

t-structures

Q: Given  $X \in \mathcal{D}Mod_R$ , can we define  $H^i X \in Mod_R$  intrinsically? (i.e. w/o choosing a complex  $M^*$  which represents  $X$ )

A: Yes, and this leads to a robust notion of "generalized cohomology".

Some terminology: SES's in  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R$  are called exact triangles, cokernels are cones or cofibers, kernels are fibers.

Warning: these behave very differently in  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R$  than  $Mod_R$ . E.g. let

$$X \xrightarrow{\phi} Y \xrightarrow{\psi} Z$$

be an exact triangle, and note that  $M^* \mapsto M[i]^*$  (where  $M[i]^k = M^{k+i}$ ) descends to  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R$ . Then  $\exists$  morphism  $Z \xrightarrow{\theta} X[1]$  s.t.

$$Y \xrightarrow{\psi} Z \xrightarrow{\theta} X[1] \xrightarrow{\phi[1]} Y$$

are also exact triangles (i.e. we can "rotate triangles").

Nonetheless,  $Ch_R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}Mod_R$  takes SES's to exact triangles.

Def A t-structure on  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{D}Mod_R$  is a full subcategory  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$  such that

- (1)  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq 0} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$  has a right adjoint  $\tau^{\leq 0}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$ , and
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$  is closed under extensions ( $X, Z \in \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$  and  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  in exact triangle  $\Rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$ )

Ex The standard t-structure has

$$\mathcal{D}Mod_R^{\leq 0} = \{X \mid H^k X \cong 0 \text{ for } k > 0\}$$

with  $\tau^{\leq 0}$  induced by

$$M^* \mapsto \tau^{\leq 0} M^* := \dots \rightarrow M^{-2} \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} M^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} \ker d^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

One can show in general that

- (3) the inclusion of  $\mathcal{E}^{\geq 1} = \{Y \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, Y) = 0 \forall X \in \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}\}$  has a left adjoint  $\tau^{\geq 1}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\geq 1}$
- (4)  $\mathcal{E}^{\geq 1}$  is closed under extensions
- (5)  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$  is closed under  $[1]$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\geq 1}$  is closed under  $[-1]$ .

Setting  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq n} = \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}[-n]$  and  $\mathcal{E}^{\geq n} = \mathcal{E}^{\geq 1}[n]$ , we have a filtration

$$\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{E}^{\leq -1} \supset \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0} \supset \mathcal{E}^{\leq 1} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{E}$$

The adjoints  $\tau^{\leq n}$  come w/ counits  $\tau^{\leq n} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , so every  $X \in \mathcal{E}$  inherits a filtration

$$\dots \rightarrow \tau^{\leq -1} X \rightarrow \tau^{\leq 0} X \rightarrow \tau^{\leq 1} X \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X$$

Common way to study filtered objects: look at their associated graded objects (i.e. successive quotients)

One can show that  $\text{Cone}(\tau^{\leq -1} X \rightarrow \tau^{\leq 0} X) \cong \tau^{\geq 0} \tau^{\leq 0} X$ , and that this belongs to the heart  $\mathcal{E}^0 = \mathcal{E}^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{E}^{\geq 0}$ .

Ex For the std t-structure  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R^{\leq 0} = \{X \mid H^k X \cong 0 \text{ for } k < 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R^{\geq 0} = \{X \mid H^k X \cong 0 \text{ for } k > 0\} = Mod_R$ , and  $\tau^{\geq 0} \tau^{\leq 0} X \cong H^0 X$ . (exercise)

With this in mind we call  $H_t^n := \tau^{\geq n} \tau^{\leq n}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^n$  the nth "t-cohomology" functor.

Key facts: (1)  $\mathcal{E}^n$  is abelian (exactness behaves same as in  $Mod_R$ ) (2) if  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  is an exact triangle then  $H_t^n(X) \rightarrow H_t^n(Y) \rightarrow H_t^n(Z)$  is exact at  $H_t^n(Y)$  (i.e.  $H_t^n$  is a "cohomological functor").

Summary: a subcategory  $\mathcal{E}^{\leq 0}$  w/ good closure properties defines a filtration whose associated graded

$$H_t^n: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^n$$

generalizes the std cohomology.

Ex The Koszul t-structure Exercises  $R = \text{Sym}(V)$ ,  $\Lambda^V = \text{Sym}(V^*[-1])$

$\Rightarrow \Lambda^V$  acts on  $K^*$ , hence on  $\text{Hom}_R(K^*, M^*)$  for any  $M^* \in Ch_R^{\geq 0}$ .

define  $sh: Ch_{\mathcal{E}}^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  by  $sh(M^*) = M^*[-1]$  if  $M^*$  has weight  $-n$ .

Setting  $R^! := sh(\Lambda^V) \cong \Lambda^V(V^*)$ , it follows that  $K := sh \circ \text{Hom}_R(K^*, -)$  descends to a functor  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R \rightarrow \mathcal{D}Mod_{R^!}$ .

Thm (BGL) This restricts to an equivalence  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R^{\geq 1} \cong \mathcal{D}Mod_{R^!}^{\geq 1}$ , the subcategories of  $X$  s.t.  $H^i X$  is finitely generated over  $R$  or  $R^!$ .

The "Koszul" t-structure on  $\mathcal{D}Mod_R$  is the image of the std t-structure on  $\mathcal{D}Mod_{R^!}$  under this equivalence.