

Root system R , base $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$, $c_{ij} = (d_i, d_j^\vee)$
Cartan integers

Serre's Theorem! Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra with gens e_i, h_i, f_i ($i=1, \dots, \ell$) and relations below. Then \mathfrak{g} is a f.d. simple Lie algebra with maximal toral subalgebra $\mathbb{Z} = \langle h_1, \dots, h_\ell \rangle$ and corresponding root system R .

Proof part I: Consequences of (S1) - (S3)

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be generated by $\hat{e}_i, \hat{h}_i, \hat{f}_i$ ($i=1, \dots, \ell$) subject to relations (S1) - (S3).

Let $V = \mathbb{C} \langle x_1, \dots, x_\ell \rangle$ tensor algebra

Make $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow V \dots$

Note V is spanned by monomials $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$.

$$(S1) \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0$$

$$(S2) \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i$$

$$(S3) \quad [h_i, e_j] = s_{ji} e_j \quad [h_i, f_j] = -s_{ji} f_j$$

$$(S_{ij}^+) \quad (\text{ad } e_i)^{1-s_{ji}}(e_j) = 0$$

$$(S_{ij}^-) \quad (\text{ad } f_i)^{1-s_{ji}}(f_j) = 0 \quad \left. \vphantom{(S_{ij}^-)} \right\} c \neq j$$

$$\hat{h}_j \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} = - (c_{i_1 j} + \dots + c_{i_r j}) x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$$

sum is zero if $r=0$
sum is zero if $r=1$

$$\hat{f}_j \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} = x_j x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}$$

$$\hat{e}_j \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} = x_{i_1} (\hat{e}_j \cdot x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r}) - \delta_{i_1 j} (c_{i_2 j} + \dots + c_{i_r j}) x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r}$$

defined recursively!
 $\hat{e}_j \cdot 1 = 0$

Check (S1) - (S3) hold so this action is well-defined. Induction exercise.

(eg) $\hat{e}_j \hat{f}_j - \hat{f}_j \hat{e}_j = \hat{h}_j$?

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{e}_j \hat{f}_j - \hat{f}_j \hat{e}_j) \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} &= \hat{e}_j (x_j x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}) - x_j (\hat{e}_j \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}) \\ &= - (c_{i_1 j} + \dots + c_{i_r j}) x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} = \hat{h}_j \cdot x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} \end{aligned}$$

Other rels ...

Now we act on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow V$ to prove --

Lemma 1) $\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_\ell$ span an ℓ -dimensional Abelian subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$,

and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \underbrace{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^-}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{subalg. gen'd by } \hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_\ell}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{z}} \oplus \underbrace{\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+}_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ \text{subalg. gen'd by } \hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_\ell}}$ as a vector space.

Proof Let $\varphi: \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be representation above.

Observe $\varphi|_{\hat{\mathfrak{z}}}$ is injective. If $\sum a_j \hat{h}_j \in \ker \varphi$, act

Abelian subalg. spanned by $\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_\ell$ on x_i to deduce that

$$\sum a_j \underbrace{c_{ij}}_{\text{Cartan matrix}} = 0 \quad \forall i$$

Cartan matrix is invertible by non-degeneracy of form

$$\therefore a_j = 0 \quad \forall j \quad \checkmark$$

By relation, $\hat{e}_i, \hat{h}_i, \hat{f}_i$ span a quotient of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\hat{h}_i \neq 0$, it's not zero quotient. As $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ is simple, it follows that

$$\langle \hat{e}_i, \hat{h}_i, \hat{f}_i \rangle \cong sl_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Now show $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{n}}^- \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{z}} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+$. Notation
[abcd] = [a[b[cd]]]

Use induction on r , (S1) - (S3) and Jacobi to show ...

$$[\hat{h}_j [\hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_i]] = (s_{j_1} + \dots + s_{j_r}) [\hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_i]$$

$$[\hat{h}_j [\hat{f}_i, \dots, \hat{f}_i]] = -(s_{j_1} + \dots + s_{j_r}) [\hat{f}_i, \dots, \hat{f}_i]$$

$$[\hat{f}_j [\hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_i]] = \hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+ \quad \text{if } r \geq 2$$

$$[\hat{e}_j [\hat{f}_i, \dots, \hat{f}_i]] \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}^- \quad \text{if } r \geq 2.$$

It follows that $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}^- + \hat{\mathfrak{z}} + \hat{\mathfrak{n}}^+$ is actually a Lie subalgebra

Finally need to show $+$ is actually \oplus , but that follows by considering

the decomposition into $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}$ -weight spaces. //

$$\text{Now } \hat{e}_{ij} = (\text{ad } \hat{e}_i)^{1-c_{ji}}(\hat{e}_j), \quad \hat{f}_{ij} = (\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^{1-c_{ji}}(\hat{f}_j) \quad i \neq j.$$

Elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

$$\text{Lemma 2} \quad [\hat{e}_k, \hat{f}_{ij}] = [\hat{f}_k, \hat{e}_{ij}] = 0 \quad \forall k, i \neq j.$$

Proof Do \nearrow first one.

$(k \neq i)$ $[\hat{e}_k, \hat{f}_i] = 0$ so $\text{ad } \hat{e}_k$ and $\text{ad } \hat{f}_i$ commute.

$$\therefore \text{ad } \hat{e}_k(\hat{f}_{ij}) = (\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^{1-c_{ji}}([\hat{e}_k, \hat{f}_j]) = 0 \quad \forall i, k \neq j$$

$$\text{and if } k=j: = (\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^{1-c_{ji}}(\hat{h}_j) = (\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^{-c_{ji}}(\hat{f}_i) \cdot (-c_{ji})$$

If $c_{ji} = 0$ it's zero 'cos of the scalar, if $c_{ji} < 0$ it's doubly zero.

$(k=i)$ Let $\hat{S}_i = \langle \hat{e}_i, \hat{h}_i, \hat{f}_i \rangle \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. $\text{ad } \hat{e}_i(\hat{f}_j) = 0$ as $i \neq j$.

Shows \hat{f}_j is highest weight vector for \hat{S}_i of weight $\lambda = -c_{ji}$.

$$(\text{ad } \hat{e}_i)(\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^m(\hat{f}_j) = m(\lambda - m + 1)(\text{ad } \hat{f}_i)^{m-1}(\hat{f}_j)$$

So it's zero when $m = 1 - c_{ji}$ //

Proof part II : Convergence of S_{ij}^{\pm} .

Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} / K$ where K is ideal gen'd by $\widehat{e}_{ij}, \widehat{f}_{ij}$ ($i \neq j$)
meant to be f.d. $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is usually ∞ dimensional here

Lemma 3 $K = \overline{I} + I^{\dagger}$ where \overline{I} ideal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{-}$ gen'd by \widehat{f}_{ij}
 I^{\dagger} " " $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{+}$ " " \widehat{e}_{ij} .

Proof

Show I^{\pm} is actually an ideal of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by checking its invariance under bracketing with all generators of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Uses Lemma 2.

Then you get that $K = \overline{I} + I^{\dagger}$ as the RHS contains all the

generators of K ~~_____~~

Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{-} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{+}$, deduce

Moreover \mathbb{Z} is 1-dimensional.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} / I = \underbrace{\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{-} / \overline{I}}_{\mathfrak{n}^{-}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \underbrace{\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}^{+} / I^{\dagger}}_{\mathfrak{n}^{+}}$$

Also the $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ argument made earlier shows $\mathfrak{g}_i = \langle e_i, h_i, f_i \rangle \cong sl_2(\mathbb{C})$

Now for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, let $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \lambda(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Identify $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ by $\alpha(h_i) = (\alpha, \alpha_i^\vee)$ as usual.

\uparrow
 $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{E}$ This is the form on \mathbb{E} .

Lemma 4 For $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, \mathfrak{g}_λ is non-zero if and only if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

in which case \mathfrak{g}_λ is 1-D.

(so we "split" Cartan decomposition, $\dim \mathfrak{g} = |\mathbb{R}| + |\Delta| < \infty$)

Proof THE MOST INTERESTING PLACE!

Consider $\text{ad } e_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

Claim: This is locally nilpotent.

Let $M = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \ker(\operatorname{ad} e_i)^n$. Need to show $M = \mathfrak{g}$.

Check that M is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then note all generators of \mathfrak{g} lie in M , hence, $M = \mathfrak{g}$ \checkmark .

Hence, it makes sense to define a linear map

$$\begin{cases} e_k \in M & \text{by } (S_{ij}^+) \\ f_k \in M & \text{by } (S_3) \\ h_k \in M & \text{by } (S_2) \end{cases}$$

$$\exp(\operatorname{ad} e_i) = 1 + \operatorname{ad} e_i + \frac{(\operatorname{ad} e_i)^2}{2!} + \frac{(\operatorname{ad} e_i)^3}{3!} + \dots \quad \circlearrowleft \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

In fact, it's an automorphism, with inverse $\exp(-\operatorname{ad} e_i)$.

So we can define

$$\theta_i := \exp(\operatorname{ad} e_i) \exp(\operatorname{ad} (f_i)) \exp(\operatorname{ad} e_i) \quad \circlearrowleft \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

Now you show

$$\theta_i(\mathfrak{g}_\lambda) = \mathfrak{g}_{s_i(\lambda)}$$

$s_i \in W$ simple reflection
 $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - (\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) \alpha_i$

So $\dim \sigma_\lambda = \dim \sigma_{s_i(\lambda)} \quad \forall i \in I$

$\dim \sigma_\lambda = \dim \sigma_{w(\lambda)} \quad \forall w \in W$

Now σ_{α_i} is 1-D spanned by e_i — even true if $\hat{\sigma}$.

Since every root is conjugate under W to a simple root, it follows

that $\dim \sigma_\alpha = 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in R.$

Similarly you see that $\dim \sigma_{c\alpha} = 0$ unless $c = \pm 1$, for $\alpha \in R.$

Finally we need to show $\dim \sigma_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ not a

multiple of any root.

If $\sigma_\lambda \neq 0$ then $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \alpha_i$ all $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
 $\underline{\underline{\text{or}}}$ all $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$

$\sigma = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+.$

Now appeal to:

HW8-Q6 If $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i \alpha_i$ all $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and not a multiple of any root,

then $\exists w \in W$ s.t. $w(\lambda)$ has both positive and negative coefficients when written in terms of simple roots.

This completes the proof //

Finally we can finish the proof of Serre's Theorem' ...

Let's show σ_3 is semisimple. It suffices to show any Abelian ideal

$A \cap \sigma_3$ is zero.

Consider

$$A = (A \cap \tau) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} (A \cap \sigma_{\alpha}) .$$

If some $A \cap \mathfrak{a} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$. But A is maximal
 under those \mathfrak{a}_i 's ... so some $\mathfrak{a}_{d_i} \subseteq A$.

$\therefore e_i \in A$ Then bracket with f_i to get $e_i, h_i, f_i \in A$,

but contradicts A being Abelian

So $A \subseteq Z$. $[A, \mathfrak{a}] = 0$.

So $A \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in R} \ker \alpha = 0$. ✓

Final step. Ideals form an Z^* coming from Killing form
 with original form coming from E .

Killing form has $K(h_i, h_j) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \alpha(h_i) \alpha(h_j)$

$$K(\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\alpha, \alpha_i^\vee) (\alpha, \alpha_j^\vee)$$

K gives us a W -invariant inner product on E

$$K(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\alpha, \lambda)(\alpha, \mu)$$

But such a form is unique up to rescaling by positive reals on each indecomposable component (due irreducibility of E as RW -module when root system is indecomposable).

