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#### Abstract

In Yau24 Yau defines the notion of pseudo symmetric Cat-enriched multifunctor between Cat-enriched multicategories and proves that Mandell's inverse $K$-theory multifunctor Man10 is pseudo symmetric. We prove a coherence theorem for pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors. As an application we prove that pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors, and in particular Mandell's inverse $K$-theory, preserve $E_{n}$-algebras $(n=1,2, \ldots, \infty)$, at the cost of changing the parameterizing $E_{n}$-operad.


## 1. Introduction

Permutative categories are symmetric monoidal categories that are strictly associative and unital. Let Perm be the category of permutative categories. By a construction of May May74, we can define algebraic $K$-theory as a functor from Perm to spectra. Elmendorf and Mandell EM06] introduced multicategories in homotopy theory to study the multiplicative properties of this functor. They gave Perm the structure of a multicategory and showed that the $K$-theory construction can be extended to a symmetric multifunctor landing in spectra. This implies that $K$-theory preserves certain multiplicative structures-for example, the $K$-theory of a bipermutative category is an $E_{\infty}$ ring spectrum.

Following work of Thomason Tho95, Mandell Man10 introduced inverse $K$ theory $\mathcal{P}$, a functor from $\Gamma$-categories (modelling connective spectra) to Perm that provides a homotopy inverse to $K$-theory. Elmendorf Elm21 and Johnson-Yau JY22 extended $\mathcal{P}$ to a Cat-enriched multifunctor, but one that is not symmetric: it is not compatible with the permutation of elements in the domains of multicategory mapping spaces. To account for this Yau Yau24 introduced pseudo-symmetric multifunctors, where there is a compatibility only up to coherent natural isomorphisms, and he proved that Mandell's inverse $K$-theory multifunctor $\mathcal{P}$ is pseudo symmetric in his sense.

In this article we establish a 2-adjunction that lets us rigidify pseudo symmetric multifunctors and write them as symmetric multifunctors at the cost of fattening up their domain in a specific way. As an application we get a new result in multiplicative $K$-theory: pseudo symmetric multifunctors, and in particular Mandell's inverse $K$-theory, preserve $E_{n}$-algebras for $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$ at the cost of changing the parameterizing $E_{n}$ operad. For example, they send commutative monoids to

[^0]$E_{\infty}$ algebras.

Let us go back and provide more details of the above panorama. Segal's infinite loop space machine [Seg74 allows the construction of spectra from symmetric monoidal categories. May's construction May74 provides an alternative way of building spectra from permutative categories. Both $K$-theory constructions turn out to be equivalent [MT78, with Perm being equivalent to the category of symmetric monoidal categories by a theorem of Isbell [sb669. The question about what kind of structure to impose on a permutative category so that its $K$-theory is an $E_{\infty}$ ring spectrum was answered independently by Elmendorf and Mandell [EM06] and May May09, the former using the theory of multicategories. To study multiplicative $K$-theory, one would like the domain of the $K$-theory construction Perm to have a symmetric monoidal structure and $K$-theory to be a monoidal functor. That way, $K$-theory would preserve multiplicative structures in Perm. However, Perm lacks a natural symmetric monoidal structure, although it admits one in a 2-categorical sense GJO22].

Multicategories, also known as colored operads, generalize symmetric monoidal categories by supplying a setup for working with multi-input maps, thus providing an alternative way of encoding multiplicative structures even in the absence of symmetric monoidal structures. In a sense, multicategories allow us to talk about multilinear maps without making any reference to tensor products. Multiplicative structures can then be encoded in a multicategory via the actions of operads and similar gadgets. Elmendorf-Mandell [EM06] gave Perm the structure of a multicategory and extended algebraic $K$-theory to a symmetric multifunctor landing in symmetric spectra. This implies that $K$-theory preserves multiplicative structures. This is how they proved that the $K$-theory of a bipermutative category is an $E_{\infty}$ ring spectrum. Multiplicative $K$-theory has also been defined as a symmetric multifunctor from the multicategory of Waldhausen categories Wald to spectra BM11], with Wald providing another example of a multicategory that doesn't arise from a symmetric monoidal structure Zak18.

Spectra arising from the Segal-May construction are all connective, and by a theorem of Thomason Tho95 the $K$-theory construction is surjective on homotopy types. Mandell's inverse $K$-theory functor $\mathcal{P}$ : $\Gamma$-Cat $\rightarrow$ Perm witnesses this by providing a homotopy stable inverse to $K$-theory. Here $\Gamma$-categories model connective spectra by Tho80, Cis99, BF78. Elmendorf Elm21 and Johnson-Yau JY22 prove independently that Mandell's inverse $K$-theory functor can be extended to a Cat-enriched multifunctor $\mathcal{P}: \Gamma$-Cat $\rightarrow$ Perm between Cat-enriched multicategories. However, $\mathcal{P}$ turns out to not be symmetric JY22, i.e., it doesn't preserve the action of the symmetric group on the hom objects of the multicategories by permutation of inputs. So their results can only be used to prove that $\mathcal{P}$ preserves multiplicative structures that don't involve symmetry, like associative monoids JY22. This obstruction led Yau Yau24 to define pseudo symmetric multifunctors. These are non-symmetric Cat-enriched multifunctors that preserve the action of the symmetric group of multicategory mapping spaces only up to coherent natural isomorphisms. One of the main results of Yau24] is that $\mathcal{P}$ is in fact pseudo symmetric.

Our main result can be interpreted as a coherence result for pseudo symmetric multifunctors. If $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a pseudo symmetric multifunctor between Cat-enriched multicategories, we prove that the natural isomorphisms attesting the pseudo symmetry of $F$ assemble together to give a symmetric Cat-enriched multifunctor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ satisfying a universal property, where $E \Sigma_{*}$ is the categorical Barratt-Eccles operad defined in Example 2.5. We can also think about our result as a rigidification result. We can rigidify $F$ and turn it into a symmetric Cat-enriched multifunctor $\phi(F)$, at the cost of changing its domain.

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem (3.3) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Cat-enriched multicategory. There is a pseudo symmetric multifunctor $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ such that for every Catenriched multicategory $\mathcal{N}$ and each pseudo symmetric multifunctor $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, there exists a unique symmetric Cat-enriched multifunctor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that the following diagram commutes:


That is, $F=\phi(F) \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ as pseudo symmetric multifunctors.
Thus, if $\mathcal{O}$ is an operad in Cat, pseudo symmetric algebras in a Cat-enriched multicategory $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}$, i.e., pseudo symmetric multifunctors $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, are symmetric algebras in $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O} \times E \Sigma_{*}$. The following result, which appears as Corollary 4.6, holds since multiplying by $E \Sigma_{*}$ sends the commutative operad $\{*\}$ to the $E_{\infty}$ operad $E \Sigma_{*}$ and $E_{n}$ operads in Cat, like the ones defined in Ber96 and BFSV03, to $E_{n}$ operads.

Corollary 1.2. (Corollary 4.6) Let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be Cat-enriched pseudo symmetric multifunctor. Then,
(1) $F$ sends commutative monoids to $E_{\infty}$ algebras.
(2) $F$ sends $E_{n}$ algebras to $E_{n}$ algebras for $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$.

This corollary extends our understanding of the behavior of inverse $K$-theory since it implies that the inverse $K$-theory multifunctor $\mathcal{P}$, which is pseudo symmetric by work of Yau Yau24, sends commutative monoids to $E_{\infty}$-algebras and preserves $E_{n}$ algebras $(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ provides a stable inverse to $K$-theory, and $K$-theory is a symmetric multifunctor, this implies that every $E_{n}$-algebra in $\Gamma$-categories is stably equivalent to the $K$-theory of an $E_{n}$ algebra in permutative categories. This shows how Theorem 1.1 can be used to grasp the behavior of pseudo symmetric multifunctors on structures parameterized by symmetric operads in general.

In Yau24 Yau defines the 2-category Cat-Multicat having Cat-enriched multicategories as 0-cells, symmetric multifunctors as 1-cells and multinatural transformations as 2-cells. He also defines the 2-category Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ with 0 cells Cat-enriched multicategories, 1-cells pseudo symmetric multifunctors, and 2cells pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations. Every symmetric Catenriched multifunctor (respectively multinatural transformation) is canonically a pseudo symmetric multifunctor (respectively multinatural transformation), so there
is a 2-functorial inclusion $j:$ Cat-Multicat $\rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. Taking into account these 2-categorical structures we can improve our previous result by providing a left adjoint $\psi$ to $j$, which, at the 0 -cell level, sends a multicategory $\mathcal{M}$ to $\psi(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$.

Theorem 1.3. (Corollary 3.5]and Theorem3.7) The inclusion $j$ : Cat-Multicat $\rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ admits a left 2 -adjoint $\psi$ : Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }} \rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat with $\psi(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ for $\mathcal{M}$ a Cat-multicategory. In particular, for Catmulticategories $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ we have an isomorphism of categories

$$
\text { Cat-Multicat }{ }^{\mathrm{ps}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cong \text { Cat-Multicat }\left(\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}, \mathcal{N}\right)
$$

An important consequence of this theorem is that we can give a very simple and compact description of the 2-category Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ solely in terms of symmetric Cat-multifunctors and Cat-mutinatural transformations, which we do in Definition 3.8.

Bohmann and Osorno BO15 make use of a spectrally enriched version of the Elmendorf-Mandell construction together with the description of equivariant spectra in terms of presheaves of spectra due to Guillou and May GM11 to define an equivariant infinite loop space machine. Since preservation of multiplicative structures is one of the main ingredients in the construction of this equivariant machine BO15, our results can also be regarded as a step towards proving the conjecture that every connective equivariant spectrum, i.e., those whose fixed point spectra are connective, arises from Bohmann and Osorno's construction. The infinite equivariant loop space machine $\mathbb{K}_{G}$ from GMMO23 is also suspected to be pseudo symmetric, so our result might help understand the preservation of multiplicative structures in the equivariant context as well.

Outline. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the 2-categories Cat-Multicat and Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. We also extract a new and compact description of the 2-category Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. In Section 4 we obtain the desired consequences for Mandell's inverse $K$-theory functor $\mathcal{P}$ included in Corollary 1.2
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## 2. Symmetric and pseudo symmetric Multifunctors

We begin by reviewing the definition of multicategory enriched in a symmetric monoidal category. In the following definition $(C, 1, \oplus, \lambda, \rho, \xi)$ is a symmetric monoidal category with $\oplus: C \times C \rightarrow C$ the monoidal product, 1 the monoidal
unit, $\lambda$ the left unit isomorphism, $\rho$ the right unit isomorphism and $\xi$ the symmetry. In this paper we will consider only categories enriched over Cat with the monoidal structure given by products, but we use a general monoidal category in the definition to make explicit the fact that this definition doesn't make use of the 2-categorical structure of Cat.

Remark 2.1. We will also use the following notation: if $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$ and $\tau_{i} \in \Sigma_{k_{i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma\left\langle\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right\rangle \in \Sigma_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}}$ is the permutation that permutes $n$ blocks of lengths $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$ according to $\sigma$ and each block of length $k_{i}$ according to $\tau_{i}$.

Definition 2.2. If $C$ is a symmetric monoidal category, a $C$-multicategory ( $\mathcal{M}, \gamma, 1$ ) consists of the following data.

- A class of objects $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$.
- For every $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle=\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, an object in $C$ denoted by

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)=\mathcal{M}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; b\right)
$$

We will write $\langle a\rangle$ instead of $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n}$ when $n$ is clear from the context or irrelevant. [In the case $C=\mathbf{C a t}$, an object $f$ of $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)$ will be called an $n$-ary 1-cell with input $\langle a\rangle$ and output $b$ and will be denoted as $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$. Similarly, we will call $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)(f, g)$ an $n$-ary 2-cell.]

- For each $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, a $C$-isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle \sigma ; b)
$$

called the right $\sigma$ action or the symmetric group action. Here

$$
\langle a\rangle \sigma=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \sigma=\left\langle a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}\right\rangle .
$$

[In the case $C=$ Cat we write $f \sigma$ for the image of an $n$-ary 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and similarly for 2-cells.]

- For each object $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, a morphism

$$
1 \xrightarrow{1_{a}} \mathcal{M}(a ; a)
$$

called the $a$-unit. In the case $C=$ Cat we notice that if $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, $1_{a}: a \rightarrow a$ is a 1-ary 1-cell while if $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ is an $n$-ary 1-cell, then $1_{f}: f \rightarrow f$ is an $n$-ary 2 -cell in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)(f, f)$ so our notation is unambiguous.

- For every $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 0,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in \operatorname{Ob}(M)^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq$ $n$, and $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, a morphism in $C$,

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; c)
$$

where we adopt the convention that $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k}$, where $k=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{j}$, denotes the concatenation of the varying $a_{j}$ 's for $j=1, \ldots, n$. We write this as
$\langle a\rangle=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}=\left\langle a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{1, k_{1}}, a_{2,1, \ldots,} a_{n-1, k_{n-1}} a_{n, 1}, \ldots, a_{n, k_{n}}\right\rangle$.
The previous data are required to satisfy the following axioms.

- Symmetric group action: For every $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\sigma, \tau$ in $\Sigma_{n}$ the following diagram commutes in $C$ :


We also require the identity permutation $\operatorname{id}_{n} \in \Sigma_{n}$ to act as the identity morphism on $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)$.

- Associativity: For every $d \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 1,\langle c\rangle=\left\langle c_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$, $k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $k_{j} \geq 1$ for at least one $j,\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle b_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, l_{i, j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq k_{j}$, and $\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i, p}\right\rangle_{p=1}^{l_{i, j}} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{l_{i, j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq k_{j}$, the following associativity diagram commutes in $C$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}(\langle c\rangle ; d) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle ; c_{j}\right)\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle ; b_{j, i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}(\langle c\rangle ; d) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle ; c_{j}\right) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle ; b_{j, i}\right)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& 1 \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \gamma \downarrow \\
& \mathcal{M}(\langle c\rangle ; d) \otimes \stackrel{\bigotimes}{\bigotimes}_{j=1}^{\downarrow} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; c_{j}\right) \xrightarrow[\gamma]{ } \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) .
\end{align*}
$$

- Unity: Suppose $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\langle a\rangle=\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, then the following right unity diagram commutes in $C$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} 1 \\
\mathrm{id} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} 1_{a_{j}} \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(a_{j} ; a_{j}\right) \xrightarrow[\gamma]{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) .
\end{gathered}
$$

With $b,\langle a\rangle$ as before, we also demand that the following left unity diagram commutes in $C$.


- Top equivariance: For every $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 1,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n,\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$,
and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}} \mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle \sigma ; c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle ; b_{\sigma(j)}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\quad{ }^{\gamma} \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle ; c\right) \xrightarrow\left[\sigma\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{\left.k_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(n)}}\right\rangle}\right]{ } \mathcal{L}\left(\left\langle a_{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle a_{\sigma(n)}\right\rangle ; c\right) .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\sigma^{-1}$ is the unique isomorphism in $C$, given by the coherence theorem for symmetric monoidal categories, that permutes the factors $\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle, b_{j}\right)$ according to $\sigma^{-1}$.

- Bottom equivariance: For $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle,\langle b\rangle$ and $c$ as in Top equivariance (2.2), the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\mathrm{id} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{j}}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle, c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle \tau_{j} ; b_{j}\right) \\
\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle ; c\right) \xrightarrow[\operatorname{id}_{n}\left\langle\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right\rangle]{ } \\
\downarrow^{\gamma} \\
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \tau_{1}, \ldots,\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle \tau_{n} ; c\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This concludes the definition of a $C$-multicategory.
Remark 2.3. A $C$-operad is a $C$-multicategory with one object. If $\mathcal{O}$ is a $C$ operad, its $n$-ary operations will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{n} \in \operatorname{Ob}(C)$. A non symmetric $C$-multicategory ( $C$-operad) is defined in the same way as a $C$-multicategory $(C$ operad) excluding the data of the symmetric group action as well as the symmetric group, top and bottom equivariance coherence axioms. We will only be concerned with symmetric multicategories and operads. $C$-multicategories are often referred to as colored operads, with the objects of the $C$-multicategory being referred to as colors and $C$-operads having just one color.

Example 2.4. As examples of Set-operads, where Set has the monoidal structure induced by products in Set, we have the commutative operad Comm $=\{*\}$ with $\mathrm{Comm}_{n}=\{*\}$. Another example is the associative operad Ass $=\Sigma_{*}$ with $\operatorname{Ass}_{n}=$ $\Sigma_{n}$, with the right action of the symmetric product given by right multiplication and $\gamma$ defined in the following way. If $n \geq 1$ and $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}$ natural numbers with $k=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}$, we define $\gamma: \Sigma_{n} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{k_{i}}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{k}$ given for $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$ and $\left\langle\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n}\right\rangle \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{k_{i}}$ by

$$
\gamma\left(\sigma,\left\langle\rho_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n}\right)=\sigma\left\langle\rho_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n}=\sigma\left\langle\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right\rangle
$$

as in Remark 2.1. When $n$ is clear from the context we will write $\sigma\left\langle\rho_{i}\right\rangle=\sigma\left\langle\rho_{i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{n}$.
Example 2.5. We will consider Cat-multicategories where the monoidal structure in Cat is given by products. One source of examples is the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Ob}:$ Cat $\rightarrow$ Set which forgets the morphism structure and remembers only the object set. Its right adjoint $E:$ Set $\rightarrow$ Cat is the functor that takes a set $A$ to $E A$, the category with objects $\operatorname{Ob}(E A)=A$, and with a unique isomorphism between each pair of objects. $E$ sends a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of sets to the functor $E f: E A \rightarrow E B$, the only functor such that $f=\operatorname{Ob}(E f)$. $E$ preserves products,
and thus, if $\mathcal{O}$ is a Set-operad, $E \mathcal{O}$ is a Cat-operad. Similarly, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a Setmulticategory, $E \mathcal{M}$ is a Cat-multicategory with the same collection of objects as $\mathcal{M}$.

We will call $E$ Comm $=\{*\}$ the commutative Cat-operad. The Barratt-Eccles operad is the Cat-operad $E \Sigma_{*}=E A s s$. Cat-algebras over this $E \Sigma_{*}$ are precisely permutative categories May74.

Example 2.6. Another source of examples for multicategories are symmetric monoidal categories, and thus also permutative categories. Each symmetric monoidal category $C$ has an associated Set-multicategory $\operatorname{End}(C)$, whose objects agree with the objects of $C$ and such that for $\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{Ob}(C)^{n}$ and $b \in \mathrm{Ob}(C)$,

$$
\operatorname{End}(C)(\langle a\rangle ; b)=C\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}, b\right)
$$

Here we take $a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}$ with the leftmost parenthesization. Any fixed parenthesization would work. An empty string of objects is interpreted as the monoidal unit $1 \in \mathrm{Ob}(C)$.

Next, we define 1-cells between $C$-multicategories that preserve the action of the symmetric group. These are called symmetric $C$-multifunctors.

Definition 2.7. A (symmetric) $C$-multifunctor $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ between $C$-multicategories $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ consists of the following data.

- An object assignment $F: \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{N})$.
- For each $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ a $C$ morphism

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b) .
$$

These data are required to preserve units, composition, and the action of the symmetric group.

- Units: For each object $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), F\left(1_{a}\right)=1_{F a}$, i.e., the following diagram commutes in $C$ :

- Composition: For every $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 0,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in \operatorname{Ob}(M)^{n}$, $k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, the following diagram commutes in $C$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{F \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} F}} \mathcal{N}(\langle F b\rangle ; F c) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}\left(\left\langle F a_{j}\right\rangle ; F b_{j}\right) \\
& \gamma \downarrow \downarrow{ }^{\gamma}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; c) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F c) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

- Symmetric Group Action: For each $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ the following diagram commutes in $C$ :


Next we define composition of $C$-multifunctors.
Definition 2.8. - We define the horizontal composition of $C$-multifunctors in the following way. Let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, and $G: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be $C$-multifunctors, we define the $C$-multifunctor $G F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ Yau24] on objects as the composition

$$
\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{G} \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})
$$

and its component functors for $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ as the composite

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b) \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Q}(\langle G F a\rangle ; G F b) .
$$

- The identity $C$-multifunctor $1_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is defined as the identity assignment on objects with the identity functors as component functors.

Next we define 2-cells between $C$-multifunctors. These will be the 2-cells of a 2-category with 0-cells $C$-multicategories and 1-cells $C$-multifunctors.

Definition 2.9. (Yau24, Def. 3.2.5) For (symmetric) $C$-multifunctors $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{N}$, we define a $C$-multinatural transformation $\theta: F \Rightarrow G$ as the data of a component morphism $\theta_{a}: 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(F a, G a)$ in $C$ for each $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ subject to the commutativity of the following diagram in $C$ for each $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$,


We define the identity multinatural transformation $1_{F}: F \rightarrow F$ to have $=$ components $\left(1_{F}\right)_{a}=1_{F a}$ for $a$ an object of $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark 2.10. When $C=\mathbf{C a t}$, and given $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ Cat-multifunctors and the data of a 1-ary 1-cell $\theta_{a}: F a \rightarrow G a$ for each $a \in \mathrm{Ob}(M)$, the commutativity of the diagram in the previous definition means that for every $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$, $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ and each 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(G f ;\left\langle\theta_{a_{j}}\right\rangle\right)=\gamma\left(\theta_{b} ; F f\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; G b)$ and that, for every 2-cell $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)(f, g)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(G \alpha ;\left\langle 1_{\theta_{a_{j}}}\right\rangle\right)=\gamma\left(1_{\theta_{b}} ; F \alpha\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; G b)$. We can express (2.5) diagrammatically as the commutativity of the square

where the composition of adjacent 1-cells is done through $\gamma$ and a square represents an equality between composite 1-cells. In the same fashion, and using (2.5), we can express (2.6) as the equality of multicategorical pasting diagrams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle F a\rangle \xrightarrow{\left\langle\theta_{a_{j}}\right\rangle}\langle G a\rangle \quad\langle F a\rangle \xrightarrow{\left\langle\theta_{a_{j}}\right\rangle}\langle G a\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the concatenation of adjacent 2-cells is done through $\gamma$, and an arrow labeled with the 1 -cell $h$ is interpreted as the 2 -cell $1_{h}: h \rightarrow h$. For example, the left hand side diagram represents $\gamma\left(1_{\theta_{b}}, F \alpha\right)$ while the right hand side represents $\gamma\left(G \alpha,\left\langle\theta_{\alpha_{j}}\right\rangle\right)$. The empty squares represent equalities between composite 1-cells.

Next, we define horizontal and vertical compositions of $C$-multinatural transformations.

Definition 2.11. (Yau24, Def. 3.2.7)
Suppose given $\theta: F \Rightarrow G, \zeta: G \Rightarrow H C$-multinatural transformations with $F, G, H: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} C$-multifunctors. The vertical composition $\zeta \theta: F \Rightarrow H$ is defined as having as component at each $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})(\zeta \theta)_{a}$, the composite

$$
1 \xrightarrow{\cong} 1 \otimes 1 \xrightarrow{\zeta_{a} \otimes \theta_{a}} \mathcal{N}(G a ; H a) \otimes \mathcal{N}(F a ; G a) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{N}(F a ; H a) .
$$

Suppose that $\theta: F \Rightarrow G$ and $\zeta: F^{\prime} \Rightarrow G^{\prime}$ are $C$-multinatural transformations with $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $F^{\prime}, G^{\prime}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} C$-multifunctors. The horizontal composition $\zeta * \theta: F^{\prime} F \Rightarrow G^{\prime} G$ is defined as the $C$-multinatural transformation with component at each $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, given by the composite


Remark 2.12. When $C=\mathbf{C a t}$ and given $\theta: F \Rightarrow G, \zeta: G \Rightarrow H$ Cat-multinatural transformations with $F, G, H: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} C$-multifunctors and $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\zeta \theta)_{a}=\gamma\left(\zeta_{a}, \theta_{a} .\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\theta: F \Rightarrow G$ and $\zeta: F^{\prime} \Rightarrow G^{\prime}$ are Cat-multinatural transformations with $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $F^{\prime}, G^{\prime}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ Cat-multifunctors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\zeta * \theta)_{a}=\gamma\left(\zeta_{G a} ; F^{\prime} \theta_{a}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Yau proves in Yau24 that Definitions 2.2, 2.7, 2.8 and 2.11 assemble together to give the 2-category $C$-Multicat, with 0-cells consisting of $C$-multicategories, 1-cells symmetric $C$-multifunctors, and 2-cells $C$-multinatural transformations. There is a non symmetric variant where we drop the requirement that the $C$-multifunctors
preserve the symmetric group action, as well as dropping the coherence axioms related to the symmetric group action, but we won't refer to this 2-category again.

For the rest of the article we fix our symmetric monoidal category $C$ to be $\mathbf{C a t}$, with the symmetric monoidal structure induced by products. In this context we can define a pseudo symmetric variant of this 2-category, namely Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ using the 2-categorical structure of Cat. The objects of Cat-Multicat ${ }^{p s}$ are still Cat-multicategories, but the 1-cells are pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors: Catmultifunctors where we only require that they preserve the symmetric group action up to coherent isomorphisms.

Definition 2.13. (Yau24 Def. 4.1.1) Suppose that $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ are Cat-multicategories. A pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ consists of the following data:

- A function on object sets $F: \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{N})$.
- For each $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, a component functor

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b) .
$$

- For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n},\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, a natural isomorphism $F_{\sigma,\langle a\rangle, b}$


When $\langle a\rangle$ and $b$ are clear from the context we write simply $F_{\sigma}$, and if $f \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle, b))$ we will denote by $F_{\sigma,\langle a\rangle, b ; f}=F_{\sigma ; f}: F(f \sigma) \rightarrow F(f) \sigma$ the 2 -cell in $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle \sigma ; F b)$ corresponding to the component of $F_{\sigma}$ at $f$. Naturality for $F_{\sigma}$ means that given $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ a 2 -cell in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)(f, g)$, the following diagram commutes in $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle \sigma ; b)$ :


These data are subject to the same axioms of unit and composition preservation (2.4) as a symmetric Cat-multifunctor, but we replace the symmetric group action preservation axiom by the following four axioms.

- Unit permutation: Let $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{id}_{n},\langle a\rangle, b}=1_{F} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Product permutation: This axiom expresses the coherence of the natural isomorphisms $F_{\sigma}$, for varying $\sigma$, with respect to the symmetric group action. Let $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \mathrm{Ob}(M)$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_{n}$. Then, the following equality of pasting diagrams holds.


Thus, for every 1-cell $f \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b))$, the following diagram of 2-cells commutes in $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b)$ :


- Top equivariance: For every $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 0,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in$ $\mathrm{Ob}(M)^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i} i_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}\right.$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, the following two pasting diagrams are equal.


Here $\sigma\left\langle\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle=\sigma\left\langle\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(1)}}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma}(n)}\right\rangle$. This means that for 1-cells $f \in$ $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c))$ and $g_{j} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right)\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
F_{\sigma\left\langle\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle ; \gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)}=\gamma\left(F_{\sigma ; f} ;\left\langle 1_{F g_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}\right) .
$$

The domains and codomains of these pasting diagrams are equal by top equivariance in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and the fact that $F$ preserves $\gamma$ implies the commutativity of the empty rectangles, see Yau24.

- Bottom Equivariance: For every $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 0,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(M)^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for
$1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq k_{j}$, and $\tau_{j} \in \Sigma_{k_{j}}$, the following two pasting diagrams are equal.


This means that for 1-cells $f:\langle b\rangle \rightarrow c$ and $g_{j}:\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle \rightarrow b_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$
\left.F_{\mathrm{id}_{n}\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle ; \gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right.}\right)=\gamma\left(1_{F f} ;\left\langle F_{\tau_{j} ; g_{j}}\right\rangle\right)
$$

as 2-cells in $\mathcal{N}\left(\left\langle\left\langle F a_{j}\right\rangle \tau_{j}\right\rangle ; F c\right)$. The domain and codomain of these pasting diagrams are equal by bottom equivariance for $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and the preservation of $\gamma$ by $F$ guarantees that the empty squares commute, see Yau24.

Next we describe the horizontal composition of 1-cells in the 2-category CatMulticat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$.

Definition 2.14. (Yau24 Def. 4.1.1) Let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, and $G: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors. We define the pseudo symmetric functor $G F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. On objects $G F$ is the composite function $G F: \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{Q})$. The composite component functor is given for $\langle a\rangle \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$, and $b \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ by the pasting

$$
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Q}(\langle G F a\rangle ; G F b) .
$$

The symmetry isomorphisms are given for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n},\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $b \in$ $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b) \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Q}(\langle G F a\rangle ; G F b) \\
\quad \underset{F_{\sigma,\langle a\rangle, b}}{\downarrow} \downarrow \sigma \\
\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle \sigma ; b) \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle \sigma ; f b) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \underset{G_{\sigma,\langle F a\rangle, F b}}{\longrightarrow} \downarrow^{\sigma} \\
\mathcal{Q}(\langle G F a\rangle \sigma ; G F b) .
\end{gathered}
$$

That is, for each 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$, the $f$ component of $G F_{\sigma}$ is given by the composite


Next we define the 2-cells of the category Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$.
Definition 2.15. (Yau24 Def. 4.2.1) Suppose that $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors. A pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation $\theta: F \Rightarrow G$ is the data of a component 1-cell $\theta_{a}: F a \rightarrow G a$ for each $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ subject to axioms (2.5), (2.6) and the following extra axiom. For each $n \geq 0$, $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(M)$, object $f \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b))$, and permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, the following arrow equality holds in the category $\mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle \sigma ; b)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(1_{\theta_{b}} ; F_{\sigma ; f}\right)=\gamma\left(G_{\sigma ; f} ;\left\langle 1_{\theta_{a_{\sigma(j)}}}\right\rangle\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can also be expressed diagrammatically as the equality of multicategorical pasting diagrams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle F a\rangle \sigma \xrightarrow{\left\langle\theta_{a(j)}\right\rangle} G\langle a\rangle \sigma \quad\langle F a\rangle \sigma \xrightarrow{\left\langle\theta_{a_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle}\langle G a\rangle \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

where the diagrams are interpreted as in Remark 2.10, the squares commuting by (2.5) and top and bottom equivariance for $\mathcal{N}$, see Yau24.

We define the vertical and horizontal composition of pseudo symmetric Catmultinatural transformations in the same way that we did for symmetric ones, through diagrams (2.7) and (2.8).

It is a theorem of Yau Yau24 that the data we have just defined gives the structure of a 2-category, namely Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. Definition 3.8 says that we can describe this 2-category solely in terms of symmetric Cat-multifunctors and symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations.

## 3. Equivalent definition of Pseudo Symmetry

To prove our first result we use finite products in the category Cat-Multicat. Having just the 1-categorical structure in mind, the products in Cat-Multicat are given in the following way. If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are two Cat-multicategories, then $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ has objects $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N})=\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \times \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{N})$. Now, for $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$, $\langle c\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{N})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $d \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{N})$, we define

$$
\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}(\langle(a, c)\rangle ;(b, d))=\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times \mathcal{N}(\langle c\rangle ; d)
$$

The composition $\gamma$ of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$, as well as the $\Sigma_{*}$ action and the multicategorical units, are defined componentwise. Next we define the pseudo symmetric multifunctor $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ appearing in the statement of 1.1

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Cat-multicategory. We define the pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ which, when there is no room for confusion, we will denote $\eta$. For an object $a \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\eta(a)=(a, *)$. We will abuse notation and denote the object $(a, *)$ of $\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ as $a$.

For $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ we need to define a functor $\eta: \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}$. For a 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$, we define

$$
\eta(f)=\left(f, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M}(a ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}\right) .
$$

Similarly, for a 2-cell $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)$,

$$
\eta(\alpha)=\left(\alpha, 1_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}\right) \in \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}\left(\left(f, \operatorname{id}_{n}\right)\right),\left(g, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)
$$

Next, we define the components of the pseudo symmetry isomorphisms. For $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_{n}$ we will denote from here on by $E_{\sigma}^{\tau}$ the unique arrow $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $E \Sigma_{n}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n},\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$, and $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$ we need to define a natural isomorphism $\eta_{\sigma,\langle a\rangle, b}:(\eta \circ \sigma) \rightarrow(\sigma \circ \eta)$ that fits in the following diagram


The isomorphism $\eta_{\sigma,\langle a\rangle, b}$ is defined for every 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ as the 2-cell

$$
\eta_{\sigma ; f}=\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right):\left(f \sigma, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right) \rightarrow(f \sigma, \sigma)
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Cat-multicategory, then $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ is pseudo symmetric.

Proof. We start from a non symmetric multifunctor $\eta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ that is the identity on the first coordinate and the multicategorical unit in the second coordinate. As a non symmetric multifunctor, $\eta$ preserves units and $\gamma$ composition. We need to show that $\eta$ is a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor. The naturality of $\eta_{\sigma ; f}$ follows from the commutativity of the following diagram for any 2-cell $\alpha: f \rightarrow$ $g$ :


Next we focus on the coherence axioms. The unit permutation axiom (2.10) holds since, for all $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$,

$$
\eta_{\mathrm{id}_{n} ; f}=\left(1_{f \mathrm{id}_{n}}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}^{\mathrm{id}_{n}}\right)=\left(1_{f}, 1_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}\right)=1_{\left(f, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)}=1_{\eta(f)} .
$$

Let $\langle a\rangle, b$ and $f$ be as before, the product permutation axiom (2.11) holds again by definition. Indeed, for $\tau, \sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, we have

$$
\eta_{\sigma \tau ; f}=\left(1_{f \sigma \tau}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma \tau}\right)=\left(1_{f \sigma \tau}, E_{\tau}^{\sigma \tau}\right) \circ\left(1_{f \sigma \tau}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}^{\tau}\right)=\left(\eta_{\sigma ; f} \tau\right) \circ \eta_{\tau ; f \sigma} .
$$

For Top Equivariance (2.12), suppose that $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 1,\langle b\rangle=\left\langle b_{j}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n,\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}} \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, \sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, $f \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}(\langle b\rangle ; c))$, and $g_{j} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right)\right)$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\eta_{\sigma ; f} ;\left\langle 1_{i\left(g_{\sigma(j)}\right)}\right\rangle\right) & =\gamma\left(\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right) ;\left\langle\left(1_{g_{\sigma(j)}}, 1_{\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\gamma\left(1_{f \sigma} ; 1_{g_{\sigma(j)}}\right), \gamma\left(E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma} ; E_{\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}}^{\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j}}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\left(1_{\gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle\right)}, E_{\left.\mathrm{id}_{i \mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}}^{\sigma\left\langle\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j}}\right\rangle}\right\rangle}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{\gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right) \sigma\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{k}}^{\sigma\left\langle\mathrm{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle}\right) \\
& =\eta_{\sigma\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{k_{\sigma(j)}}\right\rangle ; \gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For Bottom Equivariance, let $c, n,\langle b\rangle, k_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n,\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq n, f$ and $g_{j}$ be as above and let $\tau_{j} \in \Sigma_{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We also let $k=\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j}$. Bottom Equivariance (2.13) for $i$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(1_{i f} ;\left\langle\eta_{\tau_{j} ; g_{j}}\right\rangle\right) & =\gamma\left(\left(1_{f}, 1_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}\right) ;\left\langle\left(1_{g_{j} \tau_{j}}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{k_{j}}}^{\tau_{j}}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left(\gamma\left(1_{f} ; 1_{g_{j} \tau_{j}}\right), 1_{\mathrm{id}_{n}}\left\langle E_{\mathrm{id}_{k_{j}}}^{\tau_{j}}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left(1_{\gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j} \tau_{j}\right\rangle\right)}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{k}}^{\operatorname{id}_{n}\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{\gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right) \mathrm{id}_{n}\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle}, E_{\mathrm{id}_{k}}^{\operatorname{id}_{n}\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle}\right) \\
& =\eta_{\mathrm{id}\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle, \gamma\left(f ;\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we conclude that $\eta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ is a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor.

Recall that $j$ : Cat-Multicat $\rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}$ denotes the inclusion functor. We are ready to present a proof of 1.1 .

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be a Cat-multicategories and $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor. There exists a unique symmetric Cat-multifunctor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that the following diagram commutes:


That is, $F=j \phi(F) \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For uniqueness, suppose that $\phi(F): \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a symmetric Cat-multifunctor satisfying $F=(j \phi(F)) \circ \eta$. We will abuse notation and write $j \phi(F)=\phi(F)$. We will prove there is a unique way of defining $\phi(F)$. At the level of the objects of the multicategory we must have $\phi(F)(a, *)=\phi(F) \circ \eta(a)=$ $F(a)$ for each $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$. Next, we show that there is a unique way of defining each component functor of $\phi(F)$. For this let $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and
consider the functor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; F b)$. If $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ is an 1-cell and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, we must have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(F)(f, \sigma) & =\phi(F)\left(\left(f \sigma^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right) \sigma\right) \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\left(f \sigma^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)\right) \sigma \\
& =\phi(F) \circ \eta\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma \\
& =F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second equality we used that $\phi(F)$ is symmetric. So the values of the component functors of $\phi(F)$ on $n$-ary 1-cells are uniquely determined by $F$. In exactly the same fashion, for $\langle a\rangle, b$ and $\sigma$ as before, $f, g:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$, and $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ a 2-cell,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right)=F\left(\alpha \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if $f, \sigma$ are as before and $\tau \in \Sigma_{n}$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) & =\phi(F)\left(1_{f \sigma^{-1}} \sigma, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\tau \sigma^{-1}} \sigma\right) \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\left(1_{f \sigma^{-1}}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\tau \sigma^{-1}}\right)\right) \sigma \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\eta_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\left(\phi(F) \circ \eta_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We have used the definition of composition of pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors (2.14) where we see $\phi(F)$ trivially as a pseudo symmetric functor. Since, for $\langle a\rangle, b, f, g, \alpha, \sigma$, and $\tau$ as before we can write the morphism $\left(\alpha: f \rightarrow g, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times \Sigma_{n}$ as $\left(1_{y}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) \circ\left(f, 1_{\sigma}\right)$ for and both $\phi(F)\left(1_{y}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)$ and $\phi(F)\left(f, 1_{\sigma}\right)$ are uniquely determined by $F$ we conclude that the component functors of $\phi(F)$ are uniquely determined by $F$, and so we have proven the uniqueness of $\phi(F)$.

Next we prove the existence of $\phi(F)$. By uniqueness, we have no choice but to define $\phi(F)(b, *)=F b$ for any $b \in \operatorname{Ob}(M)$. Likewise, for $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}$ and $b \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, uniqueness forces the definition of the component functor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times$ $\Sigma_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; b)$. For $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n} \phi(F)(f, \sigma)$ is defined by (3.1), for $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ a 2-cell, we define $\phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right)$ by (3.2) and for $\tau \in \Sigma_{n}$ we define $\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)$ by (3.3). First, we notice that for a 1-cell $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ such definition is ambiguous for the identity arrow $\left(1_{f}, 1_{\sigma}\right)$ since both (3.2) and (3.3) apply. However, $\phi(F)$ is well defined in this case since $F$ is a functor componentwise and so it preserves identities. Explicitly,

$$
F\left(1_{f} \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma=F\left(1_{f \sigma^{-1}}\right) \sigma=1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right)} \sigma=1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma},
$$

and

$$
\left(F_{\sigma \sigma^{-1}, f \sigma^{-1}}\right) \sigma=F_{\mathrm{id}_{n}, f \sigma^{-1}} \sigma=1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right)} \sigma=1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma}
$$

So our definition is so far unambiguous and $\phi(F)$ preserves identities. Next, we go on to extend the definition of $\phi(F)$ to the rest of the arrows. For $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ 2-cell in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle, b)$ and $\sigma, \tau$ in $\Sigma_{n}$, we define $\phi(F)\left(\alpha, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right): F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma \rightarrow F\left(g \tau^{-1}\right) \tau$ by

$$
\phi(F)\left(\alpha, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)=\phi(F)\left(1_{g}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\tau}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equality together with the preservation of identities already proven implies that our definition is unambiguous. This equality holds since,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(F)\left(1_{g}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right) & =\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; g \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \circ F\left(\alpha \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma \\
& =\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; g \tau^{-1}} \circ F\left(\alpha \sigma^{-1}\right)\right) \sigma \\
& =\left(F\left(\alpha \tau^{-1}\right) \tau \sigma^{-1} \circ F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =F\left(\alpha \tau^{-1}\right) \tau \circ\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\tau}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third equality holds since the commutativity of the following diagram is an instance of the pseudo symmetry naturality coherence axiom for $F$ (2.9). Explicitly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
F\left(f \tau^{-1} \tau \sigma^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{F_{\tau \sigma^{-1 ; f \tau^{-1}}}} F\left(f \tau^{-1}\right) \tau \sigma^{-1} \\
F\left(\alpha \tau^{-1} \tau \sigma^{-1}\right) \downarrow  \tag{3.5}\\
F\left(g \tau^{-1} \tau \sigma^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow[F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; g \tau^{-1}}]{ } F F\left(g \tau^{-1}\right) \tau \sigma^{-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, we check that the defined assignments give a functor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times$ $E \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\langle F a\rangle ; b)$. The fact that $\phi(F)$ preserves identities was already proven. We prove functoriality in the first variable first. For $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ 1-cell, $\sigma, \tau$, and $\rho$ in $\Sigma_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\tau}^{\rho}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) & =\left(F_{\rho \tau^{-1} ; f \rho^{-1}} \tau\right) \circ\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}} \sigma\right) \\
& =\left(\left(F_{\rho \tau^{-1} ; f \rho^{-1}}\right) \tau \sigma^{-1} \circ F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\left(F_{\rho \sigma^{-1} ; f \rho^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\rho}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the third equality holds by (2.11), which implies the commutativity of the following diagram:


On the other hand, if $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ and $\beta: g \rightarrow h$ are 2-cells in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(F)\left(\beta, 1_{\sigma}\right) \circ \phi(F)\left(\alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right)=\phi(F)\left(\beta \alpha, 1_{\sigma}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functoriality of $\phi(F)$ follows from a straightforward argument by eqs. (3.6) and (3.8) together with the exchange property (3.4).

The next step is to prove that the component functors give rise to a symmetric Cat-multifunctor $\phi(F): \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. First, notice that $\phi(F)$ preserves units since, for $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}) \phi(F)\left(1_{a}, \mathrm{id}_{1}\right)=F\left(1_{a} \mathrm{id}_{1}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{id}_{1}=F\left(1_{a}\right)=1_{F a}$, since $F$ itself preserves units. Next we prove that $\phi(F)$ preserves the $\Sigma_{n}$-action. For $n \geq 0$, $\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, we show that the following diagram commutes in Cat:


For this we don't need any of the pseudo symmetry axioms for $F$. For 1-cells $(f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b, \tau)$ of $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(F)(f, \tau) \sigma & =\left(F\left(f \tau^{-1}\right) \tau\right) \sigma \\
& =F\left(f \tau^{-1}\right) \tau \sigma \\
& =F\left(f \sigma(\tau \sigma)^{-1}\right) \tau \sigma \\
& =\phi(F)((f \sigma, \tau \sigma))) \\
& =\phi(F)((f, \tau) \sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar calculation works for 2-cells of the form $\left(\alpha: f \rightarrow g, 1_{\tau}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}$. For morphisms of the form $\left(1_{f}, E_{\tau}^{\rho}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\tau}^{\rho}\right)\right) \sigma & =\left(F_{\left.\rho \tau^{-1} ; f \rho^{-1} \tau\right) \sigma}\right. \\
& =F_{\rho \tau^{-1} ; f \rho^{-1}}(\tau \sigma) \\
& =F_{\rho \sigma(\tau \sigma)^{-1} ; f \sigma(\rho \sigma)^{-1}}(\tau \sigma) \\
& =\phi(F)\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\tau \sigma}^{\rho \sigma}\right) \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\left(1_{f}, E_{\tau}^{\rho}\right) \sigma\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By functoriality of $\phi(F)$ and $\sigma$ we conclude that $\phi(F)$ preserves the action of the symmetric group.

The only step we are missing to finish proving that $\phi(F)$ defines a Cat-multifunctor is the preservation of $\gamma$. Let $c \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M}), n \geq 0,\langle b\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, k_{j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Set $k=\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{j}$. As usual $\langle a\rangle=\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle a_{j, i}\right\rangle_{i=1}^{k_{j}}\right\rangle_{j=1}^{n}$ denotes the concatenation of the $a_{j}$ 's. We will prove that the following square is commutative:


The commutativity of this diagram at the level of 1-cells will follow from top and bottom equivariance for $\mathcal{M}$ and $\Sigma_{*}$, as well as the fact that $F$ preserves $\gamma$. Let $f:\langle b\rangle \rightarrow c, \sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, and $g_{j}:\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle \rightarrow b_{j}$ and $\tau_{j} \in \Sigma_{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\phi(F)(f, \sigma),\left\langle\phi(F)\left(g_{j}, \tau_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right) & =\gamma\left(F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma,\left\langle F\left(g_{j} \tau_{j}^{-1}\right) \tau_{j}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(\left(F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right),\left\langle F\left(g_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right)\right\rangle\right) \sigma\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle\right. \\
& =F\left(\gamma\left(f \sigma^{-1},\left\langle g_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right\rangle\right)\right) \sigma\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =F\left(\gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)\left(\sigma\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{-1}\right) \sigma\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\phi(F)\left(\gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right), \sigma\left\langle\tau_{j}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\phi(F)\left(\gamma\left((f, \sigma),\left\langle g_{j}, \tau_{j}\right\rangle\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proven that our diagram is commutative at the level of 1-cells. For the morphisms we will consider again morphisms that change the first variable only and morphisms that change the second variable only separately.

For 2-cells that change the first variable only, the commutativity of our diagram follows in the same way as it did for 1 -cells. We consider two cases for 2 -cells that change the second variable. For 2-cells of the form $\left(\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right),\left\langle 1_{g_{j}}, 1_{\rho_{j}}\right\rangle\right)$ where $f:\langle b\rangle \rightarrow c, \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_{n}$, and $g_{j} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\rho_{j} \in \Sigma_{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)\left\langle\phi(F)\left(1_{g_{j}}, 1_{\rho_{j}}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \gamma\left(\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma,\left\langle 1_{F\left(g_{j} \rho_{j}^{-1}\right) \rho_{j}}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \gamma\left(F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}},\left\langle 1_{F\left(g_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \rho_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right)}\right)\right) \sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & F_{\tau \sigma^{-1}\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{k_{\sigma-1}(j)}\right\rangle ; \gamma\left(f \tau^{-1}\left\langle g_{\tau^{-1}(j)} \rho_{\tau^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right\rangle\right)} \sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & F_{\tau\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle\left(\sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{-1} ; \gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\left(\tau\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{-1} \sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle\right.} \\
= & \phi(F)\left(1_{\gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)}, E_{\sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle}^{\tau\langle }\right) \\
= & \phi(F)\left(\gamma\left(1_{f},\left\langle 1_{g_{j}}\right\rangle\right), \gamma\left(E_{\sigma}^{\tau},\left\langle 1_{\rho_{j}}\right\rangle\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equalities follow from our definitions, top and bottom equivariance in $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$, and $E \Sigma_{*}$ except the third equality which follows from top equivariance for $F$ (2.12). Next, let's consider two cells of the form $\left(\left(1_{f}, 1_{\sigma}\right),\left\langle 1_{g_{j}}, E_{\rho_{j}}^{\nu_{j}}\right\rangle\right)$ where $f:\langle b\rangle \rightarrow c, \sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, and $g_{j} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M}\left(\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle ; b_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\rho_{j}, \nu_{j} \in \Sigma_{k_{j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. We get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\phi(F)\left(1_{f}, 1_{\sigma}\right), \phi(F)\left\langle\left(1_{h_{j}}, E_{\rho_{j}}^{\nu_{j}}\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \gamma\left(1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma},\left(F_{\nu_{j} \rho_{j}^{-1} ; g_{j} \nu_{j}^{-1}}\right) \rho_{j}\right) \\
= & \gamma\left(1_{F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right)},\left\langle F_{\nu_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \rho_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}} ; g_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \nu_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right.\right. \\
= & \left.F_{\operatorname{id}_{n}\left\langle\nu_{\sigma-1}(j)\right.} \rho_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right\rangle ; \gamma\left(f \sigma^{-1},\left\langle g_{\sigma^{-1}(j)} \nu_{\sigma_{\sigma}^{-1}(j)}^{-1}\right\rangle\right) \sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \left.F_{\sigma\left\langle\nu_{j}\right\rangle\left(\sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{-1} ; \gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)\left(\rho\left\langle\nu_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{-1} \sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle}\right\rangle \\
= & \phi(F)\left(1_{\gamma\left(f,\left\langle g_{j}\right\rangle\right)},\left\langle E_{\sigma\left\langle\rho_{j}\right\rangle}^{\sigma\left\langle\nu_{j}\right\rangle}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \phi(F)\left(\gamma\left(\left(1_{f}, 1_{\sigma}\right),\left\langle\left(1_{g_{j}}, E_{\rho_{j}}^{\nu_{j}}\right)\right\rangle\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third equality above follows from the bottom equivariance axiom for $F(2.13)$ and the rest by our definitions as well as top and bottom equivariance for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$, and $E \Sigma_{*}$.

By functoriality of $\gamma$ and $\phi(F)$, and since every morphism in the source category can be written as a composite of arrows for which we already proved the commutativity of (3.9), we can conclude that the square (3.9) is commutative.

We are almost done, we just have to prove that our definition of $\phi(F)$ gives us $F=\phi(F) \circ \eta$ in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathrm{ps}}$. This is clear for objects of the multicategory $\mathcal{M}$. For each $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, and $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$,

$$
\phi(F) \circ \eta(f)=\phi(F)\left(f, \mathrm{id}_{n}\right)=F\left(f \mathrm{id}_{n}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{id}_{n}=F(f)
$$

Similarly for $\alpha: f \rightarrow g$ a 2-cell in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b)$. Finally, we just need to prove that $(\phi(F) \circ i)_{\sigma,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle, b}=F_{\sigma,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle}, c$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$. Let $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ be a 1-cell. Since $\phi(F)$ is symmetric,

$$
(\phi(F) \eta)_{\sigma ; f}=\phi(F)\left(\eta_{\sigma ; f}\right)=\phi(F)\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}^{\sigma}}\right)=F_{\sigma(\mathrm{id})^{-1} ; f \sigma \sigma^{-1}}=F_{\sigma ; f}
$$

We have proven that $j \phi(F) \circ \eta=F$. This finishes our proof.
Similarly, pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations between $F$ and $G$ correspond to symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations between $\phi(F)$ and $\phi(G)$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be Cat-multicategories with $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors and $\theta: F \rightarrow G$ a pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation. There exists a unique symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation $\phi(\theta): \phi(F) \rightarrow \phi(G)$ such that $\phi(\theta) * 1_{\eta_{\mathcal{M}}}=\theta$ in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. That is, the following pasting diagram equality holds in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}$ :


Proof. We prove uniqueness first. Suppose $\phi(\theta)$ is a symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation $\phi(\theta): \phi(F) \rightarrow \phi(G)$ such that $\phi(\theta) * 1_{\eta}=\theta$. Any object of $\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ takes the form $(a, *)$ for some object $a$ of $\mathcal{M}$, with $i(a)=(a, *)$. By definition,

$$
\left.\theta_{a}=\gamma\left(\phi(\theta)_{\eta a}, \phi(F)\left(\left(1_{\eta}\right)_{a}\right)\right)=\gamma\left(\phi(\theta)_{\eta a}, 1_{F a}\right)\right)=\phi(\theta)_{\eta a}
$$

Since all objects of the Cat-multifunctor $\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ are of the form $\eta a$ for some object $a$ of $\mathcal{M}$, this is the only possible way of defining such Cat-multinatural transformation $\phi(\theta)$. Next, we check that by defining $\phi(\theta)_{(a, *)}=\theta_{a}$ for $a \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$, we in fact get a symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation $\phi(\theta): \phi(F) \rightarrow \phi(G)$. Let $n \geq 0,\langle a\rangle \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}, b \in\left(\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})^{n}\right), f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$, and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\phi(G)(f, \sigma) ;\left\langle\phi(\theta)_{\left(a_{j}, *\right)}\right\rangle\right) & =\gamma\left(G\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma ;\left\langle\theta_{a_{j}}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(G\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) ;\left\langle\theta_{a_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}}\right\rangle\right) \sigma \\
& =\gamma\left(\theta_{b} ; F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right)\right) \sigma \\
& =\gamma\left(\theta_{b} ; F\left(f \sigma^{-1}\right) \sigma\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(\phi(\theta)_{(b, *)}, \phi(F)(f, \sigma)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where we have used top and bottom equivariance, as well as the Cat-multinaturality of $\theta$. Now we need to prove Cat-multinaturality of $\phi(\theta)$ for 2-cells. As before, the
case where the 2-cell changes just the first variable is similar to what was done for 1 -cells. Now, if $\langle a\rangle, b, f$ are as before and $E_{\sigma}^{\tau}$ is a morphism in $E \Sigma_{n},\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}(\langle a\rangle ; b) \times E \Sigma_{n}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\phi(G)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right) ;\left\langle 1_{\phi(\theta)_{\left(a_{j}, *\right)}}\right\rangle\right) & =\gamma\left(\left(G_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma ;\left\langle 1_{\theta_{a_{j}}}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(G_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}} ;\left\langle 1_{\theta_{a_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}}}\right\rangle\right) \sigma \\
& =\gamma\left(1_{\theta_{b}} ; F_{\tau \sigma^{-1} ; f \tau^{-1}}\right) \sigma \\
& =\gamma\left(1_{\phi(\theta)_{(b, *)}} ; \phi(F)\left(1_{f}, E_{\sigma}^{\tau}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality we have used pseudo symmetric Cat-multinaturality for $\theta$. In conclusion, by componentwise functoriality of $\gamma, \phi(F)$ and $\phi(G)$ we conclude that Cat-multinaturality holds for $\phi(\theta)$ at the 2-cell level finishing the proof of the lemma.

Furthermore, Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 together give the following isomorphism.
Corollary 3.5. If $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ are $\mathbf{C a t}$ multicategories, then there is an isomorphism of small categories

Proof. Recalling the definitions from the two previous results, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: \text { Cat-Multicat }{ }^{\mathbf{p s}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \text { Cat-Multicat }\left(\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}, \mathcal{M}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors as in Theorem 3.3 and for pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations as in Lemma 3.4

It is immediate from the definitions that $\phi$ is a functor. Indeed, if $\alpha: F \rightarrow$ $G$ and $\beta: G \rightarrow H$ are pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations with $F, G, H: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$

$$
\phi(\beta * \alpha)_{(c, *)}=(\beta * \alpha)_{c}=\gamma\left(\beta_{c}, \alpha_{c}\right)=\gamma\left(\phi(\beta)_{(c, *)}, \phi(\alpha)_{(c, *)}\right)=(\phi(\beta) * \phi(\alpha))_{(c, *)}
$$

We can define the inverse of $\phi, \eta^{*}$, as the composite


Finally, the existence part of Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 implies that $\eta^{*} \circ \phi$ is the identity of Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, while the uniqueness part of both results implies that $\phi \circ \eta^{*}$ is the identity of Cat-Multicat $\left(\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}, \mathcal{N}\right)$.

The two previous results hint at the existence of a 2 -adjunction between the 2 inclusion $j$ : Cat-Multicat $\rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}$ and the 2-functor which we define next.

Definition 3.6. We define the 2 -functor $\psi$ : Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }} \rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat as follows. For a Cat-multicategory $\mathcal{M}, \psi \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$. For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ Catmulticategories, we define the component functor $\psi$ as the composite


Thus, by Theorem 3.3 if $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor, then $\psi F: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ is the unique symmetric Cat-multifunctor which makes the diagram

commute in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. Similarly, by Lemma 3.4, for $\theta: F \rightarrow G$ a pseudo symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation between $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors, $\psi \theta: \psi F \rightarrow \psi G$ is the unique symmetric Cat-multinatural transformation such that the equality of pasting diagrams
holds in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$.
Theorem 3.7. There is a 2-adjunction

where $j$ is the inclusion 2-functor.
Proof. Following Corollary 3.5, we define the unit of the adjunction as the strict 2-natural transformation $\eta$ : $1_{\text {Cat-Multicatps }} \rightarrow j \psi$ having component $\eta_{\mathcal{M}}$ at a Catmulticategory $\mathcal{M}$. We also define the counit of the adjunction $\pi: \psi j \rightarrow 1_{\text {Cat-Multicat }}$ as having component at a Cat-multicategory $\mathcal{M}$ the projection $\pi_{M}: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}$.

The fact that $\eta$ defines a strict 2 -natural transformation follows directly from (3.12) and (3.13). To prove that the data of $\pi$ defines a strict 2-natural transformation we need to prove that given $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ symmetric Cat-multifunctor, the following diagram commutes:


Indeed, we prove that $\psi j F=F \times 1_{E \Sigma_{*}}$. By (3.12), it suffices to show that the following diagram commutes in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ :


It is clear that this diagram commutes at the level of objects, 1-cells, and 2-cells of the multicategory. The pseudo symmetry isomorphisms of both composites also agree. Indeed, for $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ a 1-cell of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, by (2.14), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(j(F \times 1) \eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{\sigma ; f}= & j(F \times 1)_{\sigma ; \eta_{\mathcal{M}}(f)} \circ j(F \times 1)\left(\eta_{\mathcal{M}_{\sigma ; f}}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{(F f) \sigma}, 1_{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(1_{(F f) \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{(F f) \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(1_{(F f) \sigma}, 1_{\sigma}\right) \\
& =\eta_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma ; F f}} \circ \eta_{\mathcal{N}}\left(j F_{\sigma ; f}\right) \\
& =\left(\eta_{\mathcal{N}} \circ j F\right)_{\sigma ; f} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To finish proving the 2-naturality of $\pi_{\mathcal{M}}$, we need to prove that given $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ Cat-multicategories, $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ Cat-multifunctors and a Cat-multinatural transformation $\theta: F \rightarrow G$, the following equality of pasting diagrams holds in Cat-Multicat:

In turn, the last equality of pasting diagrams holds since $\psi j \theta=j(\theta \times 1)$. To see this, by (3.13), we must show the following equality of pasting diagrams in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ :


To check that this equality holds let $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})$. We get, by (2.8), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1_{\eta_{\mathcal{N}}} * j \theta\right)_{a} & =\gamma\left(1_{\eta_{\mathcal{N}}(j G a)} ; \eta_{\mathcal{N}}\left(\theta_{a}\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(\left(1_{G a}, 1_{\mathrm{id}}\right) ;\left(\theta_{a}, 1_{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(\left(\theta_{a}, 1_{\mathrm{id}}\right) ;\left(1_{F a}, 1_{\mathrm{id}}\right)\right) \\
& =\gamma\left(j(\theta \times 1)_{\eta_{\mathcal{N}}(a)} ; j(F \times 1)\left(1_{\eta_{\mathcal{M}}(a)}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(j(\theta \times 1) * \eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\eta$ and $\pi$ are strict 2-natural transformations and we just need to prove that they satisfy the triangle identities. To prove that the identity $\left(1_{j} * \pi\right)\left(\eta * 1_{j}\right)=1_{j}$ holds we need to prove that for $\mathcal{M}$ a Cat-multicategory the diagram

commutes in Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. This is clear at the level of objects, $n$-ary 1-cells and $n$-ary 2 -cells. The pseudo symmetry isomorphisms of both pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors also agree since, for $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ an $n$-ary 1-cell of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$, we obtain, by (2.14),

$$
\left(\left(j \pi_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{\sigma ; f}=\left(j \pi_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{\sigma ; \eta_{\mathcal{M}}(f)} \circ j \pi_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\eta_{M_{\sigma ; f}}\right)=1_{f \sigma}=1_{\mathcal{M} \sigma ; f}
$$

The other triangle identity is $\left(\pi * 1_{\psi}\right)\left(1_{\psi} * \eta\right)=1_{\psi}$. To check it, we must prove that, given a Cat-multicategory $\mathcal{M}$, the composite

$$
\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{\psi \eta_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}}} \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}
$$

agrees with $1_{\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}}$. This holds since, if $\Delta: E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow E \Sigma_{*} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ denotes the diagonal map, then $\psi\left(\eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)=1_{\mathcal{M}} \times \Delta$. To see this, notice that by (3.12) all we need is to prove that the following diagram is commutative:


Now, the previous diagram is evidently commutative at the level of objects, 1cells, and 2-cells. The diagram also commutes at the level of pseudo symmetry isomorphisms since, for $f:\langle a\rangle \rightarrow b$ an $n$-ary 1-cell in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\eta_{\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}} \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{\sigma ; f} & =\eta_{\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{* \sigma ; \eta_{\mathcal{M}}(f)} \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}}\left(\eta_{M_{\sigma ; f}}\right)} \\
& =\left(1_{f \sigma}, 1_{\sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}, 1_{\mathrm{id}}\right) \\
& =\left(1_{f \sigma}, 1_{\sigma}, 1_{\sigma}\right) \circ\left(1_{f \sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}, E_{\mathrm{id}}^{\sigma}\right) \\
& =j(1 \times \Delta)_{\sigma ; \eta_{\mathcal{M}}(f)} \circ j(1 \times \Delta)\left(\eta_{\mathcal{M}_{\sigma ; f}}\right) \\
& =\left(j(1 \times \Delta) \circ \eta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{\sigma ; f}
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that the triangle identities are satisfied and thus we get the desired 2 -adjunction.

We can use this 2-adjunction to describe the 2-category Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ in terms of symmetric Cat-multifunctors and symmetric Cat-multinatural transformations alone, thus upgrading the functors $\phi$ from Corollary 3.5to an isomorphism of 2-categories.

Definition 3.8. The 2-category $\mathbf{D}$ has Cat-multicategories as objects. For $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ Cat-multicategories, the category of morphisms between $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is

$$
\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})=\text { Cat-Multicat }\left(\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}, \mathcal{N}\right)
$$

In particular, vertical composition of 2-cells is defined as in Cat-Multicat. For $F: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $G: \mathcal{N} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ symmetric Cat-multifunctors, the composition $G \circ F$ is defined as the composite

$$
\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{1 \times \Delta} \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{F \times 1} \mathcal{N} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Q}
$$

in Cat-Multicat. Similarly, for $F, J: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, G, K: \mathcal{N} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ symmetric Cat-multifunctors and $\theta: F \rightarrow J, \zeta: G \rightarrow K$ Cat-multinatural transformations, $\zeta * \theta$ is defined as the pasting

$$
\mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \xrightarrow{1 \times \Delta} \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \times E \underset{J \times 1}{E \Sigma_{*} \quad \downarrow \theta \times 1} \mathcal{N} \times E \underset{\underbrace{\Sigma_{*} \overbrace{\Downarrow}}_{K}}{G \times 1} \mathcal{Q}
$$

in Cat-Multicat.

The previous definition makes $\mathbf{D}$ into a 2-category and the functors $\phi$, and $\eta^{*}$ from Corollary 3.5 into the components of isomorphisms of 2-categories.

Theorem 3.9. The data of the previous definition defines a 2-category $\mathbf{D}$ isomorphic to Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$.

Proof. The (horizontal) composition functors are defined so that $\phi$ and $\eta^{*}$ become the componentwise functors of a 2-category isomorphism between $\mathbf{D}$ and CatMulticat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$. More precisely, for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ Cat-multicategories, we will prove that the $\mathbf{D}$ composition functor defined, $\circ^{\prime}: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}) \times \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q})$, makes the following diagram commute, where o denotes the horizontal composition functor of Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\text {ps }}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}) \times \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{o^{\prime}} \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q})  \tag{3.17}\\
\eta * \times \eta * \downarrow \\
\text { Cat-Multicat }^{\mathbf{p s}}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}) \times \text { Cat-Multicat }^{\mathbf{p s}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow[\circ]{\longrightarrow} \text { Cat-Multicat }^{\mathbf{p s}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q})
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $G: \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{Q}$ and $F: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be symmetric Cat-multifunctors. The commutativity of (3.17) for $(G, F)$ reduces to the commutativity of the following diagram by Theorem 3.3:


This diagram in turn is commutative by (3.14) and (3.16). Now, if $F, G$ are as before, $J: \mathcal{M} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ and $K: \mathcal{N} \times E \Sigma_{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ are symmetric Cat-multifunctors, and $\theta: F \rightarrow J, \zeta: G \rightarrow K$ are Cat-multinatural transformations, by Lemma 3.4, the commutativity of (3.17) for $(\zeta, \theta)$ reduces to the equality of pasting diagrams:


This equality holds by (3.15) and makes implicit use of (3.14) and (3.16). We can thus define $\phi$ : Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ in objects as the identity map, and do the same for $\eta^{*}: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow$ Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}$, with the component functors given for $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ multicategories by (3.10) and (3.11) respectively. By (3.17) and the fact that $\phi$ and $\eta^{*}$ are componentwise isomorphisms, $\phi$ and $\eta$ preserve vertical composition of 2-cells and horizontal composition of 1-cells and 2-cells. The fact that Cat-Multicat ${ }^{\mathbf{p s}}$ is a 2-category implies that $\mathbf{D}$ is a 2 -category. This further turns $\phi$ and $\eta^{*}$ into isomorphisms of 2-categories.

## 4. Applications to inverse $K$ theory

We use our understanding of pseudo symmetric multifunctors to show that they preserve $E_{n}$-algebras for $n=1,2,3, \ldots, \infty$. First we define $E_{n}$ Cat-operads.

Definition 4.1. For $n=1, \ldots, \infty$, an $E_{n}$ Cat-operad is a Cat-operad that becomes a topological $E_{n}$-operad (in the sense of May72) after applying the classifying space functor. A topological $E_{n}$ operad is one that has the same $\Sigma$-equivariant homotopy type as the little $n$-cubes operad.
Example 4.2. An example of an $E_{\infty}$ Cat-operad is $E \Sigma_{*}$. There are also examples of $E_{n}$ Cat-operads for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ in Ber96] and BFSV03, which furthermore have a free action of the symmetric group (on objects).
Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Cat-multicategory and $\mathcal{O}$ a Cat-operad. An algebra (respectively a pseudo symmetric algebra) in $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}$ is a symmetric (respectively pseudo symmetric) Cat-multifunctor $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. For $n \in\{1,2, \ldots, \infty\}$, an $E_{n}$ algebra (respectively a pseudo symmetric $E_{n}$ algebra) in $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebra (respectively a pseudo symmetric algebra) over an $E_{n}$ operad.

Remark 4.4. If $\mathcal{O}$ is Cat-operad and $\mathcal{M}$ is a Cat-multicategory, the pseudo symmetric algebras over $\mathcal{O}$ agree with symmetric algebras over the operad $\mathcal{O} \times$ $E \Sigma_{*}$. For example, while algebras over the commutative operad $\{*\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ are the commutative monoids in $\mathcal{M}$, pseudo symmetric algebras over $\{*\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ are precisely algebras over the Barratt-Eccles operad and thus, $E_{\infty}$-algebras. Similarly, pseudo symmetric algebras over the $E_{\infty}$ Cat-operad $E \Sigma_{*}$, which are defined in Yau24 as pseudo symmetric $E_{\infty}$ algebras in $\mathcal{M}$, are algebras over $E \Sigma_{*} \times E \Sigma_{*}=E\left(\Sigma_{*} \times \Sigma_{*}\right)$ which is still an $E_{\infty}$ Cat-operad, and thus, they are still $E_{\infty}$ algebras in the sense
defined above. If we let $\mathcal{O}$ be a symmetric Cat-operad with a free action of the symmetric group, $\mathcal{O} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ is componentwise $\Sigma$-equivariantly homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{O}$ (after taking nerves), that is, for each $n \geq 0$, the projection $\mathcal{O}(n) \times E \Sigma_{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}(n)$ induces a $\Sigma_{n}$ equivariant homotopy equivalence on nerves. Thus, we have the following result.

## Lemma 4.5.

(1) Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a ( $\Sigma$-free) $E_{n} \mathbf{C a t - o p e r a d . ~ T h e n ~} \mathcal{O} \times E \Sigma_{*}$ is an $E_{n} \mathbf{C a t}$-operad.
(2) Pseudo symmetric $E_{n}$ algebras over ( $\Sigma$-free) $E_{n}$ Cat-operads are $E_{n}$ algebras for $n=1,2, \ldots, \infty$.

We remind the reader that freeness is not a serious restriction since there are $E_{n}$ operads in Cat, like those in Ber96 and BFSV03 which are free. As a corollary we conclude that pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors preserve $E_{n}$ algebras.

Corollary 4.6. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be Cat-multicategories and $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor, then:
(1) $F$ sends commutative monoids in $\mathcal{M}$ to $E_{\infty}$ algebras in $\mathcal{N}$.
(2) $F$ sends $E_{n}$-algebras (parameterized by free Cat-operads), to $E_{n}$-algebras.

We conclude our paper by applying our understanding of pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctors to multifunctorial inverse $K$-theory. In JY22, Johnson and Yau define Mandell's inverse $K$-theory multifunctor $\mathcal{P}$ as well as the Cat-multicategories that are its domain ( $\Gamma$-categories) and target (permutative categories). Yau proves in Yau24 that $\mathcal{P}$ is pseudo symmetric. We refer the interested reader Yau24 of which the following theorem is one of the main results.

Theorem 4.7. Yau24 Mandell's inverse $K$-theory functor is a pseudo symmetric Cat-multifunctor $\mathcal{P}: \Gamma$-Cat $\rightarrow$ PermCat ${ }^{\text {sg }}$.

As a consequence, $\mathcal{P}$ sends commutative monoids to $E_{\infty}$ algebras and preserves $E_{n}$ algebras, as was stated in Corollary 1.2.
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