

The Basic Homotopy Lemma, III

Huaxin Lin

June 9th, 2015,

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0,1)$ be a non-decreasing map.

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0,1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$,

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0,1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$,

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0,1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following:

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.1})$$

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.1})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this lecture, we will present the following homotopy lemma.

Lemma

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.1})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t\}) \leq 2\pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.2})$$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map.

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset,

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$.

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following:

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Then there exists a unital ϵ - \mathcal{G}_0 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Then there exists a unital ϵ - \mathcal{G}_0 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Then there exists a unital ϵ - \mathcal{G}_0 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$u\psi(a \otimes 1) = \psi(a \otimes 1)u \text{ for all } a \in A \quad (\text{e0.4})$$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Then there exists a unital ϵ - \mathcal{G}_0 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$u\psi(a \otimes 1) = \psi(a \otimes 1)u \text{ for all } a \in A \quad (\text{e0.4})$$

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.5})$$

Lemma 3.1.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\mathcal{H} \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_{s.a.}$ be a finite subset, let $1 > \sigma > 0$ be a positive number and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset, $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be finite subsets and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \beta(\mathcal{P}_1) \subset \underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))$. There exists $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } [L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P}_1)} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.3})$$

Then there exists a unital ϵ - \mathcal{G}_0 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$u\psi(a \otimes 1) = \psi(a \otimes 1)u \text{ for all } a \in A \quad (\text{e0.4})$$

$$[L]|_{\mathcal{P}} = [\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.5})$$

$$|\mathrm{tr} \circ L(h) - \mathrm{tr} \circ \psi(h)| < \sigma \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (\text{e0.6})$$

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$.

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

There is an abelian C^* -algebra C_n such that $K_i(A \otimes C_n) = K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 0, 1$.

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

There is an abelian C^* -algebra C_n such that $K_i(A \otimes C_n) = K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 0, 1$. One may write

$$\underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = \underline{K}(A) \bigoplus \beta(\underline{K}(A)).$$

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$,

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

There is an abelian C^* -algebra C_n such that $K_i(A \otimes C_n) = K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 0, 1$. One may write

$$\underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = \underline{K}(A) \bigoplus \beta(\underline{K}(A)).$$

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, $u \in B$ such that $\|[\phi, u]\| \approx 0$, then, ϕ and u induce a ccp map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ which is approximately multiplicative.

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

There is an abelian C^* -algebra C_n such that $K_i(A \otimes C_n) = K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 0, 1$. One may write

$$\underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = \underline{K}(A) \bigoplus \beta(\underline{K}(A)).$$

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, $u \in B$ such that $\|[\phi, u]\| \approx 0$, then, ϕ and u induce a ccp map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ which is approximately multiplicative. It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$

Note that $K_i(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = K_i(A) \oplus \beta(K_{i-1}(A))$, $i = 0, 1$. When $K_i(A)$ is torsion free, we may assume that $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1 \subset K_0(A) \oplus K_1(A)$.

In general,

$$\underline{K}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1} K_i(A) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=0,1} \bigoplus_{n>1} K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

There is an abelian C^* -algebra C_n such that $K_i(A \otimes C_n) = K_i(A, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 0, 1$. One may write

$$\underline{K}(A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})) = \underline{K}(A) \bigoplus \beta(\underline{K}(A)).$$

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a unital homomorphism, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$, $u \in B$ such that $\|[\phi, u]\| \approx 0$, then, ϕ and u induce a ccp map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ which is approximately multiplicative. It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$.

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$ to $K_1(B)$)

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$ to $K_1(B)$) if $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_1(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$ to $K_1(B)$) if $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_1(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$ to $K_0(B)$).

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$ to $K_1(B)$) if $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_1(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$ to $K_0(B)$). Exel

formula: for $z \in K_1(A)$ and $\tau \in T(B)$,

It gives a partial map from $\beta(\mathcal{P})$ to $\underline{K}(B)$ which will be denoted by $\text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_0(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}}$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_0(A)$ to $K_1(B)$) if $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$, we write

$$\text{bott}_1(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

(which may be viewed as map from $\mathcal{P} \subset K_1(A)$ to $K_0(B)$). Exel

formula: for $z \in K_1(A)$ and $\tau \in T(B)$,

$$\rho_B(\text{bott}_1(\phi(z), u))(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tau(\log(\phi(z)^* u \phi(z) u^*)).$$

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism,

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small.

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small. This can be done because of Cor. 2.5.

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small. This can be done because of Cor. 2.5.

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small. This can be done because of Cor. 2.5. Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small. This can be done because of Cor. 2.5. Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\psi(1 \otimes z) = e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$.

The idea of the proof:

Write

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (\text{e0.7})$$

where $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_n e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_n (1 - e_0)$ is a unital homomorphism, and $\tau(e_0)$ is small. This can be done because of Cor. 2.5. Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\psi(1 \otimes z) = e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. Put $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$. One verifies that this ψ and u satisfy all requirements.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$,

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0 , ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small such that $[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small such that $[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} = [L_2]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} \tag{e0.8}$$

for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small such that $[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} = [L_2]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} \tag{e0.8}$$

for any unital \mathcal{G}_{0A} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map L_2 from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ such that

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small such that $[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} = [L_2]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} \tag{e0.8}$$

for any unital \mathcal{G}_{0A} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map L_2 from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$L_1 \approx_{\epsilon} L_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}_{0A}. \tag{e0.9}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1 : Let \mathcal{H} and σ_0, ϵ and \mathcal{G}_0 are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ which is in the unit ball of A and $\sigma < \epsilon/4$. We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}_{0A} \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{G}_{1T}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{0A} \subset A$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1T} \subset C(\mathbb{T})$ are finite subsets. To simplify matter further, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{G}_{1T} = \{1_{C(\mathbb{T})}, z\}$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the standard unitary generator.

We may assume that \mathcal{G}_{0A} is sufficiently large and ϵ is sufficiently small such that $[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}}$ is well defined for any unital \mathcal{G}_0 - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$[L_1]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} = [L_2]|_{\mathcal{P}_0} \tag{e0.8}$$

for any unital \mathcal{G}_{0A} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map L_2 from $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$L_1 \approx_{\epsilon} L_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}_{0A}. \tag{e0.9}$$

We may also assume that $\epsilon < \sigma$.

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$.

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$.

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$.
Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$.
Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ),

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ .

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 .

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_s$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_0) < 1/n < \sigma, \quad (\text{e0.10})$$

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_0) < 1/n < \sigma, \quad (\text{e0.10})$$

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0. \quad (\text{e0.11})$$

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_0) < 1/n < \sigma, \quad (\text{e0.10})$$

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0. \quad (\text{e0.11})$$

Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_0) < 1/n < \sigma, \quad (\text{e0.10})$$

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0. \quad (\text{e0.11})$$

Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\psi(1 \otimes z) = e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$.

Let n be an integer such that $1/n < \sigma/2$. Note that $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$. Let $\delta > 0$, $\mathcal{G} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be finite subsets required by Cor. 2.5 for $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of A), $\epsilon/2$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H} (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) and Δ . Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the assumption for the above δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}_1 . It follows from Cor. 2.5 that there is a projection $e_0 \in M_k$ and a \mathcal{G}_0 - $\epsilon/2$ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear maps $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow (1 - e_0) M_k (1 - e_0)$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(e_0) < 1/n < \sigma, \quad (\text{e0.10})$$

$$\|L(a) - \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{G}_0. \quad (\text{e0.11})$$

Define $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by $\psi(a) = \psi_0(a) \oplus \psi_1(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $\psi(1 \otimes z) = e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. Put $u = \psi(1 \otimes z)$. One verifies that this ψ and u satisfy all requirements.

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map.

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset.

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$,

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that,

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map,

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta,$$

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2}) \quad (\text{e0.14})$$

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2}) \quad (\text{e0.14})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$,

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2}) \quad (\text{e0.14})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2}) \quad (\text{e0.14})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ with $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = 1$ such that

Lemma 3.2.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ such that, if $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) is \mathcal{G}' - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map, where $\mathcal{G}' = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}, f = \{1, z, z^*\}\}$ and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary such that

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta, \quad (\text{e0.12})$$

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = 0 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.13})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2}) \quad (\text{e0.14})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ with $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = 1$ such that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1)u_t - u_t L(f \otimes 1)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.15})$$

and $t \in [0, 1]$. Moreover, $\text{length}(\{u_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon$.

The ideal of the proof:

By 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, we may write

$$L \approx \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1$$

where ψ_1 is a homomorphism

The ideal of the proof:

By 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, we may write

$$L \approx \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1$$

where ψ_1 is a homomorphism and $u \approx e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$.

The ideal of the proof:

By 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, we may write

$$L \approx \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1$$

where ψ_1 is a homomorphism and $u \approx e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. In $(1 - e_0)M_n(1 - e_0)$, one easily find a path of unitaries $\{w(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$

The ideal of the proof:

By 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, we may write

$$L \approx \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1$$

where ψ_1 is a homomorphism and $u \approx e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. In $(1 - e_0)M_n(1 - e_0)$, one easily find a path of unitaries $\{w(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that $w(0) = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$, $w(1) = 1 - e_0$ and $\psi_1(f \otimes 1)$ commutes with $w(t)$.

The ideal of the proof:

By 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, we may write

$$L \approx \psi_0 \oplus \psi_1$$

where ψ_1 is a homomorphism and $u \approx e_0 \oplus \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. In $(1 - e_0)M_n(1 - e_0)$, one easily find a path of unitaries $\{w(t) : t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that $w(0) = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$, $w(1) = 1 - e_0$ and $\psi_1(f \otimes 1)$ commutes with $w(t)$. Then we consider $e_0 \oplus w(t)$.

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset,

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ .

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are finite subsets $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are finite subsets $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}'_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}'_2\}$$

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q)$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are finite subsets $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}'_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}'_2\}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_1 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\}$, where $\mathcal{G}'_1 \subset A$ is a finite subset.

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are finite subsets $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}'_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}'_2\}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_1 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\}$, where $\mathcal{G}'_1 \subset A$ is a finite subset. We may also assume that $1_A \in \mathcal{H}'_1$ and $1_{C(\mathbb{T})} \in \mathcal{H}'_2$.

Proof : Let $\Delta_1 = (1/2)\Delta$, $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \otimes 1 : 1 \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and let $B = A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Then B has the form $QM_r(C(X \times T)Q$. Let $\mathcal{H}' \subset B_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ), $\mathcal{P}' \subset \underline{K}(B)$ (in place of \mathcal{P}) be a finite subset required by Theorem 2. 1(for B instead of A) for $\epsilon/16$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}_0 (in place of \mathcal{F}) and Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are finite subsets $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}'_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}'_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}'_2\}$$

and $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_1 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\}$, where $\mathcal{G}'_1 \subset A$ is a finite subset. We may also assume that $1_A \in \mathcal{H}'_1$ and $1_{C(\mathbb{T})} \in \mathcal{H}'_2$. Without loss of generality, one may assume that

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_1, \tag{e0.16}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0 \subset \underline{K}(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \beta(\underline{K}(A))$ are finite subsets.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for $\sigma, \Delta, \mathcal{H}'$ (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ),

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for σ , Δ , \mathcal{H}' (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_1 (in place of \mathcal{G}_0), \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} (in place of \mathcal{P}_1).

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for σ , Δ , \mathcal{H}' (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_1 (in place of \mathcal{G}_0), \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} (in place of \mathcal{P}_1). We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_2 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}'_2 \subset A$ is a finite subset.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for σ , Δ , \mathcal{H}' (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_1 (in place of \mathcal{G}_0), \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} (in place of \mathcal{P}_1). We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_2 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}'_2 \subset A$ is a finite subset. We may further assume that

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_4 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_5\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_4 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_5 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ are finite subset.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for σ , Δ , \mathcal{H}' (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_1 (in place of \mathcal{G}_0), \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} (in place of \mathcal{P}_1). We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_2 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}'_2 \subset A$ is a finite subset. We may further assume that

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_4 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_5\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_4 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_5 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ are finite subset. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}'_1 \cup \mathcal{G}'_2$, $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2, \epsilon/16\}$, $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}'_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_4$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}'_2 \cup \mathcal{H}_5$.

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset such that $\beta(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_1$. Let

$$\sigma = \min\{\Delta_1(\hat{h}) : h \in \mathcal{H}'\}. \quad (\text{e0.17})$$

There is $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) with $\delta_2 < \epsilon/16$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) and a finite subset $\mathcal{H}_3 \subset (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_1) required by **3.1** for σ , Δ , \mathcal{H}' (in place of \mathcal{H}), $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{G}_1 (in place of \mathcal{G}_0), \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} (in place of \mathcal{P}_1). We may also assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{g \otimes f : g \in \mathcal{G}'_2 \text{ and } f \in \{1, z, z^*\}\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}'_2 \subset A$ is a finite subset. We may further assume that

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \{h_1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_4 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_5\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_4 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_5 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ are finite subset. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}'_1 \cup \mathcal{G}'_2$, $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/2, \delta_2/2, \epsilon/16\}$, $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}'_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_4$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}'_2 \cup \mathcal{H}_5$.

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ .

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

$$|\text{tr} \circ L(g) - \text{tr} \circ \psi(g)| < \sigma \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_3. \quad (\text{e0.20})$$

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

$$|\text{tr} \circ L(g) - \text{tr} \circ \psi(g)| < \sigma \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_3. \quad (\text{e0.20})$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr} \circ \psi(h) \geq \text{tr} \circ L(h) - \sigma \geq \Delta_1(\hat{h}) \quad (\text{e0.21})$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}'$.

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

$$|\text{tr} \circ L(g) - \text{tr} \circ \psi(g)| < \sigma \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_3. \quad (\text{e0.20})$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr} \circ \psi(h) \geq \text{tr} \circ L(h) - \sigma \geq \Delta_1(\hat{h}) \quad (\text{e0.21})$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}'$. Combining (e0.18), (e0.13), (e0.14), (e0.20) and (e0.15), by applying Theorem 2.1, one obtains a unitary $U \in M_k$ such that

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

$$|\text{tr} \circ L(g) - \text{tr} \circ \psi(g)| < \sigma \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_3. \quad (\text{e0.20})$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr} \circ \psi(h) \geq \text{tr} \circ L(h) - \sigma \geq \Delta_1(\hat{h}) \quad (\text{e0.21})$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}'$. Combining (e0.18), (e0.13), (e0.14), (e0.20) and (e0.15), by applying Theorem 2.1, one obtains a unitary $U \in M_k$ such that

$$\|\text{Ad } U \circ \psi(f) - L(f)\| < \epsilon/16 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_0. \quad (\text{e0.22})$$

Now suppose that $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ and a unitary $u \in M_k$ satisfy the assumption with the above $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}, \delta$ and σ . It follows from ?? that there is a unital $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ - \mathcal{G}_1 -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that $w = \psi(1 \otimes z)$ is a unitary,

$$w\psi(g \otimes 1) = \psi(g \otimes 1)w \text{ for all } g \in A, \quad (\text{e0.18})$$

$$[\psi]|_{\mathcal{P}'} = [L]|_{\mathcal{P}'}, \quad (\text{e0.19})$$

$$|\text{tr} \circ L(g) - \text{tr} \circ \psi(g)| < \sigma \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{H}_3. \quad (\text{e0.20})$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr} \circ \psi(h) \geq \text{tr} \circ L(h) - \sigma \geq \Delta_1(\hat{h}) \quad (\text{e0.21})$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}'$. Combining (e0.18), (e0.13), (e0.14), (e0.20) and (e0.15), by applying Theorem 2.1, one obtains a unitary $U \in M_k$ such that

$$\|\text{Ad } U \circ \psi(f) - L(f)\| < \epsilon/16 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_0. \quad (\text{e0.22})$$

Let $w_1 = \text{Ad } U \circ \phi(1 \otimes z)$.

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$\|u_t - u\| < \epsilon/8, \quad \|u_t - w\| < \epsilon/8, \quad u_0 = u, \quad u_{1/2} = w \quad (\text{e0.25})$$

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$\|u_t - u\| < \epsilon/8, \quad \|u_t - w\| < \epsilon/8, \quad u_0 = u, \quad u_{1/2} = w \quad (\text{e0.25})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) < \epsilon\pi/8.$$

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$\|u_t - u\| < \epsilon/8, \quad \|u_t - w\| < \epsilon/8, \quad u_0 = u, \quad u_{1/2} = w \quad (\text{e0.25})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) < \epsilon\pi/8. \quad (\text{e0.26})$$

It follows (from Theorem 1.1) that there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

Then

$$\|u - w\| \leq \|u - L(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L(1 \otimes z) - \text{Ad } U \circ \psi(1 \otimes z)\| \quad (\text{e0.23})$$

$$< \delta + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e0.24})$$

There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$\|u_t - u\| < \epsilon/8, \quad \|u_t - w\| < \epsilon/8, \quad u_0 = u, \quad u_{1/2} = w \quad (\text{e0.25})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) < \epsilon\pi/8. \quad (\text{e0.26})$$

It follows (from Theorem 1.1) that there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_{1/2} = w, \quad u_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_t \text{Ad } U \circ \phi(f \otimes 1) = \text{Ad } U \circ \phi(f \otimes 1)u_t \quad (\text{e0.27})$$

for all $t \in [1/2, 1]$ and $f \in A \otimes 1$.

Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\}) \leq \pi. \quad (\text{e 0.28})$$

Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\}) \leq \pi. \quad (\text{e 0.28})$$

It follows that

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon\pi/6. \quad (\text{e 0.29})$$

Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\}) \leq \pi. \quad (\text{e 0.28})$$

It follows that

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon\pi/6. \quad (\text{e 0.29})$$

Furthermore,

$$\|u_t L(f \otimes 1) - L(f \otimes 1)u_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e 0.30})$$

and $t \in [0, 1]$.

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra.*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset.*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following:*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B),*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), and any unitary $u \in B$ such that*

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^ -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), and any unitary $u \in B$ such that*

$$\|\phi(g)u - u\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{e0.31})$$

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^* -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), and any unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\phi(g)u - u\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{e0.31})$$

there exists a unital \mathcal{F} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ such that

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^* -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), and any unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\phi(g)u - u\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{e0.31})$$

there exists a unital \mathcal{F} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - L(f \otimes 1)\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.32})$$

The following is a useful observation.

Lemma 3.3.

Let A be a unital amenable separable C^* -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ be a finite subset. There exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ (for some unital C^* -algebra B), and any unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\phi(g)u - u\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (\text{e0.31})$$

there exists a unital \mathcal{F} - ϵ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|\phi(f) - L(f \otimes 1)\| < \epsilon \text{ and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.32})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the identity function on the unit circle.

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$.

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset.

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets.

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q, \mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$,

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q, \mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q, \mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that,

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $u \in M_k$ such that

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $u \in M_k$ such that

$$\|u\phi(g) - \phi(g)u\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \quad (\text{e0.33})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}'_1, \quad (\text{e0.34})$$

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $u \in M_k$ such that

$$\|u\phi(g) - \phi(g)u\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \quad (\text{e0.33})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}'_1, \quad (\text{e0.34})$$

there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

Lemma 3.4.

Let $A \in PM_r(C(X))P$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets. For any non-decreasing map $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$, a finite subset $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $u \in M_k$ such that

$$\|u\phi(g) - \phi(g)u\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \quad (\text{e0.33})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}'_1, \quad (\text{e0.34})$$

there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = u, \quad u_1 = w, \quad \|u_t\phi(f) - \phi(f)u_t\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.35})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{G}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.36})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$,

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.36})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, where $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ is a contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.36})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, where $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ is a contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1) - \phi(f)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.37})$$

$$\text{and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \epsilon, \quad (\text{e0.38})$$

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.36})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, where $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ is a contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1) - \phi(f)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.37})$$

$$\text{and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \epsilon, \quad (\text{e0.38})$$

and τ_m is the tracial state on $C(\mathbb{T})$ induced by the Lebesgue measure on the circle.

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.36})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$, where $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ is a contractive completely positive linear map such that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1) - \phi(f)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.37})$$

$$\text{and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \epsilon, \quad (\text{e0.38})$$

and τ_m is the tracial state on $C(\mathbb{T})$ induced by the Lebesgue measure on the circle. Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.39})$$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$L_1(g \otimes 1) \approx \phi(g) \text{ and } L_1(1 \otimes z) \approx u.$$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$L_1(g \otimes 1) \approx \phi(g) \text{ and } L_1(1 \otimes z) \approx u.$$

We will then write (by 2.12).

$$L_1 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 - p) \oplus \psi,$$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$L_1(g \otimes 1) \approx \phi(g) \text{ and } L_1(1 \otimes z) \approx u.$$

We will then write (by 2.12).

$$L_1 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 - p) \oplus \psi,$$

where ψ is a unital homomorphism and $\text{tr}(1 - p)$ is small.

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$L_1(g \otimes 1) \approx \phi(g) \text{ and } L_1(1 \otimes z) \approx u.$$

We will then write (by 2.12).

$$L_1 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 - p) \oplus \psi,$$

where ψ is a unital homomorphism and $\text{tr}(1 - p)$ is small. We also have

$$\psi(f) \approx \text{diag}(\psi_0(f), \overbrace{\psi_1(f), \dots, \psi_1(f)}^n)$$

Idea of the Proof of 3.4:

Keep in mind there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. There is an almost multiplicative ccl map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$L_1(g \otimes 1) \approx \phi(g) \text{ and } L_1(1 \otimes z) \approx u.$$

We will then write (by 2.12).

$$L_1 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 - p) \oplus \psi,$$

where ψ is a unital homomorphism and $\text{tr}(1 - p)$ is small. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f) &\approx \text{diag}(\psi_0(f), \overbrace{\psi_1(f), \dots, \psi_1(f)}^n) \\ &\text{and } \text{tr}(e_0) \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{e0.40}$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$.

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$.

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w'_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.42})$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w'_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.42})$$

There is a unitary $w''_0 \in (1 - p)M_k(1 - p)$ such that

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.42})$$

There is a unitary $w''_0 \in (1 - p)M_k(1 - p)$ such that

$$w''_0 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 \otimes z)(1 - p) \quad (\text{e0.43})$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.42})$$

There is a unitary $w''_0 \in (1 - p)M_k(1 - p)$ such that

$$w''_0 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 \otimes z)(1 - p) \quad (\text{e0.43})$$

Put

$$u'_0 = w''_0 \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus w'_0$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. There is a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} = \psi_1(f)w_{t,j} \quad (\text{e0.41})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.42})$$

There is a unitary $w''_0 \in (1 - p)M_k(1 - p)$ such that

$$w''_0 \approx (1 - p)L_1(1 \otimes z)(1 - p) \quad (\text{e0.43})$$

Put

$$u'_0 = w''_0 \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus w'_0 \approx u. \quad (\text{e0.44})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.47})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

$$\text{and length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.47})$$

Define $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by

$$L(a \otimes f) = (1 - p)L_1(a \otimes f)(1 - p) \oplus \text{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{\psi_1(a), \dots, \psi_1(a)}^n) f(w_1).$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

$$\text{and length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.47})$$

Define $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by

$$L(a \otimes f) = (1 - p)L_1(a \otimes f)(1 - p) \oplus \text{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{\psi_1(a), \dots, \psi_1(a)}^n) f(w_1).$$

for all $a \in A$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$.

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

$$\text{and length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.47})$$

Define $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by

$$L(a \otimes f) = (1 - p)L_1(a \otimes f)(1 - p) \oplus \text{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{\psi_1(a), \dots, \psi_1(a)}^n) f(w_1).$$

for all $a \in A$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It follows that

$$L(f \otimes 1) \approx \phi(f) \text{ and } L(1 \otimes z) \approx w_1 \quad (\text{e0.48})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e0.45})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.46})$$

$$\text{and length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.47})$$

Define $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by

$$L(a \otimes f) = (1 - p)L_1(a \otimes f)(1 - p) \oplus \text{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{\psi_1(a), \dots, \psi_1(a)}^n) f(w_1).$$

for all $a \in A$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It follows that

$$L(f \otimes 1) \approx \phi(f) \text{ and } L(1 \otimes z) \approx w_1 \quad (\text{e0.48})$$

One also has that

$$\text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1) \cdot \tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.49})$$

Lemma 2.12.

Let A be a unital subhomogeneous C^* -algebra. Let $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ be a finite subset and let $\sigma_0 > 0$. There exist $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ satisfying the following: Suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_n$ (for some integer $n \geq 1$) is a δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map. Then, there exists a projection $p \in M_n$ and a unital homomorphism $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow pM_np$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p\phi(a) - \phi(a)p\| &< \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \|\phi(a) - [(1-p)\phi(a)(1-p) + \phi_0(a)]\| &< \epsilon \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and} \\ \operatorname{tr}(1-p) &< \sigma_0, \end{aligned} \tag{e0.50}$$

where tr is the normalized trace on M_n .

Proof of 3.4 There exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that

$$(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(e^{\theta+j2\pi i/n}) \geq (63/64)\tau_m(f) \quad (\text{e.0.51})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and for any $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. We may also assume that $16\pi/n < \epsilon$.

Let

$$\sigma_1 = (1/2^{10}) \inf\{t(h) : h \in \mathcal{H}_1\} \inf\{\tau_m(g) : g \in \mathcal{H}_2\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}' = \{f \otimes 1, f \otimes z : f \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}_1\}$. Let $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset required by **3.5** for $\epsilon/32$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F}' (in place of \mathcal{F}) and $\sigma_1/16$ (in place of σ_0). Without loss of generality, one may assume that

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \{g \otimes 1, 1 \otimes z : g \in \mathcal{G}_2\},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ is a finite subset.

Let $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (in place of \mathcal{H}_2) be a finite subset required by ?? for $\min\{\epsilon/32, \sigma_1/16\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{H}_1$ (in place of \mathcal{F}), \mathcal{H}_1 (in place of \mathcal{H}), $(190/258)\Delta$ (in place of Δ) and $\sigma_1/16$ (in place of σ) and integer n . Put

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{h_1 \otimes h_2, h_1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes h_2 : h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_3 = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}'_1$. To simplify the notation, without loss of generality, one may assume that \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{F}' are all in the unit ball of $A \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. Let $\delta_2 = \min\{\epsilon/64, \delta_1/2, \sigma_1/16\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_4 \subset A$ be a finite subset (in place of \mathcal{G}) and let δ_3 (in place of δ) be positive as required by Lemma 3.3 for \mathcal{G}_3 (in place of \mathcal{F}_0), \mathcal{F}' (in place of \mathcal{F}), and δ_2 (in place of ϵ).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_4 \cup \mathcal{G}_3$ and $\delta = \min\{\delta_1/4, \delta_2/2, \delta_3/2\}$. Now let $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ be a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map and $u \in M_k$ be a unitary such that (e0.33) and (e0.34) hold for the above δ , σ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H}'_1 .

It follows from Lemma A that there exists a δ_2 - \mathcal{G}_3 -multiplicative

contractive completely positive linear map $L_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L_1(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \delta_2 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_2 \text{ and} \quad (\text{e0.52})$$

$$\|L_1(1 \otimes z) - u\| < \delta_2. \quad (\text{e0.53})$$

We then have that

$$\text{tr} \circ L_1(h \otimes 1) \geq \text{tr} \circ \phi(h) - \delta_2 \quad (\text{e0.54})$$

$$\geq \Delta(\hat{h}) - \sigma_1/16 \geq (191/256)\Delta(\hat{h}) \quad (\text{e0.55})$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

It follows **3.5** that there exists a projection $p \in M_k$ and a unital homomorphism $\psi : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow pM_k p$ such that

$$\|pL_1(f) - L_1(f)p\| < \min\{\epsilon/32, \sigma_1/16\} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}', \text{ (e0.56)}$$

$$\|L_1(f) - (1-p)L_1(f)(1-p) + \psi(f)\| < \min\{\epsilon/32, \sigma_1/16\} \quad \text{(e0.57)}$$

$$\text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}' \text{ and } \text{tr}(1-p) < \sigma_1/16. \quad \text{(e0.58)}$$

Note that $pM_k p \cong M_m$ for some $m \leq k$. It follows from (e0.55), (e0.34), (e0.57) and (e0.58) that

$$\text{tr} \circ \psi(h) \geq (191/256)\Delta(\hat{h}) - \sigma_1/16 - \sigma_1/16 \geq (190/256)\Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ (e0.59)}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

By Cor A (Lecture 2) there are mutually orthogonal projections $e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in pM_k p$ such that e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n are equivalent, there are unital homomorphisms $\psi_0 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_0 M_k e_0$ and $\psi_1 : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow e_1 M_k e_1$ such that

$$\|\psi(f) - \text{diag}(\psi_0(f), \overbrace{\psi_1(f), \dots, \psi_1(f)}^n)\| < \min\{\epsilon/32, \sigma_1/6\} \text{ (e0.60)}$$

$$\text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ and } \text{tr}(e_0) < \sigma_1/16 \quad \text{(e0.61)}$$

Let $w'_0 = \psi_1(1 \otimes z)$. One may write

$$w'_0 = \text{diag}(\exp(ia_1), \exp(ia_2), \dots, \exp(ia_n)),$$

where $a_j \in e_j M_k e_j$ is a selfadjoint element with $\|a_j\| \leq \pi$. By linear algebra, it is easy to find a continuous path of unitaries $\{w'_{t,j} : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset e_j M_k e_j$ such that

$$w'_{0,j} = \exp(ia_j), \quad w'_{1,j} = \exp(i(2\pi j/n)), \quad (\text{e 0.62})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{w'_{t,j}\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e 0.63})$$

Moreover, one can choose such $w'_{t,j}$ that it commutes with every element in $\psi_1(f)$, $f \in A$. There is a unitary $w''_0 \in (1 - p)M_k(1 - p)$ such that

$$\|w''_0 - (1 - p)L_1(1 \otimes z)(1 - p)\| < \epsilon/16. \quad (\text{e 0.64})$$

Put

$$u'_0 = w''_0 \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus w'_0. \quad (\text{e 0.65})$$

Then u_0 is a unitary and

$$\|u - u'_0\| \leq \|u - L_1(1 \otimes z)\| + \|L_1(1 \otimes z) - u'_0\| \quad (\text{e 0.66})$$

$$\leq \delta_2 + \epsilon/16 < \epsilon/8. \quad (\text{e 0.67})$$

One obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$w_0 = u, \quad w_1 = w_0'' \oplus \psi_0(1 \otimes z) \oplus \text{diag}(w'_{1,1}, w'_{1,2}, \dots, w'_{1,n}) \quad (\text{e 0.68})$$

$$\|w_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) w_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e 0.69})$$

$$\text{and length}(\{w_t\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e 0.70})$$

Define $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ by

$$L(a \otimes f) = (1 - p)L_1(a \otimes f)(1 - p) \oplus \text{diag}(\psi_0(a), \overbrace{\psi_1(a), \dots, \psi_1(a)}^n) f(w_1).$$

for all $a \in A$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. It follows that

$$\|L(f \otimes 1) - \phi(f)\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \|L(1 \otimes z) - w_1\| < \epsilon.$$

One also has that

$$\begin{aligned} L(h_1 \otimes h_2) &\geq \operatorname{tr}((\psi_0(h_1) + n\operatorname{tr}(\psi_1(h_1 \otimes 1)))\operatorname{tr}(h_2(w_1))) \\ &\geq \operatorname{tr} \circ \psi(h_1) \left(\frac{1 - \sigma_1/16}{n} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n h_2(e^{i2\pi j/n}) - \sigma_1/6 \quad (\text{e0.71}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (190/256)\Delta(\hat{h}_1) \left(\frac{1 - \sigma_1/16}{n} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n h_2(e^{i2\pi j/n}) - \sigma_1/6 \quad (\text{e0.72}) \\ &\geq (190/256)\Delta(\hat{h}_1)(63/64)(1 - \sigma_1/16)\tau_m(h_2) - \sigma_1/6 \\ &\geq (190/256)\Delta(\hat{h}_1)((63/64)(1 - 1/2^{14})\tau_m(h_2) - (1/2^{12})t(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)) \\ &\geq \Delta(\hat{h}_1) \cdot \tau_m(h_2)/4 \end{aligned}$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map.

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$,

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$,

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following:

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.73})$$

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.73})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = PM_r(C(X))P$ and let $\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and any finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{H} \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta > 0$, a finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subset A$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ satisfying the following: For any unital \mathcal{G} - δ -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ (for some integer $k \geq 1$) and any unitary $v \in M_k$ such that

$$\text{tr} \circ \phi(h) \geq \Delta(\hat{h}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\|\phi(g)v - v\phi(g)\| < \delta \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \text{Bott}(\phi, v)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \{0\},$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitary $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = v, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi(f)u_t - u_t\phi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad (\text{e0.73})$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t\}) \leq 2\pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.74})$$

Definition

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and let

$\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Suppose that $\tau_m : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the tracial state given by the normalized Lebesgue measure. Define $\Delta_1 : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$\Delta_1(\hat{h}) = \sup\left\{ \frac{\Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)}{4} : \hat{h} \geq \widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2} \text{ and} \right.$$

Definition

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$ and let

$\Delta : A_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a non-decreasing map. Suppose that $\tau_m : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the tracial state given by the normalized Lebesgue measure. Define $\Delta_1 : (A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}))_+^{q,1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$\Delta_1(\hat{h}) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)}{4} : \hat{h} \geq \widehat{h_1 \otimes h_2} \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. h_1 \in A_+ \setminus \{0\}, h_2 \in C(\mathbb{T})_+ \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \quad (\text{e0.75})$$

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above.

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4.

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets,

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset,

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^{\mathbf{1}} \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2 for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 .

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2 for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2 for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset,

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2 for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset,

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4**.

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 .

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$.

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by 3.2 for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by 3.4. for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Now suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ is a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Now suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ is a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary which satisfy the assumption for the above \mathcal{H} , δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} .

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Now suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ is a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary which satisfy the assumption for the above \mathcal{H} , δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} .

By applying **3.4** one obtains a continuous path of unitaries

$$\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$$

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Now suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ is a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary which satisfy the assumption for the above \mathcal{H} , δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} .

By applying **3.4** one obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = u, \quad u_1 = w, \quad \|u_t \phi(g) - \phi(g) u_t\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.76})$$

Proof:

Let Δ_1 be as above. We will apply 3.2 and 3.4. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset A_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset C(\mathbb{T})_+^1 \setminus \{0\}$ be finite subsets, $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\delta_1 > 0$ (in place of δ) and $\mathcal{P} \subset \underline{K}(A)$ be a finite subset required by **3.2** for $\epsilon/4$ (in place of ϵ), \mathcal{F} and Δ_1 . (This is for applying 3.2)

Let $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset A$ (in place of \mathcal{G}) be a finite subset, $\mathcal{H}'_1 \subset A_+ \setminus \{0\}$ be a finite subset, $\delta_2 > 0$ (in place of δ) be required by **3.4** for $\min\{\epsilon/16, \delta_1/2\}$ (in place of ϵ), $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ (in place of \mathcal{F}) and \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 . (This preparation for applying 3.4).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_2, \epsilon/16\}$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$.

Now suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow M_k$ is a unital δ - \mathcal{G} -multiplicative contractive completely positive linear map and $u \in M_k$ is a unitary which satisfy the assumption for the above \mathcal{H} , δ , \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{P} .

By applying **3.4** one obtains a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_0 = u, \quad u_1 = w, \quad \|u_t \phi(g) - \phi(g) u_t\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.76})$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}$ and $t \in [0, 1/2]$.

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map
 $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$.

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

By (e0.77), (e0.78), (e0.81) and (e0.79), applying **3.2**,

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

By (e0.77), (e0.78), (e0.81) and (e0.79), applying **3.2**, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

By (e0.77), (e0.78), (e0.81) and (e0.79), applying **3.2**, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_{1/2} = w, \quad u_1 = 1,$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

By (e0.77), (e0.78), (e0.81) and (e0.79), applying **3.2**, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_{1/2} = w, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \|u_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) u_t\| < \epsilon/4 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.82})$$

Moreover, there is a unital contractive completely positive linear map $L : A \otimes C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow M_k$ such that

$$\|L(g \otimes 1) - \phi(g)\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}, \quad (\text{e0.77})$$

$$\|L(1 \otimes z) - w\| < \min\{\delta_1, \epsilon/4\} \quad (\text{e0.78})$$

$$\text{and } \text{tr} \circ L(h_1 \otimes h_2) \geq \Delta(h_1)\tau_m(h_2)/4 \quad (\text{e0.79})$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore,

$$\text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1/2]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4. \quad (\text{e0.80})$$

Note that

$$[L]|_{\beta(\mathcal{P})} = \text{Bott}(\phi, w)|_{\mathcal{P}} = \text{Bott}(\phi, u)|_{\mathcal{P}} = 0. \quad (\text{e0.81})$$

By (e0.77), (e0.78), (e0.81) and (e0.79), applying **3.2**, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t \in [1/2, 1]\} \subset M_k$ such that

$$u_{1/2} = w, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad \|u_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) u_t\| < \epsilon/4 \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.82})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [1/2, 1]\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon/4 \quad (\text{e0.83})$$

Therefore $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$

Therefore $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ is a continuous path of unitaries in M_k with $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = 1$

Therefore $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ is a continuous path of unitaries in M_k with $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = 1$ such that

$$\|u_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) u_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.84})$$

Therefore $\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset M_k$ is a continuous path of unitaries in M_k with $u_0 = u$ and $u_1 = 1$ such that

$$\|u_t \phi(f) - \phi(f) u_t\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\text{e0.84})$$

$$\text{and } \text{length}(\{u_t : t \in [0, 1]\}) \leq 2\pi + \epsilon. \quad (\text{e0.85})$$

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following:

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$)

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$) with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$) with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$) with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and}$$

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$) with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and } \|[u(t)v - vu(t)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Theorem

(Loring) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For any pair of unitaries $u, v \in M_n$ (for any $n \geq 1$) with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and } \|[u(t)v - vu(t)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u(t)\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon.$$

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following:

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^ -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$,*

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^ -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$*

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^ -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with*

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^* -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^* -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^* -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and}$$

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^* -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and } \|[u(t)v - vu(t)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Theorem

(Lin 2009) Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: For or any unital simple separable simple C^* -algebra A of stable rank one and real rank zero with $K_1(A) = 0$, any pair of unitaries $u, v \in A$ with

$$\|[u, v]\| < \delta \text{ and } \text{bott}_1(u, v) = 0,$$

then there exists a continuous path of unitaries $\{u(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} \subset A$ such that

$$u(0) = u, \quad u(1) = 1_A \text{ and } \|[u(t)v - vu(t)]\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover,

$$\text{length}(\{u(t)\}) \leq \pi + \epsilon.$$