

Last time : $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$M = R^n$ with basis $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$

Euler v.f. $\xi \in M$

$M^* = R^n$ with basis dx_1, \dots, dx_n

Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda^n M^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^2 M^* \xrightarrow{\xi \lrcorner} M^* \xrightarrow{\xi \lrcorner} R \rightarrow 0$$

homology here is $R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

but exact elsewhere.

if $\omega = f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \in \Lambda^k M^*$

where f is homog. of deg k ,

then $d(\xi \lrcorner \omega) + \xi \lrcorner d\omega = (k+e)\omega$

[Cartan's magic formula: it's $\mathcal{L}_\xi \omega$.

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi f = \xi f = ef$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi dx_i = dx_i$$

\mathcal{L}_ξ obeys a Leibniz rule.]

Let $\omega \in \Lambda^k M^*$ be general. $k \geq 1$

write ω as a sum of homogeneous pieces:

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_e + \dots + \omega_n$$

if $\sum \int \omega = 0$ then each $\sum \int \omega_e = 0$

$$\text{so } \sum \int \int d\omega_e = (k+e)\omega_e$$

$$\text{so } \sum \int \left(\frac{1}{k} d\omega_0 + \frac{1}{k+1} d\omega_1 + \dots + \frac{1}{k+e} d\omega_e \right) = \omega.$$

so the complex is exact where claimed.

(this proof requires $\text{char } k = 0$,
but the conclusion is true more generally.)

if $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\underline{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

then $\text{Tor}_*^R(R/\underline{m}, R/\underline{m}) = 1, n, \binom{n}{2}, \dots, n, 1, 0, \dots$

↑ resolve by Koszul cx.

⊗ R/\underline{m} w/ all differentials $= 0$

proj. dim $R/\underline{m} = n$.

If $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$

then it's similar: $\text{proj dim } R/\mathfrak{m} = n$.

If $k = \bar{k}$, that proves that

$\text{glob dim } R = n$.

In answer to question:
 $R = k[x, y, z, w]$ $\mathfrak{I} = (xz - y^2, xw - yz, yw - z^2)$
 Krul ex of Thm 3 is not exact.

if $k \neq \bar{k}$, still $\text{glob dim } k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n$

know $\text{glob dim} \geq n$
 because of $\text{Tor}_x (R/(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{self})$.

let's prove \leq .

$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ $S = \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R \otimes_k \bar{k}$

Claim: S is flat over R

Better: a seq of R -modules

$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact

iff

$0 \rightarrow L \otimes_R S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \rightarrow N \otimes_R S \rightarrow 0$ is exact

"faithfully flat"

Pf $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$
 is exact as R -mod
 iff it's exact as k -vector spaces.

$$\text{iff } 0 \rightarrow L \otimes_k \bar{k} \rightarrow M \otimes_k \bar{k} \rightarrow N \otimes_k \bar{k} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact as \bar{k} -vector spaces.
 (think about it)

$$\text{iff } 0 \rightarrow L \otimes_R S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \rightarrow N \otimes_R S \rightarrow 0$$

is exact as S -modules. □

Same

Prop if $R \text{--} S$ is faithfully flat
 then an R -module A is flat / R
 $\iff A \otimes_R S$ is flat / S .

Pf \Rightarrow easy. for \Leftarrow ,

let $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be

an exact seq. of R -mods.

is $0 \rightarrow L \otimes_R A \rightarrow M \otimes_R A \rightarrow N \otimes_R A \rightarrow 0$ exact?

yes iff same $\otimes_R S$ is exact, but

$$\rightarrow \otimes_R A \otimes_R S = \rightarrow \otimes_R S \otimes_S (A \otimes_R S) \text{ and both these}$$

operations are exact by hypothesis. □

Proof let M be a fin gen module
over $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

then $\text{proj dim } M \leq n$. $S = \overline{R} [_]$

Pf take any fin. gen. proj. res.

$$\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

cut it off: let $K = \ker d_{n-1}$:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

claim: K is proj.

know $K \otimes_R S$ is proj., because

$$\text{Tor}_{S,1}^S(K \otimes_R S, S/\underline{m}) = \text{Tor}_{R,n}^S(M \otimes_R S, S/\underline{m}) = 0$$

so $K \otimes_R S$ is flat

so K is flat

so K is proj. (bec. fin gen). \square