691
10	0.517277385525	0.826495160269	0.222103256339	0.003782934834
11	0.326096877477	0.939054487386	0.108800258362	0.003851811803

**Degree 29:** [0;0,0,6;0,4];  $N = 336$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.072505573442	0.994729050365	0.072505573442	0.001894697146
4	0.310481161354	0.898444709979	0.310481161354	0.003783811492
5	0.440992773670	0.781697350093	0.440992773670	0.003012182159
6	0.527582710733	0.665817517546	0.527582710733	0.002724403361
7	0.659924119492	0.659924119492	0.359166135688	0.003559107906
8	0.699729990451	0.699729990451	0.144069014458	0.003227454716
9	0.594169250176	0.802748431448	0.050575270178	0.002024884516
10	0.531107498266	0.806604859340	0.259448311183	0.003467968868
11	0.426665999347	0.898209890946	0.105712425044	0.003229411196
12	0.247454899976	0.963715742772	0.100090157417	0.003010240364

**Degree 31:**  $[1;0,0,4;3,4]$ ;  $N = 368$

$$\mu_0 = 0.000578329494$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.097855721318	0.990377966037	0.097855721318	0.003061522104
4	0.336734048041	0.879329495570	0.336734048041	0.002631322890
5	0.521197545604	0.675800441634	0.521197545604	0.002821112765
6	0.658338802723	0.658338802723	0.364938408033	0.002963046287
7	0.635957331845	0.771724220219	0.0	0.002854170188
8	0.475363041502	0.879789735547	0.0	0.002771653333
9	0.291089031268	0.956695968360	0.0	0.002626546226
10	0.622288265573	0.760613900822	0.184996779450	0.002893976172
11	0.505117362658	0.786669092004	0.354976322629	0.002837749321
12	0.461391815178	0.869489953690	0.176365567271	0.002715804588
13	0.289362045905	0.943297248354	0.162665016636	0.002424728107

**Degree 33:**  $[1;0,0,6;1,5]$ ;  $N = 416$

$$\mu_0 = 0.002848140682$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.087642362514	0.992289087205	0.087642362514	0.002449327062
4	0.244826259453	0.938147219451	0.244826259453	0.002179377451
5	0.373613468997	0.849014694553	0.373613468997	0.002653179507
6	0.483960471286	0.729084716933	0.483960471286	0.002817792197
7	0.648358940509	0.648358940509	0.399075642609	0.002832643891
8	0.692365152833	0.692365152833	0.203128014525	0.002776115721
9	0.424680986592	0.905343061843	0.0	0.002634851612
10	0.663530717023	0.747173970345	0.038184363366	0.001044959201
11	0.560342562719	0.821357965819	0.106711313324	0.002552267148
12	0.544103582859	0.784667458480	0.297066104970	0.002771951327
13	0.408251323723	0.892327016644	0.192570382056	0.002540900745
14	0.259902436546	0.962160309302	0.081842914665	0.002276921078

**Degree 35:**  $[1;0,0,8;2,4]$ ;  $N = 440$

$$\mu_0 = 0.002515482567$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.069156813118	0.995205843230	0.069156813118	0.001527515529
4	0.175148458557	0.968837465693	0.175148458557	0.002054028840
5	0.285287793163	0.914998224121	0.285287793163	0.002318417781
6	0.392405552644	0.831886870018	0.392405552644	0.002451618442
7	0.491306203394	0.719191510665	0.491306203394	0.002504293398
8	0.645641884498	0.645641884498	0.407790527065	0.002513606412
9	0.690921150829	0.690921150829	0.212734404066	0.002529886683
10	0.707101476221	0.707101476221	0.003873584007	0.001275574306
11	0.471598691154	0.881813287778	0.0	0.002417442376
12	0.210272522872	0.977642811115	0.0	0.001910951282
13	0.590515704894	0.799927854385	0.106801826076	0.002512236855
14	0.555015236112	0.771746262687	0.310428403520	0.002496644054
15	0.450233038264	0.868946032283	0.205482369646	0.002416930044
16	0.334436314543	0.937180985852	0.099217696370	0.002236607760

**Degree 37:** [1;0,0,5;1,8];  $N = 536$

$$\mu_0 = 0.001436589472$$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.181665204347	0.966434429777	0.181665204347	0.002233871811
4	0.303427242195	0.903251801763	0.303427242195	0.002119180525
5	0.483529149430	0.729656853119	0.483529149430	0.002281458727
6	0.625463680619	0.625463680619	0.466465827743	0.001864035223
7	0.705074619796	0.705074619796	0.075759890693	0.001858409063
8	0.444572576129	0.895742833940	0.0	0.002336486555
9	0.630713490341	0.746274388124	0.212779300527	0.001818751796
10	0.596705492981	0.724560936181	0.344897092487	0.001961713367
11	0.587046661123	0.807169311670	0.062079948160	0.001611967438
12	0.505464222397	0.844656624412	0.176241614590	0.001942087580
13	0.458746299673	0.824994538226	0.330054305281	0.002245940979
14	0.369539839929	0.915094022626	0.161379169844	0.001967307858
15	0.277639225331	0.958974697835	0.057306103258	0.001561575961
16	0.116956662074	0.992865475735	0.023222538401	0.001137835823

**Degree 39-1:** [0;0,0,4;1,10];  $N = 600$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.067102856429	0.995487023179	0.067102856429	0.001461069347
4	0.338906615896	0.877658596154	0.338906615896	0.002081064425
5	0.448234256905	0.773415866060	0.448234256905	0.002003883131
6	0.701808440747	0.701808440747	0.122187663015	0.001868396116
7	0.633404727273	0.773820684311	0.0	0.001974704892
8	0.563818465348	0.651676642607	0.507371946024	0.001120631568
9	0.628884552131	0.731765201351	0.262724019044	0.001626513803
10	0.568134999733	0.808896715135	0.151356289337	0.001822889111
11	0.490729623093	0.818248053726	0.299423712476	0.001943309150
12	0.589988659798	0.705342105582	0.392945155719	0.001766607242
13	0.493911282058	0.868009534637	0.051098857469	0.001361185877
14	0.415275416293	0.891987868825	0.178616825894	0.001852624903
15	0.350457251849	0.935213276436	0.050555338040	0.001383169283
16	0.278497898816	0.940831443705	0.193067643306	0.001483291414
17	0.205495716318	0.975518961862	0.078321552738	0.001778552026

**Degree 39-2:**  $[0;0,0,4;1,10]$ ;  $N = 600$

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$	$z_i$	$\mu_i$
3	0.076392926087	0.994146991992	0.076392926087	0.001861255447
4	0.338772022760	0.877762515257	0.338772022760	0.001793884349
5	0.454420421720	0.766161967633	0.454420421720	0.001974756953
6	0.688478852474	0.688478852474	0.228021357313	0.001692017041
7	0.519110405221	0.854707193834	0.0	0.001863462235
8	0.570664193557	0.653131915106	0.497756044325	0.001291965571
9	0.595919741511	0.712690180359	0.370070761473	0.001931700943
10	0.646378012450	0.759163172837	0.076594660580	0.001947222607
11	0.588862981291	0.775828653959	0.226561887708	0.001433019874
12	0.385384000208	0.903175728662	0.189084043588	0.001705425390
13	0.476387123224	0.823112602544	0.309096995068	0.001832278975
14	0.512379521668	0.846931416658	0.142036619409	0.001646505349
15	0.255068480104	0.944108152303	0.208805812209	0.001064763221
16	0.227252272934	0.970698830744	0.078103677500	0.001894382989
17	0.375230766664	0.925197335485	0.056672410922	0.001493380402

## REFERENCES

1. Z. P. Bažant and B. H. Oh, *Efficient numerical integration on the surface of a sphere*, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **66** (1986), 37-49.
2. R. Cools and P. Rabinowitz, *Monomial cubature rules since "Stroud": a compilation*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **48** (1992), 309-326.
3. D. A. Dunavant, *High degree efficient symmetrical Gaussian quadrature rules for the triangle*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. **21** (1985), 1129-1148.
4. H. Engels, *Numerical quadrature and cubature*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
5. S. Heo and Y. Xu, *Constructing cubature formulae for spheres and balls*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. (to appear).

6. P. Keast, *Cubature formulas for the surface of the sphere*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **17** (1987), 151-172.
7. P. Keast, *Moderate-degree tetrahedral quadrature formulas*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. **55** (1986), 339-348.
8. P. Keast and J. C. Diaz, *Fully symmetric integration formulas for the surface of the sphere in  $s$  dimensions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **20** (1983), 406-419.
9. V.I. Lebedev, *Quadrature on a sphere*, USSR Comp. Math. and Math. Phys. **16** (1976), 10-24.
10. V.I. Lebedev, *Spherical quadrature formulas exact to orders 25-29*, Siberian Math. J. **18** (1977), 99-107..
11. V.I. Lebedev, *A quadrature formula for the sphere of 59th algebraic order of accuracy*, Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. **50** (1995), 283-286.
12. V.I. Lebedev and L. Skorokhodov, *Quadrature formulas of orders 41,47 and 53 for the sphere*, Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. **45** (1992), 587-592.
13. J.N. Lyness and R. Cools, *A survey of numerical cubature over triangles*, Mathematics of Computation 1943–1993: a half-century of computational mathematics (Vancouver, BC, 1993), Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 127–150.
14. J.N. Lyness and D. Jespersen, *Moderate degree symmetric quadrature rules for the triangle*, J. Inst. Maths. Applics. **15** (1975), 19–32.
15. J. I. Maeztu and E. Sainz de la Maza, *Consistent structures of invariant quadrature rules for the  $n$ -simplex*, Math. Comp. **64** (1995), 1171–1192.
16. A. D. McLaren, *Optimal numerical integration on a sphere*, Math. Comp. **17**, 361-383.
17. I. P. Mysovskikh, *Interpolatory cubature formulas*, “Nauka”, Moscow, 1981. (Russian)
18. S. L. Sobolev, *Cubature formulas on the sphere invariant under finite groups of rotations*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 1307-1310.
19. A. Stroud, *Approximate calculation of multiple integrals*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1971.
20. Y. Xu, *On orthogonal polynomials in several variables*, Special functions,  $q$ -series, and related topics, Fields Institute Communications, vol. 14, 1997, pp. 247 - 270.
21. Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials and cubature formulae on spheres and on balls*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29** (1998), 779-793.
22. Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials and cubature formulae on spheres and on simplices*, Methods Appl. Anal. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403-1222.

*E-mail address:* sheo@math.uoregon.edu and yuan@math.uoregon.edu