

## Problem Assignment # 2

10/09/2024  
due 10/16/2024**I.1.5 Equivalence relations**

Consider a relation  $\sim$  on a set  $X$  as in ch. 1 §1.3 def. 1, but with the properties

- i)  $x \sim x \quad \forall x \in X$  (reflexivity)
- ii)  $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x \quad \forall x, y \in X$  (symmetry)
- iii)  $(x \sim y \wedge y \sim z) \Rightarrow x \sim z$  (transitivity)

Such a relation is called an *equivalence relation*. Which of the following are equivalence relations?

- a)  $n$  divides  $m$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- b)  $x \leq y$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- c)  $g$  is perpendicular to  $h$  on the set of straight lines  $\{g, h, \dots\}$  in the cartesian plane.
- d)  $a$  equals  $b$  modulo  $n$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed.

*hint:* “ $a$  equals  $b$  modulo  $n$ ”, or  $a = b \pmod{n}$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is defined to be true if  $a - b$  is divisible on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $n$ ; i.e., if  $(a - b)/n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3 points)

**I.1.6 Bounds for  $n!$** 

Prove by mathematical induction that

$$n^n/3^n < n! < n^n/2^n \quad \forall n \geq 6$$

*hint:*  $(1 + 1/n)^n$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $n$  that approaches Euler’s number  $e$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(4 points)

**I.1.7 All ducks are the same color**

Find the flaw in the “proof” of the following

*proposition:* All ducks are the same color.

proof:  $n = 1$ : There is only one duck, so there is only one color.

$n = m$ : The set of ducks is one-to-one correspondent to  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , and we assume that all  $m$  ducks are the same color.

$n = m + 1$ : Now we have  $\{1, 2, \dots, m, m + 1\}$ . Consider the subsets  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $\{2, \dots, m, m + 1\}$ . Each of these represent sets of  $m$  ducks, which are all the same color by the induction assumption. But this means that ducks #2 through  $m$  are all the same color, and ducks #1 and  $m + 1$  are the same color as, e.g., duck #2, and hence all ducks are the same color.

*remark:* This demonstration of the pitfalls of inductive reasoning is due to George Pólya (1888 - 1985), who used horses instead of ducks.

(2 points)

... /over

### I.2.1. Pauli group

The Pauli matrices are complex  $2 \times 2$  matrices defined as

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad ,$$

Now consider the set  $P_1$  that consists of the Pauli matrices and their products with the factors  $-1$  and  $\pm i$ :

$$P_1 = \{\pm\sigma_0, \pm i\sigma_0, \pm\sigma_1, \pm i\sigma_1, \pm\sigma_2, \pm i\sigma_2, \pm\sigma_3, \pm i\sigma_3\}$$

Show that this set of 16 elements forms a (nonabelian) group under matrix multiplication called the Pauli group. It plays an important role in quantum information theory.

(3 points)