## **Exercises - hints and remarks 2**

SageMath online calculator https://sagecell.sagemath.org/ with the relevant material summarized on

https://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/thematic\_tutorials/lie/weyl\_character\_ring.html
Magma online calculator http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/calc/

## Hints for Exercise 2

The one dimensional representations are easy to construct. For the two dimensional representations use

$$1 \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 2 \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi k/n) & -\sin(2\pi k/n) \\ -\sin(2\pi k/n) & -\cos(2\pi k/n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Via easy calculations (seriously: these are 2x2 matrices!) one verifies: The matrices satisfy the relations of  $D_n$  and have no common eigenvector, so the associated representations are simple. They are also nonconjugate for  $k \in \{1, ..., \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$ . Finally, the sum of the squares of their dimensions is 2n, so we are done.

In general,  $D_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and one can use 12 and 1 as the generators of the two groups in this semidirect product. Now induce from those two groups and hope for the best.

## Hints for Exercise 3

Unless the characteristic of K is two, the picture should look like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m & \begin{array}{ccc} b_1, b_{121}, \dots & b_{12}, b_{1212}, \dots \\ b_{21}, b_{2121}, \dots & b_2, b_{212}, \dots \end{array} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \cong_s \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}] \\ \mathcal{J}_{\emptyset} & \begin{array}{ccc} b_{\emptyset} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{K} \end{aligned}$$

The Grothendieck algebra (abelian or additive, that does not make a difference) of  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$  can be computed via the SageMath online calculator, see above, with the code

You need to vary k and j, and identify  $b_{121}$  with A(2) = A(2,0) up to scaling. Neither  $b_{121}$  nor A(2) satisfy any polynomial relation, but both generate the respective algebras.

## Hints for Exercise 4

Unless the characteristic of  $\mathbb{K}$  is nonzero and small, the picture for *n* being odd should look like

$$J_{w_0} \qquad b_{w_0} \qquad S_{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{K}$$

$$n \text{ odd}: J_m \qquad b_1, b_{121}, \dots \qquad b_{12}, b_{1212}, \dots \qquad S_{\mathcal{H}} \cong_s \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}/\frac{n-1}{2}\mathbb{Z}]$$

$$J_{\emptyset} \qquad b_{\emptyset} \qquad S_{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{K}$$

That the diagonal  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$  have pseudo idempotents is clear by  $b_1b_1 = 2b_1$ . For the off-diagonal elements let us take n = 7 and  $b = b_{12} - b_{1212} + b_{121212}$ . Then the multiplication table

	<i>b</i> <sub>12</sub>	$-b_{1212}$	<i>b</i> <sub>121212</sub>
<i>b</i> <sub>12</sub>	$2b_{12} + b_{1212}$	$-b_{12} - 2b_{1212} - b_{121212}$	$b_{1212} + b_{121212}$
$-b_{1212}$	$-b_{12} - 2b_{1212} - b_{121212}$	$2b_{12} + 2b_{1212} + b_{121212}$	$-b_{12} - b_{1212}$
<i>b</i> <sub>121212</sub>	$b_{1212} + b_{121212}$	$-b_{12} - b_{1212}$	$b_{12}$

verifies that  $b^2 = b$ . The general case is similar. (Note that *b* would be an infinite alternating sum for  $n = \infty$ , and that is why the off-diagonal  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$  do not have pseudo idempotents in the infinite case.)

The isomorphism  $S_{\mathcal{H}} \cong_s \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}/\frac{n-1}{2}\mathbb{Z}]$  for nonsilly  $\mathbb{K}$  can be verified as follows. Let  $U_k^3(X)$  be the (Chebyshev-like multiplication by quantum three) polynomial defined via  $U_0^3(X) = 1$ ,  $U_1^3(X) = X$  and

$$U_k^3(X) = (X-1)U_{k-1}^3(X) - U_{k-2}^3(X).$$

This polynomial is the defining polynomial for  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$  in the sense that  $U_k^3(X)$  corresponds to the highest weight summand in the tensor product  $(X = \mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes k}$ . Here is some SageMath code:

```
A=WeylCharacterRing(A1,style=coroots);
gen=A(2,0);
k=7;
def U(n,x):
if n == 0:
return 1
elif n == 1:
return x
else:
return (x-1) * U(n-1,x) - U(n-2,x)
print(U(k,gen))
```

Now  $U_m^3(b_{121}) = 0$  for  $m = \frac{n-1}{2}$ , so  $S_{\mathcal{H}} \cong_s \mathbb{K}[X]/(U_m^3(X))$ . Since  $U_m^3(X)$  has distinct roots, we can then rescale  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(U_m^3(X))$  to  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^m - 1) \cong \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}]$ .

That was the case of  $SO_3(\mathbb{C})$ , so you need to argue why this implies the same for the KL basis of the finite dihedral group.