

## The embedding and shift theorems

A common theme in representation stability is that objects can be made simpler by applying a “shift” operation. This is true of  $\mathbf{GL}$ -varieties, as we will see in this lecture. These results give us an inductive way to understand  $\mathbf{GL}$ -varieties, and are among the most powerful tools we have.

We first define the shift operation. Let  $G(n)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbf{GL}_n$  consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where the top left block is  $n \times n$ . Of course,  $G(n)$  is itself isomorphic to  $\mathbf{GL}$ . Given an object  $X$  on which  $\mathbf{GL}$  acts, we can thus restrict to  $G(n)$  and then identify  $G(n)$  with  $\mathbf{GL}$  to obtain a new action of  $\mathbf{GL}$ . This is called the  $n$ th *shift* of  $X$ , and denoted  $\mathrm{Sh}_n(X)$ . For example, we have  $\mathrm{Sh}_n(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{C}^n \oplus \mathbf{V}$ , and one can use this to figure out shifts of Schur functors, e.g.,

$$\mathrm{Sh}_n(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbf{V})) = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbf{C}^n \oplus \mathbf{V}) = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbf{C}^n) \oplus (\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathbf{V}) \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbf{V}).$$

The following is the *embedding theorem*:

**THEOREM 13.1.** *Let  $Y$  be a  $\mathbf{GL}$ -variety, let  $\lambda$  be a non-empty partition, and let  $X$  be a closed  $\mathbf{GL}$ -subvariety of  $Y \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda$ . Then one of the following two possibilities holds:*

- (a)  $X = Y_0 \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda$  for some closed  $\mathbf{GL}$ -subvariety  $Y_0 \subset Y$ ; or
- (b) there is a non-empty open subset of  $\mathrm{Sh}_n(X)$ , for some  $n$ , that embeds into  $\mathrm{Sh}_n(Y) \times \mathbf{A}^\mu$  for some  $\mu$ , where every partition in  $\mu$  is smaller than  $\lambda$ .

Before giving the proof, we illustrate the main idea in a special case.

**EXAMPLE 13.2.** Let  $Y$  be a point, let  $\lambda = (2)$ , and let  $X$  be the rank  $\leq 1$  locus in  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$ . We think of elements of  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$  as infinite symmetric matrices  $x$ , and write  $x_{i,j}$  for the  $(i,j)$  entry. Let  $U \subset X$  be the open set where  $x_{1,1}$  is non-zero; this is  $G(1)$ -stable. Suppose  $x \in U$ . Then  $x$  has rank 1, and the first column is a basis for its column space. For  $i > 1$ , the  $i$ th column of  $x$  is a scalar multiple of the first column, and by looking at the first row we see that the scalar is  $x_{i,1}/x_{1,1}$ . Thus we can solve for all entries in  $x$  in terms of the first row. This shows that

$$\mathrm{Sh}_1(U) \cong \mathbf{C}^\times \times \mathbf{A}^{(1)}$$

as  $\mathbf{GL}$ -varieties. Here the  $\mathbf{C}^\times$  is the  $x_{1,1}$  coordinate, and the  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$  records the first row with  $x_{1,1}$  omitted. (Note that the first row with  $x_{1,1}$  omitted looks like  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$  but with  $G(1)$ -acting; after shifting, we actually get  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$  with  $\mathbf{GL}$  acting.)

*Proof of Theorem 13.1.* The main idea is like the example: we will construct a function  $h$  such that on the  $h \neq 0$  locus we can solve for many of the coordinates in terms of simpler coordinates. This will produce an embedding of the kind we want. We argue as follows:

- We prove the theorem just for  $\lambda = (2)$  for simplicity; the general argument is exactly the same, just with more complicated notation.
- Let  $R$  be the coordinate ring of  $Y$ , so that  $R[x_{i,j}]$  is the coordinate ring of  $Y \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda$  (where  $x_{i,j} = x_{j,i}$ ). Let  $I \subset R[x_{i,j}]$  be the ideal for  $X$ , let  $J_0$  be its contraction to  $R$ , and let  $J$  be the extension of  $J_0$ . We have  $J \subset I$  with equality if and only if we're in case (a). Assume we're not in case (a), so  $I$  is strictly larger than  $J$ .
- We have seen that polynomial representation of  $\mathbf{GL}_\infty$  are determined by their  $1^n$  weight spaces. Thus the  $1^n$  weight space of  $I$  is strictly larger than that for  $J$ , for some  $n$ ; let  $f$  be such a weight vector in  $I$  that's not in  $J$ .
- A  $1^n$  weight vector in  $R[x_{i,j}]$  can be written as a sum of terms of the form  $x_{i_1,j_1} \cdots x_{i_r,j_r} g$  where all indices are distinct and  $g$  is a  $1^S$ -weight vector of  $R$ , where  $S = [n] \setminus \{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_r, j_r\}$ . Applying a permutation to  $f$ , we can thus assume that  $f = hx_{n-1,n} + g$ , where  $h$  is a non-zero  $1^{n-2}$ -weight vector in  $R[x_{i,j}]$  and the variable  $x_{n-1,n}$  does not appear in  $g$ .
- In  $(R[x_{i,j}]/I)[1/h]$ , we have  $x_{n-1,n} = -g/h$ . The variables appearing in the right side are of the form  $x_{i,j}$  or  $x_{n,i}$  or  $x_{n-1,i}$  where  $i, j \leq n-2$ ; call these "small." Thus applying permutations of  $\{n-1, n, n+1, \dots\}$ , we see that every  $x_{i,j}$  can be expressed in terms of small variables in this ring. In other words, we have a  $G(n)$ -equivariant surjection

$$(R[x_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-2}[1/h]) \otimes k[y_i, z_i]_{i \geq n-1} \rightarrow (R[x_{i,j}]/I)[1/h]$$

where  $y_i$  maps to  $x_{n-1,i}$  and  $z_i$  to  $x_{n,i}$ . Thus case (b) holds with  $\underline{\mu} = [(1), (1)]$ .  $\square$

The *shift theorem* is the following. For a function  $h$  on a variety  $X$ , we let  $X[1/h]$  be the non-vanishing locus of  $h$ .

**THEOREM 13.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbf{GL}$ -variety. Then there is  $n \geq 0$  and a non-zero  $\mathbf{GL}$ -invariant function  $h$  on  $\text{Sh}_n(X)$  such that  $\text{Sh}_n(X)[1/h]$  is isomorphic, as a  $\mathbf{GL}$ -variety, to  $B \times \mathbf{A}^\rho$ , where  $B$  is an ordinary (finite dimensional) variety and  $\rho$  is a tuple of partitions.*

*Proof.* Embed  $X$  into  $\mathbf{A}^\underline{\mu}$  for some  $\underline{\mu}$ . We proceed by induction on  $\underline{\mu}$ . If  $\underline{\mu}$  only consists of empty partitions, the result is clear (we don't need to shift or pass to an open set: we can just take  $B = X$  and  $\rho$  to be empty). This is the base case of the induction.

Suppose now that  $\underline{\mu}$  contains some non-empty partition. Let  $N$  be the maximal size of a partition in  $\underline{\mu}$ , let  $\lambda$  be a partition in  $\underline{\mu}$  of size  $N$ , let  $\underline{\nu}$  be the remaining

part of  $\underline{\mu}$ , and let  $Y = \mathbf{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$ . We have  $X \subset Y \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda$ , so we are in the setting of the embedding theorem. In case (i), we have  $X = Y_0 \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda$  for some  $Y_0 \subset \mathbf{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$ . Since  $\underline{\nu}$  is smaller than  $\underline{\mu}$ , the shift theorem holds for  $Y_0$  by induction; it is easy to see that it then holds for  $X$ . Now suppose we're in case (ii). Then after shifting and passing to an open set,  $X$  embeds into  $\text{Sh}_n(Y) \times \mathbf{A}^\sigma$ , where every partition in  $\underline{\sigma}$  is smaller than  $\lambda$ . This space has the form  $\mathbf{A}^\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is smaller than  $\underline{\mu}$ . (All partitions in  $\tau$  have size at most  $N$ , and the number of partitions in  $\tau$  of size  $N$  is one less than the number in  $\underline{\mu}$  of size  $N$ .) Thus by induction, the shift theorem holds for subvarieties of  $\mathbf{A}^\tau$ , and so the result follows.  $\square$

### Exercises

*Exercise 13.1.* Let  $X$  be the closed  $\mathbf{GL}$ -subvariety of  $\mathbf{A}^{[(1),(1)]}$  consisting of linearly dependent pairs. Explicitly verify the conclusion of the shift theorem in this case.

*Exercise 13.2.* Let  $X$  be the rank  $\leq r$  locus in  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$ . Explicitly verify the conclusion of the shift theorem in this case.

*Exercise 13.3.* Show that  $\text{Sh}_n(\mathbf{S}_\lambda)$  has the form  $\mathbf{S}_\lambda \oplus \cdots$ , where the remaining terms are Schur functors of smaller degree.

### Additional exercises

*Exercise 13.4.* Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbf{GL}$ -variety. Show that the invariant function field  $k(X)^{\mathbf{GL}}$  is a finitely generated extension of  $k$ . (See Exercise 12.4 for the definition of  $k(X)^{\mathbf{GL}}$ .) [Hint: use the shift theorem (Theorem 13.3).]

*Exercise 13.5.* Explicitly compute  $\text{Sh}_n(\mathbf{S}_\lambda)$  in terms of Littlewood–Richardson coefficients (if you know what these are).

*Exercise 13.6.* Let  $X$  be an affine  $\mathbf{GL}$ -variety.

- Show that there is a natural surjective map of  $\mathbf{GL}$ -varieties  $\text{Sh}_n(X) \rightarrow X$ . [Hint: this is induced by the canonical inclusion  $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_n(\mathbf{V})$ .]
- Show that there is a dominant morphism  $B \times \mathbf{A}^\lambda \rightarrow X$  for some finite dimensional variety  $B$  and some tuple  $\underline{\lambda}$ . [This says that  $X$  is “unirational up to a finite dimensional error.”]

### Notes

The embedding theorem appeared implicitly in [Dr]. It was isolated as a standalone result in [BDES, §4] when it was realized how useful it can be. The shift theorem was proved in [BDES, §5]. See [BDES] for more details on the proofs.