Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) that exhibit both high surface area and electrical applications are emerging as a new class of materials whose applications reach beyond those typical of porous solids. Reports of electrically conductive MOFs in the last few years have addressed both the fundamentals: the nature of the charge carriers and the mechanism of transport, and the applications: supercapacitors, electrocatalysis, chemiresistive sensing, and thermoelectrics among others. Certain design principles have emerged from these studies, focused for instance on targeting either band-like or hopping conductors, yet some of the most basic questions governing electrical conduction in MOFs are still poorly understood. Most obvious among these is the influence of the metal ions on either the band structure of the underlying material or the charge density.

In our previous work we have shown that in two isostructural MOFs made from Mn and Fe, the latter leads to considerably improved electrical conductivity by up to six orders of magnitude. Additionally, the Fe analogs of M(1,2,3-triazolate)₂ (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺), H₄DOBDC (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺), and M(TCNQ) (4,4'-bpy) (M = Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺; TCNQ = 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane; 4,4'-bpy = 4,4'-bipyridyl) were reported as being electrically conductive, although the electrical conductivity in the other analogs was not reported. These isolated reports led us to believe that Fe may play an important and unique role in promoting electrical conductivity in MOFs. Here, we compare four structurally distinct classes of MOFs, totalling twenty different materials made from eight different metal ions (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺) and show that Fe does indeed enable high electrical conductivity in Fe-containing frameworks.

To ascertain the influence of the metal cation on electrical conductivity systematically, we targeted MOFs that feature a broad array of chemical connectivity and composition. Four families of materials that provide this breadth are M₂(DOBDCC)(DMF)₂ (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺), H₄DOBDC (M = Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺), M₂Cl₂(BTDD)(DMF)₂ (M = Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺; H₄BTDD = bis(1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b][4,5-c]dibenzo[1,4]dioxin), and M₁₂,₃-triazolatol₂ (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺). This comprehensive study allows us to single-out iron as the metal ion that leads to the best electrical properties. The iron-based MOFs exhibit at least five orders of magnitude higher electrical conductivity and significantly smaller charge activation energies across all different MOF families studied here and stand out materials made from all other metal ions considered here. We attribute the unique electrical properties of iron-based MOFs to the high-energy valence electrons of Fe²⁺ and the Fe³+/²⁺ mixed valency. These results reveal that incorporating Fe²⁺ in the charge transport pathways of MOFs and introducing mixed valency are valuable strategies for improving electrical conductivity in this important class of porous materials.

Identifying the metal ions that optimize charge transport and charge density in metal–organic frameworks is critical for systematic improvements in the electrical conductivity in these materials. In this work, we measure the electrical conductivity and activation energy for twenty different MOFs pertaining to four distinct structural families: M₂(DOBDCC)(DMF)₂ (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺); H₄DOBDC = 2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid; DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide), M₂(DSBDCC)(DMF)₂ (M = Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺; H₄DSBDC = 2,5-disulphohydroxybenzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid), M₂Cl₂(BTDD)(DMF)₂ (M = Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺; H₄BTDD = bis(1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b][4,5-c]dibenzo[1,4]dioxin), and M₁₂,₃-triazolatol₂ (M = Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺). This comprehensive study allows us to single-out iron as the metal ion that leads to the best electrical properties. The iron-based MOFs exhibit at least five orders of magnitude higher electrical conductivity and significantly smaller charge activation energies across all different MOF families studied here and stand out materials made from all other metal ions considered here. We attribute the unique electrical properties of iron-based MOFs to the high-energy valence electrons of Fe²⁺ and the Fe³+/²⁺ mixed valency. These results reveal that incorporating Fe²⁺ in the charge transport pathways of MOFs and introducing mixed valency are valuable strategies for improving electrical conductivity in this important class of porous materials.
The first three families of MOFs display honeycomb structures with 1D tubular pores, whereas the $\text{M}(1,2,3$-triazolate)$_2$ materials exhibit cubic structures with three-dimensional pore networks. The metal ions in all these MOFs are formally divalent and octahedrally coordinated (Fig. 1 and S2†).

**Experimental results**

All Mn$^{2+}$-, Fe$^{2+}$-, and Co$^{2+}$-based materials were synthesized under air-free conditions. Literature procedures were available for all materials studied here, with the exception of Fe$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD)(DMF)$_2$ (MIT-20-Fe), which was synthesized by adapting a strategy similar to the preparation of the Mn, Co, and Ni analogs. Its structure was assigned on the basis of powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis, which revealed a pattern that matches those of the other analogs (Fig. S3c†). To ensure consistency, all MOFs were soaked successively in dry and degassed DMF and dichloromethane (DCM) under air-free conditions, and evacuated at 100 °C under vacuum for 2 h. The evacuated materials were kept in a N$_2$-filled glovebox. PXRD and elemental analyses confirmed that all materials retain their structural and compositional integrity as well as phase purity during these manipulations (Fig. S3†). As reported previously, Fe$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$ undergoes a spontaneous structural distortion (i.e. a “breathing” deformation) but maintains its connectivity. Infrared (IR) spectroscopy revealed vibrational modes at approximately 1650 cm$^{-1}$ for M$_2$(DOBDC)(DMF)$_2$, M$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$, and M$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD)(DMF)$_2$, confirming that bound DMF completes the octahedral coordination environment of the metal ions in these materials (Fig. S4†).

Because some of the MOF crystallites were too small for single crystal studies, electrical properties were measured on pressed pellets in all cases using the standard two-contact probe method at 300 K, under a N$_2$ atmosphere, and in the dark. PXRD analysis of the pressed pellets revealed patterns that match those of the original materials (Fig. S5†). Plots of the observed current density ($J$) versus electric field strength ($E$) for all MOFs are shown in Fig. S6,† and the electrical conductivity values are summarized in Fig. 2 and Table S1.† The Fe-based MOFs exhibit electrical conductivity on the order of $10^{-8}$–$10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$, whereas the observed electrical conductivity in all other MOFs is six orders of magnitude lower, on the order of $10^{-14}$–$10^{-12}$ S cm$^{-1}$.

To understand the influence of Fe on the electronic structures of these MOFs, we measured the activation energy ($E_a$) for each material by collecting current–voltage (I–V) curves between 300 K and 350 K under vacuum and in the dark (Fig. S7–S26†). Plotting the electrical conductivity versus temperature for each MOF indicated thermally activated electrical conduction in all cases (Fig. S27†). The activation energies were extracted by fitting the electrical conductivity–temperature relationships to the Arrhenius law (see ESI†), and are summarized in Fig. 3 and Table S2.† Here again, we found that the Fe analogs exhibit significantly smaller activation energies than the MOFs based on the other metal ions.
Surmising that the oxidation and spin state of the Fe centers could affect electrical conductivity, we investigated all Fe-based MOFs by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. At 80 K, the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Fe$_2$(DOBDC)(DMF)$_2$, Fe$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$, and Fe$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD)(DMF)$_2$ (Fig. 4) display doublets with isomer shifts $\delta = 1.318$, 1.172, and 1.099 mm s$^{-1}$, and quadrupole splittings $|D_Q| = 2.749$, 3.218, and 1.923 mm s$^{-1}$, respectively. These isomer shifts can be unambiguously assigned to high-spin ($S = 2$) Fe$^{2+}$ centers. At 80 K, the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum of Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ exhibits a singlet with $\delta = 0.384$ mm s$^{-1}$ and no quadrupole splitting. The singlet feature, characteristic of high symmetry ($O_h$) Fe centers, persists at 298 K although $\delta$ decreases slightly to 0.336 mm s$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4). Isomer shift values in the range 0.3–0.4 mm s$^{-1}$ can be assigned to either Fe$^{3+}$ or low-spin ($S = 0$) Fe$^{2+}$. We assign this singlet to low-spin ($S = 0$) Fe$^{2+}$ because elemental analysis for Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ agrees with a majority of Fe$^{2+}$. However, we cannot rule out the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ that are not detectable by $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (under our conditions, we estimate the sensitivity at approximately 1%).

To further probe the possible existence of Fe$^{3+}$, we performed electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) experiments, which are sensitive to ppm-level concentrations of Fe$^{3+}$ under our conditions. The EPR spectrum of Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ displayed a broad signal at $g = 2.0$ and a sharp signal at $g = 4.3$ (Fig. 5). These are diagnostic of high-spin ($S = 5/2$) Fe$^{3+}$ centers. Although EPR spectra of Fe$_2$(DOBDC)(DMF)$_2$, Fe$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$, and Fe$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD)(DMF)$_2$ revealed only very broad signals, likely due to significant spin–spin relaxation stemming from closely connected high-spin Fe$^{3+}$ ions, these materials are even more air-sensitive...
Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ was 248, 83, 365, and 443 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. S31, Table S3†), in line with previous reports and the values expected for each structural type.\footnote{12}

### Electronic structure calculations

To further probe the influence of Fe on the electrical properties of MOFs, we evaluated the electronic structures of the M$_2$(DOBDC), M$_2$(DSBDC), and M(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ families using density functional theory (DFT) calculations.\footnote{18} The unit cell of the M$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD) family proved too large and we were unable to compute its properties with reasonable computational resources. Owing to the structural similarities between the infinite Fe-based chains in Fe$_2$(DEBDC) ($E = O, S$) and Fe$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD) we infer that computational results from the former may be extended to understand the latter. In most cases, our studies yielded intuitive electron energies as presented in Fig. 7.\footnote{30} One intriguing exception was found for the electronic structure of Co$_2$(DOBDC): previous reports computed with the PBEsol functional showed a ground state high-spin ($S = 3/2$) electronic structure. In our hands, PBEsol indeed converges to a high-spin structure, but higher level computational analysis with the HSE06 functional surprisingly revealed the contrary: a high-spin Co$^{3+}$ structure did not converge, and a stable minimum was found only for the low-spin ($S = 1/2$) configuration. This could be due to the systematic differences in equations of state that arise from the use of different functionals.\footnote{32} We could not probe this hypothesis given the extremely expensive calculation required to geometrically optimize the Co$^{3+}$-containing MOF with a hybrid functional.

A summary of the band alignments and accompanying projected density of states (PDOS) of the computed MOFs are presented in Fig. 7. The band structures for the M(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ materials are superimposed over the schematic band alignment diagrams, to depict the electronic bandwidth. The valence band (VB) maximum energy ($E_{\text{VBM}}$), conduction band (CB) minimum energy ($E_{\text{CBM}}$), and band gap ($E_g$) of each MOF are listed in Table S4.\footnote{33} The energy levels were referenced to an internal level using a method reported previously.\footnote{26}

In the M$_2$(DOBDC) family, closed-shell ions, Mg$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$, contribute little to either VB or CB (Fig. 7a). In contrast, open-shell ions, Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$, participate in both VB and CB. More electronegative metal ions, such as Cu$^{2+}$, contribute to a greater extent to the CB and also lower $E_{\text{CBM}}$, whereas more electropositive metals have greater contribution to the VB and raise $E_{\text{VBM}}$. For instance, Fe-based orbitals dominate the VB of Fe$_2$(DOBDC), which also exhibits the highest $E_{\text{VBM}}$ ($-5.2$ eV) and the smallest band gap ($E_g = 2.0$ eV) in this family. Cu-based orbitals dominate the CB of Cu$_2$-(DOBDC), which exhibits the lowest $E_{\text{CBM}}$ ($-3.9$ eV) and the second smallest band gap ($E_g = 2.2$ eV). All other MOFs in this family exhibit $E_g$ of approximately 3 eV. These results are qualitatively consistent with the experimental observation that the activation energy of Fe$_2$(DOBDC) is smaller than those of other analogues.

The trends observed for M$_2$(DOBDC) are reproduced in the M$_2$(DSBDC) family. In Fe$_2$(DSBDC) $E_{\text{VBM}}$ is increased by 0.5 eV...
and $E_{\text{CBM}}$ is decreased by 0.5 eV relative to the Mn analog, together giving rise to 1.0 eV difference between the $E_g$ values of the two materials (Fig. 7b). This is in line with the smaller activation energy observed experimentally for the Fe analog.

In the M(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ family, closed-shell ions again give bands of different parentage than the open-shell ions. Thus, Mg$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Cd$^{2+}$ do not participate in the VB or CB, which are primarily ligand-based and give rise to similar band gaps for the respective MOFs ($E_g = 5.5–5.9$ eV) (Fig. 7c). On the other hand, the PDOS for the Mn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$ analogs show that metal-based orbitals dominate both VB and CB, with negligible contribution from ligand-based orbitals. Charge carriers in these materials must therefore be localized on the metal ions. As in M$_2$(DOBDC) and M$_2$(DSBDC), $E_{\text{VBM}}$ and $E_{\text{CBM}}$ are determined by the electronegativity of the metal ions: Mn(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ exhibits the highest $E_{\text{VBM}}$ ($-4.6$ eV), and Cu(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ exhibits the lowest $E_{\text{CBM}}$ ($-3.0$ eV) and the smallest band gap ($E_g = 2.3$ eV). These trends qualitatively agree with the activation energies determined experimentally: the Mg$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Cd$^{2+}$ materials exhibit similar activation energies that are generally higher than those of the open-shell systems.

At first glance, Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ appears to be anomalous in this family because its computed $E_g$ is large, which should give rise to high $E_a$ and low intrinsic electrical conductivity, in direct contrast with its experimentally determined low $E_a$ and high electrical conductivity. The computational result appears to be particularly unusual given that the Fe$^{3+}$ centers in this material are low-spin ($S = 0$), and are therefore unlikely to contribute high-energy charge carriers. Fe$^{3+}$ ions, however, could provide such charge carriers.

Insight into the effect of Fe$^{3+}$ on the electronic structure of Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$ came from DFT analysis of a hypothetical
material $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}_{1/6}\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{5/6}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}^{1/6+}$, wherein one sixth of all $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ centers are replaced by $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$. Although this $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ concentration is much higher than experimentally observed in $\mathrm{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$, it simply artificially increases the DOS contributions from states arising from $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ while simultaneously destabilizing the crystal. We were able to obtain a stable structure at this defect concentration and using a core level alignment we were able to align the defective material to the native $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ framework. As shown in Fig. 8a, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ do not significantly affect the energy of the native $\mathrm{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ bands. Instead, they give rise to mid-gap states attributed to the Fe-electron spin-down channels. These mid-gap states are found only 1.5 eV above $E_{\text{VBM}}$. Such redox-accessible states are expected to persist even at much lower $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ concentration. As a consequence, VB electrons in $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$-incorporated $\mathrm{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ may be thermally activated into the mid-gap Fe-based states, promoting the formation of hole carriers in the VB. In addition, the spin density distribution in this hypothetical material (Fig. 8b) shows that the spins, and equivalently the unpaired electrons, are partially delocalized among Fe centers. The $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+/2+}$ mixed valency should facilitate inter-iron charge hopping and improve charge mobility. We therefore attribute the high electrical conductivity of $\mathrm{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ to the presence of mixed-valent $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+/2+}$.

**Discussion**

The unique role of Fe in promoting high electrical conductivity across four different families of MOFs that differ in both structure and organic connectivity is highlighted in Fig. 2. Although the particular reasons for this conserved role of Fe across different materials are likely convoluted, Fe stands out among the other metals considered here in several respects. First, among $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ is the smallest at 30.652 eV (Table S5†). Second, the standard reduction potential (298 K) of the aqueous $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+/2+}$ couple, 0.771 V, is smaller than those of the aqueous $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+/2+}$, $\mathrm{Co}^{3+/2+}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}^{3+/2+}$ couples (Table S5†), whereas the trivalent states of the other metal ions are essentially inaccessible under similar experimental conditions.||

Finally, owing to its large ionic radius and small effective nuclear charge, high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ (as found in $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DOBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DSBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, and $\text{Fe}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}(\text{BTDD})(\text{DMF})_{2}$) exhibits the smallest Coulombic attraction between its nucleus and its valence electrons (Table S5†). Together, these suggest that among the metal ions studied here, the valence electrons of high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ have the highest energy. Because Fe orbitals dominate the VB of $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DOBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DSBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, and $\text{Fe}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}(\text{BTDD})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, these high-energy electrons raise the $E_{\text{VBM}}$ and give rise to small $E_{g}$ and $E_{f}$ values. This subsequently leads to a higher probability of thermal activation at room temperature and higher charge density than available for the other metal analogs.

The same arguments do not hold for low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. Because low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and 1,2,3-triazolate do not contribute charge carriers, pure $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ should accordingly be electrically insulating. This is indeed predicted by DFT calculations, which show that pure $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ exhibits a larger $E_{g}$ than its $\text{Mn}^{2+}$, $\text{Co}^{2+}$, and $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ analogs (Fig. 7c). Instead, we attribute the observed high electrical conductivity of $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ to the presence of a small amount of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$. The presence of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$, and thus the formation of a mixed-valence $\text{Fe}^{3+/2+}$ system was confirmed by EPR spectroscopy (Fig. 5). Furthermore, DFT calculations suggest that mid-gap states, which effectively lower $E_{g}$ and increase electrical conductivity, become available upon forming $\text{Fe}^{3+/2+}$ mixed valency in $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$. The presence of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ cannot be ruled out for the high-spin $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ materials. The influence of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ would mimic that observed for $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$. Indeed, $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DOBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, $\text{Fe}_{2}(\text{DSBDC})(\text{DMF})_{2}$, and $\text{Fe}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}(\text{BTDD})(\text{DMF})_{2}$ are significantly more sensitive to $\text{O}_{2}$ than

---

**Fig. 8** (a) Calculated energy bands and projected density of states (PDOS) of native $\text{Fe}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}$ and the hypothetical material $\text{Fe}^{0}_{0}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{6}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}^{1/6+}$. $E_{\text{VBM}}$ are shown on the top and band gaps are shown in the middle of each sub-figure. (b) The spin density of the hypothetical material $\text{Fe}^{0}_{0}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{6}(1,2,3\text{-triazolate})_{2}^{1/6+}$ shows partially delocalized spin across the Fe centers (shown in yellow and red), with some local $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ character emphasized in green.
Fe(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$, which makes the presence of trace amounts of Fe$^{2+}$ in these materials likely. Because the oxidation potential of the other metals are not as accessible, they are less likely to be mixed valent under our experimental conditions.

Conclusions

The foregoing results show a critical, conserved role of Fe in promoting high electrical conductivity across four different MOF families comprising twenty different materials and eight different metal ions. In each family, the Fe$^{2+}$-based analog exhibits electrical conductivity and activation energy values that are at least 5 orders of magnitude higher and 0.12–0.54 eV smaller, respectively, than those of materials based on Mg$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, and Cd$^{2+}$ ions. Both electronic structure and thermodynamic (i.e. redox accessibility) arguments explain the unique role of Fe within these mixed valence MOFs. Similar arguments might provide hints for the design and discovery of electrically conductive MOFs from other metal ions. Most notably, Cr$^{2+}$ is a promising candidate because it has similar ionization energy and Coulombic attraction between its nucleus and valence electrons as Fe$^{2+}$, as well as an accessible Cr$^{3+/2+}$ redox couple (Table S5†).

More generally, our work demonstrates that mixed-valence metal ions improve the electrical conductivity in MOFs. Mixed valency is responsible for the high electrical conductivity in many inorganic solids, organic conductors, and coordination polymers because it improves charge density and facilitates charge delocalization. It is also applicable to MOFs, where both metal ions and organic ligands, if redox-active, can lead to mixed-valent states. This has been shown already with two MOFs based on 1,2,4,5-tetrahydroxybenzene and its derivatives, where the ligands coexist in the semiquinone and quinone states, which gives rise to high electrical conductivity ($10^{-3}$ to $10^{-1}$ S cm$^{-1}$).

Redox matching between metal ions and organic ligands is also critical to improve electrical conductivity in MOFs. This requirement is not apparent in the materials studied here because in all four families the ligands are small and neighboring Fe centers have short interatomic distances (<4 Å) such that hopping can occur directly between metal centers. However, in MOFs with large intermetallic separations, organic ligands that have redox couples matched with those of the metal ions may mediate charge hopping. Conversely, redox-inactive or redox-mismatched ligands may block charge hopping. Ligands that facilitate charge transport by participating in hopping (i.e. improving metal-to-ligand charge transfer) should therefore be particularly effective in increasing electrical conductivity in MOFs that support mixed valency.
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Notes and references

† In Mn$_2$(DOBDC)(DMF)$_2$, Mn$_2$Cl$_2$(BTDD)(DMF)$_2$, and Mn(1,2,3-triazolate)$_2$, the MOFs are isostructural in each family with the only difference among them being metal ions. Although Mn$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$ and Fe$_2$(DSBDC)(DMF)$_2$ bear the same topology, the coordination environments of Mn and Fe differ. Whereas the former exhibits two crystallographically distinct Mn sites, the latter has only one crystallographically distinct Fe site (Fig. 1 and S2†). The connectivity in the (Mn–S–Mn) chains is otherwise conserved, such that the subtle difference in the local coordination environment should not affect the electrical properties significantly.

§ For DFT calculations, the coordinating DMF molecules were removed for Mn$_2$(DOBDC) and Mn$_2$(DSBDC). See detailed discussion in ESL†.

¶ The spin states of Mn$^{2+}$ ions in Mn$_2$(DOBDC) and Mn$_2$(1,2,3-triazolate) were reported to be $S=5/2$. Variable-temperature direct-current magnetic susceptibility measurements for Mn$_2$(DSBDC), Co$_2$(DOBDC), and Co$_2$(1,2,3-triazolate) also revealed high-spin ground states for the Mn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ ions, respectively. See details in the ESI, Fig. S32–S34†.

|| The inclusion of a Co$^{3+}$ in a natively Co$^{2+}$ framework is not expected to introduce mid-gap states as the defect site would almost certainly be low spin.
