

Useful lemma \Rightarrow $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$, $sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, $so_n(\mathbb{C})$ all are semisimple.
 $(n \geq 2)$ $(n \geq 1)$ $(n \geq 3)$

Easy: actually
a simple Lie algebra

$$sl_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong sp_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong so_3(\mathbb{C})$$

↑
"non-Abelian simple"

$$K: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Another important criterion for semisimplicity.

Def The Killing form on a f.d. Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the bilinear form K
 $ad: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$
 $ad(x)(y) = [x, y]$
 defined from $K(x, y) = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(ad(x) \circ ad(y))$

• $K(x, y) = K(y, x)$ symmetric

• $K([x, y], z) = K(x, [y, z])$ associative invariant
 $LHS = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(ad([x, y]) \circ ad(z) - ad(y) \circ ad(x) \circ ad(z))$

Equivalently,

$$K(ad(y)(x), z) + K(x, ad(y)(z)) = 0$$

Says the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on itself leaves K invariant.

$$RHS = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(ad(x) \circ ad(y) \circ ad(z) - ad(x) \circ ad(z) \circ ad(y))$$

These are equal \checkmark .

Invariance $\Rightarrow \text{rad } K = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid K(x, y) = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathfrak{g} \}$

is an ideal of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

(eg) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ e, h, f $\text{ad } e = \begin{bmatrix} e & h & f \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\text{ad } f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\therefore K(e, f) = \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 4$$

$$\text{ad } h = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K(h, h) = \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = 8$$

\Rightarrow Gram matrix of K $\begin{bmatrix} e & h & f \\ 0 & 8 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

\therefore Non-degenerate.

There's another less painful way to get a bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$

This is the trace form $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\tau(x, y) = \text{tr}_V(x \circ y)$

(eg) $h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\tau(h, h) = 2$$

Again, τ is symmetric and invariant, so its radical is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . So $\text{rad } \tau = 0$, i.e., τ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Actually $K = t\tau$ some $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof Consider the bilinear form $t\tau - K$. Let $G_t = tG_\tau - G_K$ be its Gram matrix in some basis. $\det G_t = t^{(\dim \mathfrak{g})^2} \det G_\tau + (\text{lower powers})$
 $\neq 0 \quad \neq 0$

Pick t to be a root, so $t\tau - K$ is degenerate, hence $\equiv 0$.

This shows $K = t\tau$ //

We're using the natural representation of \mathfrak{g} on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ instead of adjoint representation.

Theorem of any f.d. Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Then \mathfrak{g} is semisimple \iff K , Killing form on \mathfrak{g} , is non-degenerate.

Proof Depends on

Cartan's criterion for solvability. If $\mathfrak{g} \leq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, V f.d., and $\chi(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then \mathfrak{g} is solvable.

(Proof next time!)

Assuming this, let's prove current theorem.

Let $\mathfrak{n} = \text{rad } K \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, show $\mathfrak{n} = 0$.

$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$, so $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$. Apply Cartan's criterion to $\mathfrak{n} \leq \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ to see that \mathfrak{n} is solvable, hence $\mathfrak{n} = 0$ ✓

Conversely, assume $\mathfrak{n} = 0$. We must show \mathfrak{g} is semisimple.

Let \mathfrak{b} be a non-zero solvable ideal, get a contradiction.

Let α be last non-zero term in derived series of \mathfrak{b} .

Then $0 \neq \alpha \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ and α is Abelian.

Take $x \in \alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\underbrace{\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y \circ \text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y}_{\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y} : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_y} \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_x} \alpha \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_y} \alpha \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_x} 0$$

$$\circ \text{ hence } (\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y)^2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y \text{ is nilpotent, } \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y) = 0$$

$$K(x, y) = 0$$

Shows $\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{n} = 0$ ~~///~~

Digression Jordan decomposition in linear algebra. ← any alg.-closed field $o k$

Theorem Let V be a f.d. vector space over \mathbb{C} , $x \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$.

Then $\exists!$ $x_s, x_n \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ s.t.

① x_s is semisimple (\equiv diagonalizable \equiv min. poly. of x_s has distinct linear factors)

② x_n is nilpotent

③ $x = x_s + x_n$

④ x_s and x_n commute

$x_s =$ The semisimple part of x

$x_n =$ The nilpotent part of x

Moreover, there are polynomials $p(t), q(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with $p(0) = q(0) = 0$ such that $x_s = p(x)$ and $x_n = q(x)$.

Proof. Uniqueness Suppose x_s, x_n are as in Theorem, let x'_s, x'_n be

more endomorphisms satisfying ①-④. As $x_s = p(x)$, x'_s commutes

$$x = x_s + x_n = x'_s + x'_n$$

$$\therefore x_s - x'_s = x'_n - x_n$$

with x_s . Similarly x'_n commutes with x_n . So $x_s - x'_s$ is semisimple and $x'_n - x_n$ is nilpotent.

Hence, $x_S - x_S^1 = x_n^1 - x_n = 0$ ✓

Existence Pick a basis so x is in $J \cdot N \cdot F$.

$$x = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \lambda & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda \end{matrix} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \begin{matrix} \mu & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mu \end{matrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \right]$$

Take $x_S =$ "diagonal part" $= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \lambda & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda \end{matrix} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \begin{matrix} \mu & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mu \end{matrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \right]$

$x_n =$ "upper triangular part" $= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} 0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{matrix} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \begin{matrix} 0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 \end{matrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array} \right]$

Clearly commute, $x = x_S + x_n$, x_S is diagonal, x_n is nilpotent ✓

But need final part... $x_S = p(x)$, $x_n = q(x)$

Let $\chi(t) = \prod_{i=1}^k (t - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$ be the characteristic polynomial of x

distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$.

Let $V_i = \ker (x - \lambda_i \cdot \text{id})^{n_i}$ generalized λ_i -eigenspace of x

$$V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k.$$

C.R.T. $\Rightarrow \exists p(t)$ so $p(t) \equiv \lambda_i \pmod{(t - \lambda_i)^{n_i}} \forall i$
and $p(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$
so constant term of p is zero.

$$p(x) \big|_{V_i} = \lambda_i \cdot \text{id}_{V_i}$$

$$\text{So } p(x) = x_{\mathbb{F}}$$

$$\text{Let } q(t) = t - p(t) \text{ so } x_n = q(x) //$$

Discussion

If you have $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, V f.d.

\downarrow
 x ... have $x_s, x_n \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$

Do x_s, x_n lie in the subspace \mathfrak{g} ? NOT IN GENERAL

We'll show it IS true if \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple Lie algebra.

$$\text{(eg)} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$x = x_s + x_n \quad \text{tr}(x) = 0, \quad \text{tr}(x_n) = 0 \implies \text{tr}(x_s) = 0$$

$$\text{so } x_n, x_s \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Cheap argument in this case!

Given that they do, what happens if you have some other representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V')$, V' f.d.?

Is it true that $f(x_s) = f(x)_s$, $f(x_n) = f(x)_n$?

Again we'll prove this (for semisimple \mathfrak{g}).

Ⓞ $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $f = \text{ad}$.

$\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$

If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, we already know $\text{ad } x$ is nilpotent too ✓
 $\lambda_x - f_x$

If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, also true that $\text{ad } x$ is semisimple.

WLOG $x = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Then $(\text{ad } x)e_{ij} = (t_i - t_j)e_{ij}$. $\therefore \text{ad } x$ is diagonal in basis of matrix units.

$f(\text{semisimple } x)$
is semisimple,
 $f(\text{nilpotent } x)$
is nilpotent.