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**MEETING MINUTES**

**Diagrams and other visual information presented at Accessibility Focus Group Meeting showing the current design are available at the UO project web site:** <http://pages.uoregon.edu/eeng/src.html>

**The Accessibility Focus Group was presented with the very latest plans, sections and elevations of the proposed addition to the Student Recreation Center. Particular attention was paid to the accessible features and accommodations addressed in the plan, including those suggested at the last focus group meeting. The following are comments specific to these current plans:**

1. A secure storage room for personal adaptive wheelchairs and other equipment is located near the east entry. It was suggested that this room include locking devices, and that a bench be provided in the room for ease of transfer.
2. The change in the lanes pool to provide an accessible ramp and stair, mitigating the requirement for a lift into this pool, was highly appreciated.
3. It was suggested that a bench be provided at all likely chair transfer locations within activity spaces, with space to temporarily leave the spare chair nearby.
4. Assure that circulation path edges are well marked – this was made in reference to the lounge area located along the path to the stair and elevator at the lower level entry, as well as throughout the fitness areas that are open to circulation paths.
5. The plans of the control area at the main level showed 10 turnstiles, 2 of which were accessible. While the group felt that this might be acceptable if 10 turnstiles are needed, there was a strong preference to go to 8 accessible turnstiles so that all would be accessible. (The User Group members present felt that 8 entry lanes would be the minimum, and favored making them all the same.)
6. An additional secure storage room for personal adaptive chair/equipment was shown the area of old Esslinger – this was favored over locating one within the control area of the SRC.

End of Report