



January 12, 2012

MEMORANDUM

To: Campus Planning Committee

From: Christine Taylor Thompson, Planning Associate
Campus Planning and Real Estate

Subject: Campus Planning Committee Meeting, February 16, 2012

The next meeting of the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) will be held on Thursday, February 16, 2012 from 3:00 to 4:30 P.M. in the Student Recreation Center Bonus Room (see map on page 4 – please use the side entrance at the lower level, adjacent to the outdoor fields).

All meetings are open to the public. Members, please bring your copy of the *Campus Plan*.

Agenda:

1. Student Recreation Center Expansion and Renovation Project – Check-in #2

Background: The purpose of this agenda item is to review initial design elevations and site design options for the Student Recreation Center Expansion and Renovation Project. The project will come back to the committee for final review when the schematic design is complete.

The CPC last reviewed the project at its January 18, 2012 meeting. At this check in meeting, the committee made the following comments about initial siting and massing options:

- Ensure that the project's exterior design does not result in a series of fragmented buildings, in particular in the context of the existing SRC facility, Esslinger Hall, and the EMU.
- Strongly consider integrating solar-heated water to take advantage of the roof space and south-facing orientation. Also, provide an educational element for students in the building (similar to the existing display).
- Better define the east entry; the proposed deep recess is not adequate.
- Ensure that the east façade fits into the fabric of the campus's design and function. As designed, the proposed shape is not found on campus. Use the existing 15th Avenue façade as an example of how the design should connect to the broader campus and Esslinger Hall through the use of materials, a main entry, façade definition, and roof shape.
- Reassess the design and function of the east façade's angled cantilever over the pathway. As designed, it does not seem to relate to the fabric of campus, and it only partially covers the proposed seating area. Recognize the range of

- important functions the covered space serves and determine how best to address the key functions (consider moving bike parking out of this area).
- Carefully define how best to provide bike access on the north/south pathway (consider bicyclists traveling on the path and bike parking).
 - Maintain the focus on improving the north/south pathway.
 - Thoughtfully consider how to use open-space enhancement funds (refer to further discussion below).

In addition, the CPC held preliminary discussions about this project at Meeting One (March 8, 2011). The committee supported the identified *Campus Plan* patterns and policies for the project (refer to attachment, page 4) with the understanding that the following comments will be considered as the project moves forward:

- Consider the future of Mac Court when determining how to meet programmatic needs and when designing and siting the proposed addition.
- Recognize the importance of Policy 8: Universal Access.
- Accommodate gender-inclusive spaces.
- Give serious consideration to Policy 10: Sustainable Development. Use this project as a test case for implementing the proposed Oregon Model for Sustainable Development policy (e.g., integrate educational components, consider alternate energy sources, remodel existing spaces to compensate for additional energy use).
- Enhance the existing pathway that bisects the block to provide a safe environment for both pedestrians and bicyclists. Thoughtfully consider the appropriate use of the pathway to determine appropriate enhancements.
- Thoughtfully address the new *Campus Plan* pattern Welcoming to All, recognizing that the SRC is a facility open to all.
- Carefully coordinate bike parking needs with the EMU and overall campus needs. Also consider the potential to provide space for the proposed Bike Share program.

Please review the attached project background materials prior to the meeting.

Action: No formal action is requested. The committee's comments will be considered as the project moves forward.

Please contact this office if you have questions.

cc. Vince Babkirk, Campus Operations
Jane Brubaker, Campus Operations
Gwen Bolden, DPS
Darin Dehle, Campus Operations
Ben Eckstein, ASUO
Emily Eng, CPRE
Lisa Gardner, Eugene Planning
Dave Guadagni, Robertson Sherwood
Terri Harding, Eugene Planning
Brent Harrison, SRC
Bryan Haunert, PE and Rec

Dave Hubin, President's Office
Matt Koehler, CMGS
Charlene Lindsay, Campus Ops
Garrick Mishaga, Campus Ops
Gene Mowery, CPRE
Dennis Munroe, PE and Rec
Jack Patton, RDG
Otto Poticha, Architecture
Jeff Schaub, RDG
Carl Sherwood, Robertson
Sherwood
Fred Tepfer, CPRE

Attachment – Excerpt from SRC Project Description
Presented to the Campus Planning Committee at Meeting One (March 8, 2011).

Campus Plan Policy List: Student Recreation Center Expansion – March 1, 2011

All *Campus Plan* Policies are applicable to the Student Recreation Center Expansion project, although Open-space Framework, Architectural Style and Historic Preservation, and Transportation applicability is rather limited. Particularly relevant items within a specific policy are identified as a bullet point.

Policy 1: Process and Participation

- Track “B” process
- Project User Group membership list
 - User Group Chair (Dennis Munroe, Director of Phys. Ed. & Rec.)
 - SRC Advisory Board (student)
 - SRC Student Employee
 - Phys. Ed. & Rec. staff
 - Assoc. Director of Recreation Program
 - Classified staff
 - AAA Faculty
 - CPC (Rob Thallon)
 - Faculty / Administrator (from Biology Department)
 - Neighbor (EMU)
 - ASUO
 - Assoc. VP Student Affairs

Policy 2: Open-space Framework

- Pathways (well used campus pathway between playing fields and SRC buildings)

Policy 3: Density

- Design Area F

Policy 4: Space Use and Organization

- Walking Circles: Instructional Core
- Flexible and Compatible Use
- Outdoor Classrooms

Policy 5: Replacement of Displaced Uses

- Covered tennis/basketball court replacement

Policy 6: Maintenance and Building Service

- Building Service area relocation

Policy 7: Architectural Style and Historic Preservation

Policy 8: Universal Access

Policy 9: Transportation

- Bicycle management

Policy 10: Sustainable Development

Policy 11: Patterns

- See separately submitted list of relevant Campus-wide Patterns

Policy 12: Design Area Special Conditions

- Design Area F

