

# Solving Congruences

Suppose we wanted to solve

- ①  $3x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$
- ②  $6x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$
- ③  $6x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$

①  $3x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$

morally, want to "divide" by 3  $\pmod{10}$

isn't we want some  $s$  such that  $3s \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$

notice that  $3 \cdot 7 = 21 \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$

mult. by 7 to get

$$21x \equiv \underline{49} \pmod{10}$$

$$1 \cdot x \equiv \underline{9} \pmod{10}$$

check:  $3 \cdot 9 = 27 \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$  ✓

7, 17, 27, 37

↓  
9

$$\textcircled{2} \quad 6x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$$

never going to work:

$6x - 7$  is not a multiple of 10,  
because it's not even a multiple of 2!

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \underline{6x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}}$$

$6x - 8$  is a mult. of 10

if and only if  $3x - 4$  is a mult. of 5

so this is the same as solving

$$3x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$\underline{6x \equiv 8 \pmod{5}}$$

$$\underline{1x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}}$$

mult. by 2

if you want to go back to mod 10:

$$\underline{x \equiv 3 \text{ or } 8 \pmod{10}}$$

check:  $6 \cdot 3 = 18 \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$

$$6 \cdot 8 = 48 \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$$

Theorem: (1) If  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$   
then we can solve

$$cx \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

for any  $b$ .

The sol. is unique mod  $m$ .

o°  $3x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$

(2) If  $\gcd(c, m) = d > 1$   
then we can solve

$$cx \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

iff  $d \mid b$ .

Then it's equiv. to solving

$$\frac{c}{d}x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$$

o°  $6x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$   
 $6x \equiv 8 \pmod{10}$

Proof (1) Since  $\gcd(c, m) = 1$ ,  
we can write  $cs + mt = 1$   
for some  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Multiply by  $b$  to get

$$csb + mtb = b$$

then if we take  $x = sb$ ,

$$cx + mtb = b$$

$$\text{so } cx \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

Suppose we have two solutions

$$cx \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{and } cy \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{Then } cx - cy \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

$$\text{i.e. } m \mid cx - cy = c(x - y)$$

because  $\gcd(m, c) = 1$ ,  
we must have  $m \mid x - y$  } WHY?

$$\text{i.e. } x \equiv y \pmod{m}$$

example  
if  $10 \mid 3z$   
then  $10 \mid z$

$$\text{let } z = x - y$$

$$\text{if } \gcd(m, c) = 1$$

$$\text{and } m \mid cz \text{ then } m \mid z$$

again write  $1 = cs + mt$

$$\text{so } z = csz + mtz$$

$m$  divides  $cz$   $m$  divides this too  
so  $m$  divides  $z$ .

(2) if  $\gcd(c, m) = d$

$$\text{then write } c = dc'$$

$$\text{and } m = dm'$$

$$\text{where now } \gcd(c', m') = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6 &= 2 \cdot 3 \\ 10 &= 2 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$$

Solving  
or 
$$cx \equiv b \pmod{m}$$
$$c'dx \equiv b \pmod{m'd}$$

or 
$$c'dx - b = m'd - k \quad \text{for some } k.$$

then 
$$b = c'dx - m'dk$$

had better be a mult. of  $d$

if it is, divide by  $d$

$$c'x - \frac{b}{d} = m'k$$

which is the same as

$$c'x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{m'}$$

(could have defined  $b' = b/d \dots$ )

---

## Chinese Remainder Theorem

Example: Nick was going to put his class into breakout rooms of 4, but there was one student left over. So then he did rooms of 3, and there were 2 left over, which was better. What can we say?

went to solve 
$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

and 
$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

answer can only be up to mults. of 12...

$x:$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
mod 4:	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
mod 3:	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2

we must have  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$

so  $x = 5, 17, 29, 41, \dots$   
 (most reasonable...)

for bigger numbers:

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$$

don't want to list 0, 1, ..., 45  
 and solve by brute force.

how can we be more systematic?  
 next time.