

A11

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 491 SOCIOLOGY OF EDUCATION U/05 43143

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 13; 10 students completed evaluations, or 77 percent of enrollment.
(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE	
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	8	0	1	1	0	0	
	80%	0%	10%	10%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.0		Dept= 9.1		z= -0.1		
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.6		z= 0.7		
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	10	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.8		z= 0.8		
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	5	4	0	0	0	1	
	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	10%	
	Mean= 9.1		Dept= 8.8		z= 0.7		

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.8		
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	10	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.2		z= 1.1		
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 8.9		z= 0.8		
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	8	2	0	0	0	0	
	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 8.6		z= 1.7		
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 8.7		z= 1.7		
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.2		
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	9	0	0	0	0	1	
	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.0		z= 1.4		
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	10	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.1		
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.4		z= 0.7		
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	10	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.0		
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.7		
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.5		z= 0.6		
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	8	2	0	0	0	0	
	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.5		
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	9	
	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	90%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 6.3		z= 0.9		
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.0		

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 8.7		z= 1.2		
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	10	0	0	0	0	0	
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.9		
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 8.9		z= 1.0		
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	9	1	0	0	0	0	
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 8.8		z= 1.1		

400 level

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 491 SOCIOLOGY OF EDUCATION U/05 43143

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 13; 10 students completed evaluations, or 77 percent of enrollment.
(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	8	0	1	1	0	0
	80%	0%	10%	10%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.0 Dept= 9.5 z= -1.3					
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.5 z= 0.9					
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	10	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.9 z= 0.5					
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	5	4	0	0	0	1
	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	10%
	Mean= 9.1 Dept= 9.1 z= 0.0					

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.0 z= 1.0					
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	10	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.3 z= 1.0					
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 8.8 z= 1.0					
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	8	2	0	0	0	0
	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 8.7 z= 1.4					
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 8.8 z= 1.3					
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.1 z= 1.4					
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	9	0	0	0	0	1
	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.0 z= 1.5					
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	10	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.0 z= 1.6					
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.4 z= 1.4					
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	10	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.3 z= 1.0					
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.3 z= 1.1					
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.4 z= 0.8					
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	8	2	0	0	0	0
	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.6					
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	9
	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	90%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 7.6 z= 0.7					
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.1 z= 1.3					

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 8.8 z= 1.1					
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	10	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.2 z= 1.0					
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 8.9 z= 1.5					
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	9	1	0	0	0	0
	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 8.9 z= 1.3					

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 204 INTRO SOCIOLOGY U/07 41698

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 28; 18 students completed evaluations, or 64 percent of enrollment.
(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	8	0	3	6	0	1
	44%	0%	17%	33%	0%	6%
	Mean= 7.2		Dept= 8.7		z= -1.2	
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	15	2	0	1	0	0
	83%	11%	0%	6%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4		Dept= 9.6		z= -0.6	
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	18	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.6		z= 1.6	
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 8.8		z= 1.8	

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	13	4	1	0	0	0
	72%	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3		Dept= 9.2		z= 0.3	
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	13	5	0	0	0	0
	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4		Dept= 9.6		z= -0.7	
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	13	4	1	0	0	0
	72%	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.0	
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	8	8	2	0	0	0
	44%	44%	11%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 8.7		Dept= 8.9		z= -0.5	
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	6	3	0	0	1	8
	33%	17%	0%	0%	6%	44%
	Mean= 8.6		Dept= 8.9		z= -0.5	
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.2		z= 0.9	
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	11	5	0	0	0	2
	61%	28%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.4		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.3	
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	15	2	1	0	0	0
	83%	11%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.4		z= 0.5	
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	17	1	0	0	0	0
	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9		Dept= 9.4		z= 0.8	
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.6	
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	15	3	0	0	0	0
	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.6		z= 0.4	
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	15	3	0	0	0	0
	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.6		z= 0.3	
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	16	2	0	0	0	0
	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.7	
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	4	1	0	0	0	13
	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%	72%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 6.8		z= 0.7	
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	13	4	0	0	0	1
	72%	22%	0%	0%	0%	6%
	Mean= 9.5		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.6	

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	10	6	0	0	0	2
	56%	33%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.3		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.0	
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	14	2	0	0	0	2
	78%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.8		Dept= 9.3		z= 1.1	
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	13	3	0	0	0	2
	72%	17%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.7	
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	12	4	0	0	0	2
	67%	22%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.5		Dept= 9.0		z= 0.8	

Comparing 200-300 level

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 204 INTRO SOCIOLOGY U/07 41698

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 28; 18 students completed evaluations, or 64 percent of enrollment.

(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	8	0	3	6	0	1
	44%	0%	17%	33%	0%	6%
	Mean= 7.2 Dept= 8.3 z= -0.9					
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	15	2	0	1	0	0
	83%	11%	0%	6%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4 Dept= 9.5 z= -0.3					
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	18	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0 Dept= 9.6 z= 1.5					
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 8.8 z= 2.1					

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	13	4	1	0	0	0
	72%	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.0					
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	13	5	0	0	0	0
	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4 Dept= 9.6 z= -0.7					
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	13	4	1	0	0	0
	72%	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.0					
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	8	8	2	0	0	0
	44%	44%	11%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 8.7 Dept= 8.9 z= -0.5					
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	6	3	0	0	1	8
	33%	17%	0%	0%	6%	44%
	Mean= 8.6 Dept= 9.0 z= -0.7					
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.8					
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	11	5	0	0	0	2
	61%	28%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.4 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.3					
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	15	2	1	0	0	0
	83%	11%	6%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 9.4 z= 0.5					
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	17	1	0	0	0	0
	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9 Dept= 9.4 z= 0.7					
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	14	4	0	0	0	0
	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.6					
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	15	3	0	0	0	0
	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7 Dept= 9.6 z= 0.3					
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	15	3	0	0	0	0
	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7 Dept= 9.6 z= 0.3					
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	16	2	0	0	0	0
	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.4 z= 0.8					
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	4	1	0	0	0	13
	22%	6%	0%	0%	0%	72%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 6.0 z= 0.8					
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	13	4	0	0	0	1
	72%	22%	0%	0%	0%	6%
	Mean= 9.5 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.6					

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	10	6	0	0	0	2
	56%	33%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.3 Dept= 9.2 z= 0.2					
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	14	2	0	0	0	2
	78%	11%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.8 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.9					
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	13	3	0	0	0	2
	72%	17%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.6 Dept= 9.3 z= 0.6					
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	12	4	0	0	0	2
	67%	22%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	Mean= 9.5 Dept= 9.0 z= 0.7					

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 328 INTRO SOCIAL PSYCH U/03 41786

All

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 23; 14 students completed evaluations, or 61 percent of enrollment.
(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	6	0	2	6	0	0
	43%	0%	14%	43%	0%	0%
	Mean= 6.9	Dept= 8.4	z= -1.2			
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.2	z= 0.9			
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	11	2	1	0	0	0
	79%	14%	7%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4	Dept= 9.6	z= -0.7			
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	11	3	0	0	0	0
	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6	Dept= 9.1	z= 0.9			

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.3	z= 0.7			
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.4	z= 0.5			
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.2	z= 0.7			
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	7	4	3	0	0	0
	50%	29%	21%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 8.6	Dept= 8.8	z= -0.2			
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	8	3	1	0	0	2
	57%	21%	7%	0%	0%	14%
	Mean= 9.2	Dept= 8.8	z= 0.6			
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.3	z= 0.7			
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	8	2	1	0	0	3
	57%	14%	7%	0%	0%	21%
	Mean= 9.3	Dept= 9.3	z= 0.0			
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.3	z= 1.1			
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9	Dept= 9.4	z= 0.9			
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9	Dept= 9.3	z= 0.8			
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.5	z= 1.0			
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.4	z= 1.1			
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.4	z= 0.6			
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	13
	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	93%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.2	z= 0.8			
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	11	2	0	0	0	1
	79%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.2	z= 0.8			

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.0	z= 0.8			
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9	Dept= 9.3	z= 0.9			
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	11	3	0	0	0	0
	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6	Dept= 9.1	z= 0.7			
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7	Dept= 9.0	z= 1.1			

200-300 level

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 328 INTRO SOCIAL PSYCH U/03 41786

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 23; 14 students completed evaluations, or 61 percent of enrollment.

(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	6	0	2	6	0	0
	43%	0%	14%	43%	0%	0%
	Mean= 6.9		Dept= 7.7		z= -0.7	
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.8	
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	11	2	1	0	0	0
	79%	14%	7%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.4		Dept= 9.6		z= -0.7	
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	11	3	0	0	0	0
	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.7	

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.2		z= 1.0	
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.7	
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.8	
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	7	4	3	0	0	0
	50%	29%	21%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 8.6		Dept= 8.5		z= 0.1	
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	8	3	1	0	0	2
	57%	21%	7%	0%	0%	14%
	Mean= 9.2		Dept= 8.7		z= 1.1	
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.1	
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	8	2	1	0	0	3
	57%	14%	7%	0%	0%	21%
	Mean= 9.3		Dept= 9.2		z= 0.2	
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.2		z= 1.3	
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9		Dept= 9.3		z= 1.0	
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9		Dept= 9.0		z= 1.1	
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.5		z= 1.0	
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	14	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.3		z= 1.1	
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.3		z= 0.7	
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	13
	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	93%
	Mean=10.0		Dept= 9.1		z= 0.7	
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	11	2	0	0	0	1
	79%	14%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 9.0		z= 1.1	

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 8.7		z= 1.1	
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	13	1	0	0	0	0
	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.9		Dept= 9.1		z= 1.1	
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	11	3	0	0	0	0
	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.6		Dept= 9.0		z= 0.9	
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	12	2	0	0	0	0
	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.7		Dept= 8.7		z= 1.5	

All

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 204 INTRO SOCIOLOGY U/06 43624

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 7; 3 students completed evaluations, or 43 percent of enrollment.

(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	1	0	2	0	0	0
	33%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 7.3	Dept= 9.0	z= -1.8			
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	2	1	0	0	0	0
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3	Dept= 9.7	z= -1.9			
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.7	z= 1.5			
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 8.8	z= 2.2			

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	2	1	0	0	0	0
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3	Dept= 9.1	z= 0.3			
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.2	z= 0.9			
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	2	1	0	0	0	0
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 9.3	Dept= 8.9	z= 0.4			
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	1	1	0	1	0	0
	33%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%
	Mean= 7.3	Dept= 8.5	z= -1.5			
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	2	0	0	0	0	1
	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 8.8	z= 1.5			
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.0	z= 1.3			
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.0	z= 0.9			
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 8.9	z= 1.0			
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.3	z= 1.0			
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.1	z= 0.9			
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.4	z= 0.8			
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.4	z= 0.8			
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.2	z= 1.0			
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	2
	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	67%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 8.5	z= 1.1			
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.1	z= 1.1			

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 8.9	z= 1.2			
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.1	z= 0.8			
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	3	0	0	0	0	0
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean=10.0	Dept= 9.0	z= 1.1			
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	2	0	1	0	0	0
	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean= 8.7	Dept= 8.7	z= 0.0			

200-300 level

B. OLSZEWSKI, Graduate Teaching Fellow SOC 204 INTRO SOCIOLOGY U/06 43624

A = Exceptionally Good (10)
B = Good (8)
C = Average (6)
D = Below Average (4)
F = Unsatisfactory (2)

Third week enrollment: 7; 3 students completed evaluations, or 43 percent of enrollment.

(Course evaluations based on a small number or percent of enrollment may not be reliable.)

	A	B	C	D	E/F	NONE			
1. I took this course primarily as a: A = requirement for my major; B = requirement for my minor; C = group requirement; D = elective.	1	0	2	0	0	0			
	33%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	7.3	Dept= 8.7 z= -1.5
2. I attended class: A = nearly always; B = most of the time; C = half of the time; D = occasionally; E = rarely.	2	1	0	0	0	0			
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	9.3	Dept= 9.6 z= -1.3
3. By the final exam, I will have completed: A = all assigned material; B = 3/4; C = 2/3; D = 1/3.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.6 z= 1.7
4. In this course I expect the following grade: A = A; B = B; C = C; D = D; E = F/N.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.7 z= 2.1

FOR THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, USE A = Exceptionally Good, B = Good, C = Average, D = Below Average, E = Unsatisfactory.

5. Throughout the term, the direction of the course and the requirements and instructor's expectations were clear.	2	1	0	0	0	0			
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	9.3	Dept= 9.1 z= 0.2
6. The instructor emphasized comprehensive understanding of the course material rather than memorization of details.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.1 z= 0.8
7. The LECTURES were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	2	1	0	0	0	0			
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	9.3	Dept= 8.8 z= 0.4
8. The ASSIGNED READINGS were useful in helping me understand better the subject matter of the course.	1	1	0	1	0	0			
	33%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	Mean=	7.3	Dept= 8.4 z= -1.1
9. The lectures and readings in this course generally were NOT repetitious of the material covered in other sociology courses I have had at the UO. (Leave blank if not relevant.)	2	0	0	0	0	1			
	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.9 z= 1.2
10. The exams and/or other graded assignments comprehensively covered the lecture and reading material.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.0 z= 1.1
11. Class time devoted to discussions between the instructor and students was a valuable supplement to the lectures. (Leave blank if there were no discussions.)	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.9 z= 0.8
12. The instructor effectively communicated the concepts, principles and methods appropriate to the course.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.8 z= 0.8
13. The instructor was well prepared for class meetings.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.3 z= 0.9
14. The instructor stimulated my thinking about, and interest in, the subject matter covered in this course.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.9 z= 0.8
15. The instructor was approachable and willing to assist students.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.4 z= 0.7
16. The instructor was fair in dealing with students on a day-to-day basis.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.3 z= 0.8
17. The instructor appears to be a fair grader.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.2 z= 0.9
18. The teaching assistant was well prepared and able to stimulate thought and discussion. (Leave blank if there was no T.A. or if T.A. participation was not apparent.)	1	0	0	0	0	2			
	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	67%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.6 z= 0.9
19. The course requirements were useful, fair and reflect the content of the lectures and assignments.	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.0 z= 1.0

RESPONSES TO QUESTION 20-23 WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER STUDENTS AT A CENTRAL LOCATION.

20. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this course?	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.8 z= 1.0
21. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how do you evaluate this instructor?	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 9.0 z= 0.7
22. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, do you believe that the class time was well organized and efficiently used throughout the course?	3	0	0	0	0	0			
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	10.0	Dept= 8.9 z= 1.0
23. In comparison with other UO courses of this size and level, how well did the instructor encourage communication outside of class time?	2	0	1	0	0	0			
	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	Mean=	8.7	Dept= 8.5 z= 0.2

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: Sociology of Education

Term and Year: Summer 05

Instructor: Brandon Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon was an excellent instructor. He did a great job dissecting the reading material and his sense of humor made understanding concepts very easy. His focus on learning concepts and not memorization of mundane details was important to me. The class as a whole was awesome. It tied up a lot of ideas of race, class, gender, and put them into an education perspective. I learned a great deal in this course.

Signature

Jon Ray

Date

8/20/05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: Sociology of education

Term and Year: Summer '05

Instructor: Brandon Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon was an amazing instructor. He created a classroom environment that was comfortable and stimulating. I learned many valuable things from Brandon. Through out the course I had some struggles and Brandon was understanding and sympathetic. It was refreshing to be in a class where the instructor truly cared for his students. He is an excellent teacher.

Signature Stephanie Stanich

Date 8/8/05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: Soc of Education

Term and Year: SUMMER 105

Instructor: DISZEWSKI, B.

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon was one of my favorite instructors that I have had in my 4 years here at the U of O, class was always fun to attend & our class always had good conversation our class-time was never wasted in hearing what he had to say. I felt that I was being taught by a very knowledgeable informant & I had an awesome time in this class. It was not too easy & it pushed me to actually think about the subject. I feel like I actually learned a lot in this class & I thank him for that. Good work!

Signature Patricia Guadalupe

Date 6.9.2005

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: SOC of Education

Term and Year: SUM '05

Instructor: Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon was an interesting lecturer, I never fell asleep in class b/c he was knowledgeable, funny & interesting. The assigned readings were relevant & interesting. I would highly recommend him for teaching. Also I learned how to read. By this I learned to pick out the point in what I was reading & not worry about the bullshit. Highly useful → why didn't anyone explain this before?

Signature Clair Hubert

Date 8/8/05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: Soc. of ED


Term and Year: Summer 05

Instructor: Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon was extremely approachable and willing to take any time necessary, in class or during office hours, to ensure that students were able to comprehend the material. The use of group work also facilitated better understanding of the material.

Thank you

Signature 

Date 8.8.05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 491

Title: Soc. of Ed.

Term and Year: Summer 05

Instructor: Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Lectures and discussions were interesting as well as enjoyable. He was very well versed on course material. He's incredibly approachable and helpful outside of class. It was an educational as well as an entertaining experience.

Signature Jacqueline D. Anderson
Date 8/8/05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 4191


Title: Soc of Education

Term and Year: Sem 05

Instructor: Brandon Elsewshi

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon is one of the best instructors I have had in all of my classes at the U of O. He is well prepared and passionate about the subject as well as very thought provoking. His lecture style and choice of assigned readings lead to class involvement in open discussion of the material.

Signature 

Date 7/9/05

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: INTRO TO SOC.

Term and Year: S 07

Instructor: OLSZEWSKI

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

I FELT THAT BRANDON WAS AN OVERALL EXCELLENT INSTRUCTOR. I FEEL THAT I LEARNED A LARGE AMOUNT OF INFO, WHICH I WAS SUPRISED. MOST OF MY EXPERIENCE IN LOWER DIVISION CLASSES HAS BEEN LEARNING VOCAB. OR MERER CONCEPT. HOWEVER, I'M VERY SATISFIED THAT BRANDON INTRODUCED TOPICS NOT WITH MERELY VOCAB. BUT ALSO WITH GOOD CONCEPTS AS WELL. THE READING WAS GOOD, BUT I FEEL THE TEXTBOOK WAS UNNECESSARY. BRANDON TAUGHT CONCEPTS IN THE BOOK IN A MUCH BETTER WAY THAN THE WAY IT WAS LAYED OUT IN THE TEXT. ALL THE READINGS WERE VERY RELEVANT AND OVERALL I FEEL THIS COURSE OPENED ME UP TO NEW IDEAS + CONCEPTS THAT I REALLY NEVER THOUGHT YOU WOULD ABOUT.

Signature



Date

7/18/07

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: intro to soc

Term and Year: Summer 07

Instructor: OLSZEWski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

I liked this course you made it worth coming to. More than anything I learned from listening to you and writing notes because although you made sure we understood the reading by explaining and clearing anything confusing, you provided ~~examples~~ ^{that} for anything wasn't clear. You also involved the class and showed many videos that I thought were chosen wisely and were very educational. overall good instructor.

good luck in the future.

Signature Getachew Kassa

Date 7/18/07

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: Intro to SOC

Term and Year: Sum 07

Instructor: Brandon Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Overall, I really liked the class, all the material in lectures & all the readings were really interesting & I felt like the assignments & exams weren't busy work.

I thought Brandon was a particularly good teacher because of the fact that he acknowledged all students & took the time to know everyone. He was very objective about all comments & others' opinions which I think is important in a teacher.

One thing I would recommend would be to have more group work/discussions, because it gives others a chance to share & participate more versus in a larger group with the whole class.

Signature Kamela

Date 7/18/2007

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: Intro to Soc

Term and Year: Summer '07

Instructor: Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

I would recommend this professor because of his consideration for the students. He was entertaining and knowledgeable. More class discussion, small group ^{work} could have been beneficial to the course. Exams were fair and readings important to the content of the class. A very good professor in the making; he just could use a little more experience and organization.

Signature Ann Gossman

Date 7/18/07

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: Intro to Soc

Term and Year: Summer '07

Instructor: Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

I really enjoyed this class because it opened my eyes to subjects I never really thought about. I feel like I really learned a lot in this course about different aspects of our culture. The material was presented in a way that was interesting and non-biased.

Signature 

Date 7/18/07

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 328

Title: Intro. to Social Psychology

Term and Year: Summer 03

Instructor: Brandon Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Soc. 328 was the best soc. class I have taken and I am a sociology major. The method of teaching that Brandon used was very beneficial when it came to the exams. I would recommend him to others as an instructor. I felt that not only was the class very interesting but it was also fun and it was always a relaxed atmosphere. Students comments were never criticized or ignored. I am so happy that I took this course I learned a lot and I always wanted to attend class.

I would vote for Brandon for a teaching award!

Signature Melissa Hawk

Date 8-13-03

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 328

Title: Soc. Psych

Term and Year: Sum. '03

Instructor: Brandon Olsewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon is the most pationate teacher I have ever had @ this school. Compared to my other 2 teachers this summer he is an A+. He is open to everyones ideas & opinions. His desire for everyone in class to do their best is great. He is always available for discussion after class & will even meet somewhere at your convenience to discuss class stuff.

Signature

Brandon Olsewski

Date

August 12, 2003

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 328

Title: Intro to Social Psychology

Term and Year: Summer 03

Instructor: Brandon Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Brandon has an obvious strong base of knowledge, and a wonderful personal mantray/perspective ~~toward~~ with which he approaches his work and teaching methods. I would have liked to see more development of sociological concepts (though, this was an intro class, so concepts were well explored for an introductory base of knowledge) I would be very interested to see his teaching styles in a more engaged, non-compressed summer session setting. Well done.

Signature Ben Wilson

Date Aug 13, 2003

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY

Course #: SOC 204

Title: Intro To Sociology

Term and Year: Summer '06

Instructor: Branden Olszewski

USE THIS SHEET to provide any other comments you might want to, such as why you would or would not recommend this professor and course, how the course might be improved, your reactions to the readings, exams, etc. DO NOT WRITE ON THE ANSWER SHEET. Your written comments are given to the professor after the term is over. If you wish these comments to be part of the professor's permanent record, they must be signed by you. Do not sign the answer sheet.

Branden was very engaging, informative and funny. His lectures were always interesting. The assignments were fun and the tests helped me synthesize what I learned in class. I'm glad I took this class and plan on taking more soc. classes now.

Signature

Caroline King

Date

Aug. 15, 2006

10 December 2002

To whom it may concern,


Brandon Olszewski has been my discussion leader for Sociology 207, Social Inequality, during this past term, Winter 2002. I have taken many sociology classes and found that many of the discussion sections were not effective, this was not the case. Brandon not only demonstrated an understanding for the subject matter, but also was able to effectively elaborate upon all points brought up in the lecture. He came prepared for discussion with questions and activities that demonstrated the subject matter.

Brandon was very understanding, and worked with me when I was not able to attend class due to health issues. He not only kept me informed, but also allowed me to attend different discussions so that I would not miss any information.

I feel that I was able to understand the lecture better because of Brandon and the discussions that took place in class, and do not think that I would have been able to grasp some the concepts without his insight.

Thank You.

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Arryn Clark', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Arryn Clark