

proposition: Let Δ be a normal coordinate basis. Then

$$\det \Delta = \pm 1$$

proof: $\det \Delta \neq 0 \rightarrow \det g = \det (\Delta^T g \Delta) \stackrel{\text{f. 8.2 prop. 5}}{=} \det g (\det \Delta)^2$
 $\rightarrow (\det \Delta)^2 \cdot 1 \rightarrow \det \Delta = \pm 1$

15. Tensor fields

5.1 Tensor fields

Let V be \mathbb{R}^n -valued with a (generalized) metric g as defined in f. 8.1. Let Δ be a normal coordinate basis for a normal coordinate system \tilde{x} to a normal coordinate system $\tilde{c}\tilde{x}$:

$$\tilde{x}^i = \Delta^i_j \cdot x^j$$

def. I: For any $x \in V$, consider a rank- N tensor $t^{i_1 \dots i_N}(x)$.

We call $t^{i_1 \dots i_N}(x)$ a tensor field if, under a normal coordinate basis,

$$\tilde{t}^{i_1 \dots i_N}(\tilde{x}) = \Delta^{i_1}_{j_1} \dots \Delta^{i_N}_{j_N} t^{j_1 \dots j_N}(x) \quad (*)$$

Remark: (1) This generalizes the tensor concept of f. 4.2 by applying a different basis to every vector in V .

(2) Tensor fields are tensor-valued functions on V .

proposition: For the special case of homogeneous tensor fields,

i.e., if $t^{i_1 \dots i_N}(x) \equiv t^{i_1 \dots i_N}$ independent of x ,

we recover the usual tensor concept of f. 4.2.

Proof: [4.7 prop. + remark (?) \rightarrow

$$f(x_i y_j \dots) = t_{ij\dots} x_i y_j \dots = \tilde{t}^{ij\dots} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_j \dots$$

where $f: V \times V \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the multilinear form that defines the tensor t . Given basis $\{e_i\}, \{\tilde{e}_i\}$ expand the vector

$$x = x^i e_i = \tilde{x}^i \tilde{e}_i$$

where $\tilde{x}^i = \delta^i_j x^j$, $\tilde{e}_i = (\delta^{-1})^{ij} e_j$ will be wordlich before

in [4.8.2]

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(x_i y_j \dots) &= f(x^i e^j, y^k e^l \dots) = x^i y^j \dots f(e^i, e^j, \dots) = t^{ij\dots} x_i y_j \dots \\ &= f(\tilde{x}^i \tilde{e}^j, \tilde{y}^k \tilde{e}^l \dots) = \tilde{x}^i \tilde{y}^j \dots f(\tilde{e}^i, \tilde{e}^j, \dots) = \tilde{t}^{ij\dots} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_j \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[4.8.2] remark (?) } \Rightarrow x_i &= g_{ij} x^j = g_{ij} (\delta^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{x}^i = (g \delta^{-1})_{ij} \tilde{x}^i \\ &\stackrel{\text{[4.8.5]}}{=} (\delta^T g)_{ij} \tilde{x}^i = \delta^T_{ij} g_{jl} \tilde{x}_l = \delta^T_{ij} \tilde{x}_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{t}^{ij\dots} \tilde{x}_i \tilde{y}_j \dots = t^{ij\dots} \delta^T_{il} \delta^T_{jk} \dots \tilde{x}_l \tilde{y}_k \dots$$

$$\underline{\tilde{t}^{kl\dots} \tilde{x}_l \tilde{y}_k \dots}$$

$$\rightarrow \underline{\tilde{t}^{kl\dots} = \delta^T_{il} \delta^T_{jk} \dots t^{ij\dots}}$$

$$\underline{= \delta^k_l \delta^l_j \dots t^{ij\dots}}$$

Problem 25

In some physics
we want tensors

remark: (1) Any linear tensor form like this is wordlich before; objects that do not tensor form like this are not tensors.

(1') In Physics one often defines tensors by this tensoriality property with no reference to multilinear forms.

p⁴⁶ f.p.

Nun rechtheit ε ein δ so gewählt, dass $\{e_1, e_1, \varepsilon^2\}$.

55.8.2 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda n} = 0$ since $e^{-\lambda n} < \epsilon$ for large enough n .

\Rightarrow the h-approx of \tilde{e}^i is $(\tilde{e}^i)_h = (\epsilon^j)_h (\tilde{v}^{-1})_j$. $\underbrace{= (\tilde{v}^{-1})_h}$

لریکت

$$(\Delta^{-1}e^i)_\mu = (\Delta^{-1})_\mu^j e^i_j \quad \sim \quad \tilde{e}^i = \frac{\tilde{e}^i}{\tilde{e}^c}.$$

example: (1) A vector whose rank-1 tensor: §4.8.2 prop.(2) \rightarrow

$$\tilde{x}^i = \delta_{ij}^i x^j \quad \checkmark$$

(2) The metric tensor whose transpose is: §4.8.2 prop. 4 \rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g} &= (\tilde{\Delta}^{-1})^T g \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{g}^{ij} &= (\tilde{\Delta}^{-1})^{ij} = (\tilde{\Delta} \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}^T)^{ij} = \tilde{\Delta}^{il} \delta^{jk} (\tilde{\Delta}^T)_{kl} = \tilde{\Delta}^{il} \tilde{\Delta}_{kl} \delta^{jk}\end{aligned}$$

Remark: (1) The metric tensor is special in the sense that it is invariant under coordinate changes (due to $\tilde{\Delta}^T \tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\Delta}$ for normal $\tilde{\Delta}$), but (2) still holds.

example: (1) The Levi-Civita tensor $(\epsilon_L)^{ijkl} = \epsilon(e^i, e^j, e^k)$

with $\epsilon(x_1, \dots)$ the completely antisymmetric form with the property $\epsilon(e^1, e^2, e^3) = +1$ for some right-handed orthonormal basis $\{e^1, e^2, e^3\}$. It is found that in the basis e^i $\epsilon(e^i, e^j, e^k) = \epsilon^{ijk} = \text{sgn } \tilde{\Delta}(i,j,k)$, Pf. ex. 11

10/21/16

But for any antisymmetric multilinear form f ,

$$f(\Delta x, \Delta y, \dots) = (\det \Delta) f(x_1, \dots)$$

(re, e.g., van der Waerden ch. 4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow (\tilde{\epsilon}_L)^{ijkl} &= \epsilon(\tilde{e}^i, \tilde{e}^j, \tilde{e}^k) = \epsilon(\tilde{\Delta}^{-1} e^i, \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} e^j, \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} e^k) \\ &= \det(\tilde{\Delta}^{-1}) \epsilon^{ijkl} = (\det \Delta) \epsilon^{ijkl}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \frac{\Delta^l}{\Delta_{i_1}^{i_1} \Delta_{i_2}^{i_2} \dots \Delta_{i_n}^{i_n}} \epsilon^{ijkl} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn } \tilde{\Delta}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{(1)(2)\dots(n)} \tilde{\Delta}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n}^{(l)(m)} \tilde{\Delta}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^{(n)(l)}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{11}^{11} & \tilde{\Delta}_{12}^{11} & \tilde{\Delta}_{13}^{11} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{21}^{12} & \tilde{\Delta}_{22}^{12} & \tilde{\Delta}_{23}^{12} \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{31}^{13} & \tilde{\Delta}_{32}^{13} & \tilde{\Delta}_{33}^{13} \end{vmatrix} = \text{sgn } \tilde{\Delta}^{(123)} \det \Delta = (\det \Delta) \epsilon^{lmn} = \frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}_L)^{lmn}}{\tilde{\Delta}_{11}^{11} \tilde{\Delta}_{22}^{22} \tilde{\Delta}_{33}^{33}}$$

remark: (5) A rank- N tensor can be written as a set of n^N scalars $t^{i_1 \dots i_N}$ not associated with a coordinate system and obey the Leibniz rule (\star).

(6) If we apply n^N scalars to real coordinate sys., they may or may not form a tensor.

def. 2: Assign the object $\epsilon^{ijk} = \text{sgn}(\det D)$ from $i, j, k \in \{x, y, z\}$ to any valid coordinate sys., not just to the right-handed cartesian one. This object we call the Levi-Civita symbol (as opposed to the L-C tensor).

remark: (7) By definition, ϵ^{ijk} is invariant under coordinate trans:

$$\boxed{\hat{\epsilon}^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijk}}$$

(8) The Levi-Civita tensor $(\epsilon_L)^{ijk}$ is equal to the Levi-Civita symbol only for right-handed cartesian coordinate sys.

(9) ϵ^{ijk} is not a tensor:

$$\frac{\partial i \partial j \partial k}{\partial x^m \partial y^n \partial z^l} \epsilon^{lmn} = (\det D) \epsilon^{ijk} = (\det D) \hat{\epsilon}^{ijk}$$

$$\frac{(\det D)^{ijl}}{(\det D)^{ijl} = 1} \rightsquigarrow \hat{\epsilon}^{ijk} = (\det D) \frac{\partial i \partial j \partial k}{\partial x^m \partial y^n \partial z^l} \epsilon^{lmn}$$

which is different from (8)

def. 3: A fully \rightarrow tensor $t^{i_1 \dots i_N}$ that transforms as

$$\boxed{\hat{t}^{i_1 \dots i_N}(x) = (\det D) D^{i_1}_{j_1} \dots D^{i_N}_{j_N} t^{j_1 \dots j_N}(x)}$$

remark: (10) The Levi-Civita symbol is a pseudotensor of rank 3.

is called a pseudotensor field of rank N .

§.2 Gradient, curl, divergence

Let $f(x)$ be a scalar-valued fn. on V and let $t_i(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f(x)$

be its partial derivative with respect to the coordinate x^i .

Perform a coordinate transformation from x to \tilde{x} :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{t}_i(\tilde{x}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} f(x) \quad \text{with } \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = f(x) \quad \text{since } f \text{ is scalar.} \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} = t_j(x) (\Delta^{-1})^{ji} = ((\Delta^{-1})^T)_{ij} t_j(x) \quad (*)\end{aligned}$$

proposition 1: The gradient of a scalar field, i.e., the partial derivatives with respect to the orthonormal coordinate transforms as a covariant vector.

proof: (*) plus Problem #25

remark: (1) Our often write $\partial_i f(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f(x)$

(2) Analogously, $\partial^i f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x)$ is a contravariant vector field.

Now consider the curl of a vector field v , defined by

$$v^i(x) \equiv (\nabla \times v)^i(x) := \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j v_k(x) \quad \text{and transform to } \tilde{x}:$$

proposition 2: The curl of a vector field transforms as a pseudovector.

proof: Problem 26

Finally, we have

$$\text{d}(x) \equiv \text{div } v(x) := \partial_i v^i(x) \text{ and transform to } \tilde{x} :$$

Proposition 2: The divergence of a vector field transforms as a scalar
Proof: Problem 26

5.3 Tensor products, and tensor traces

Generalize the trace product of $s \otimes t = \sum_{i,j} s^{ij} t_{ij}$:

Def. 1: Let s, t be tensors of rank N and M , respectively. Then

$$u = s \otimes t$$

is defined by

$$u^{i_1 \dots i_N j_1 \dots j_M} = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_M} s^{i_1 \dots i_N k_1} t^{k_1 \dots k_M j_1 \dots j_M}$$

and is called the tensor product of s and t .

Proposition 1: u is a tensor of rank $N+M$ if s and t are both
 tensors or both pseudotensors, and a pseudotensor
 of rank $N+M$ otherwise.

Proof: Problem 27

Def. 2: Let $t^{i_1 \dots i_{N+2}}$ be a tensor of rank $N+2$. Then the (1,2)-trace
 or contraction $u^{i_1 \dots i_N} = g_{ij} t^{i_1 \dots i_N j}$ of t is defined as

$$u^{i_1 \dots i_N} = g_{ij} t^{i_1 \dots i_N j} = t^{i_1 \dots i_N}$$

Problem 27
Proof

Proposition 2: u is a (proto)kove of rank N

Proof: Problem 27

Remark: (1) The curl, $c^i(x) = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j v_k(x)$ can be considered as
a covariant tensor of the rank-2 proto-kove ϵ^{ijk} .
It thus must be a protovector, i.e., a covector with \mathfrak{f}_{S-2} .

5.4 Minkowski koves

Consider M_4 , i.e., \mathbb{R}^4 with metric $g = (+, -, -, -)$.

Let A be a vector in M_4 with contravariant coordinates

$$A^\mu \quad (\mu=0,1,2,3) \quad \text{or} \quad A^\mu = (A^0, \vec{A}) \quad \text{with} \quad \vec{A} = (A^1, A^2, A^3)$$

and covariant coordinates

$$A_\mu = (A^0, -\vec{A})$$

Remark: (1) \vec{A} can be considered a Euclidean vector in the subspace
spanned by the basis vectors e_1, e_2, e_3 of M_4 .

Consider a rank-2 kove

when F_h^v and \tilde{F}_v^h can be

considered vectors in the

Euclidean subspace, and F_{ij}^v can

be considered a Euclidean rank-2 tensor

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{00} & | & F^{01} & F^{02} & F^{03} \\ \hline F^{10} & | & F^{11} & F^{12} & F^{13} \\ F^{20} & | & F^{21} & F^{22} & F^{23} \\ F^{30} & | & F^{31} & F^{32} & F^{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{00} & | & \vec{F}_h \\ \hline \vec{F}_v & | & F_{ij}^v \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark: (2) If $F^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\mu}$ (symmetric kove), then $\vec{F}_h \cdot \vec{F}_v$

If $F^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\nu\mu}$ (antisymmetric kove), then $\vec{F}_v = -\vec{F}_h$
and F_{ij}^v is antisymmetric.

line: Antisymmetric Euclidean rank-2 forms are isomorphic to Euclidean pseudovectors.

proof: $t^{ij} - t^{ji} \rightsquigarrow t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_3 - v_2 \\ -v_3 & 0 & v_1 \\ v_2 - v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\rightsquigarrow t^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} v_k$

t is a form, ϵ is a pseudoscalar \rightsquigarrow vise pseudo vector

proposition: Any antisymmetric/tilde form can be written

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \vec{a} \\ \hline -\vec{a} & t^{ij} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \vec{e} \\ \hline -\vec{e} & \begin{matrix} 0 & v_3 - v_2 \\ -v_3 & 0 & v_1 \\ v_2 - v_1 & 0 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right)$$

with \vec{e} a Euclidean vector and \vec{v} a Euclidean pseudovector

remark: (3) $F^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu 2} g_{2\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \vec{e} \\ \hline -\vec{e} & t^{ij} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} + & \\ \hline - & - \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -\vec{e} \\ \hline -\vec{e} & -t^{ij} \end{array} \right)$

etc.

(4) $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = 2(\vec{v}^2 - \vec{e}^2)$ i.e. Dirac's scalar
(combinant of $F^{12} F^{12}$)