

A BERNSTEIN RESULT AND COUNTEREXAMPLE FOR ENTIRE SOLUTIONS TO DONALDSON'S EQUATION

MICAH WARREN
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

ABSTRACT. We show that convex entire solutions to Donaldson's equation are quadratic, using a result of Weiyong He. We also exhibit entire solutions to the Donaldson equation that are not of the form discussed by He. In the process we discover some non-trivial entire solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we show the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that u is a convex solution to the Donaldson equation on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = (t, x_2, \dots, x_n)$*

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2u) = u_{11}(u_{22} + u_{33} + \dots + u_{nn}) - u_{12}^2 - \dots - u_{1n}^2 = 1.$$

Then u is a quadratic function.

Donaldson introduced the operator

$$Q(D^2u) = u_{tt}\Delta u - |\nabla u_t|^2$$

arising in the study of the geometry of the space of volume forms on compact Riemannian manifolds [1]. On Euclidean space, (1) becomes an interesting non-symmetric fully nonlinear equation. Weiyong He has studied aspects of entire solutions on Euclidean space, and was able to show that [2, Theorem 2.1] if $u_{11} = \text{const}$, then the solution can be written in terms of solutions to Laplace equations.

Here we show that any convex solution must also satisfy $u_{11} = \text{const}$. It follows quickly that the solution must be quadratic. We also show that, in the absence of the convexity constraint, solutions exist for which $u_{11} = \text{const}$ fails.

Theorem 2. *There exist solutions to the Donaldson equation which are not of the form given by He.*

In real dimension 3 we note that solutions of (1) can be extended to solutions of the complex Monge-Ampère equation on \mathbb{C}^2

$$(2) \quad \det(\partial\bar{\partial}u) = 1$$

and we can conclude the following.

Corollary 3. *There exist a nonflat solution of the complex Monge-Ampère equation (2) on \mathbb{C}^2 whose potential depends on only three real variables.*

The author's work is supported in part by the NSF via DMS-1161498.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Lemma 4. *Suppose that K_h is the sublevel set $u \leq h$ of a nonnegative solution to*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2u) = u_{11}(u_{22} + u_{33} + \dots + u_{nn}) - u_{12}^2 - \dots - u_{1n}^2 = 1.$$

Then for all ellipsoids $E \subset K_h$ such that if $A : E \rightarrow B_1$ is affine diffeomorphism with

$$A = Mx + \vec{b},$$

we have

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(M^2) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2}.$$

Proof. Consider the function v on \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$v(x) = h|A(x)|^2.$$

On the boundary of E , we have

$$v(x) = h \geq u.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Dv &= 2hM(Mx + \vec{b}) \\ D^2v &= 2hM^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2v) = 4h^2\tilde{\sigma}_2(M^2).$$

Now suppose that

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(M^2) < \frac{1}{4h^2}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2v) < 1,$$

so v is a supersolution to the equation, and must lie strictly above the solution u . But v must vanish at $A^{-1}(0)$. Because u is nonnegative, this is a contradiction of the strong maximum principle. \square

Proposition 5. *Suppose that u is an entire convex solution to*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2u) = u_{11}(u_{22} + u_{33} + \dots + u_{nn}) - u_{12}^2 - \dots - u_{1n}^2 = 1$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_1(t, 0, \dots, 0) = \infty.$$

Proof. Assume not. Instead assume that $u_1 \leq A$. Assume that $u(0) = 0$ and $Du(0) = 0$, adjusting A if necessary. Then

$$u(t, 0, \dots, 0) = \int_0^t u_1(s) ds \leq \int_0^t A ds \leq At.$$

Now consider the convex sublevel set $u \leq h$. This must contain the point

$$\left(\frac{h}{A}, 0, \dots, 0\right).$$

The level set $u = h$ intersect the other axes at

$$\begin{aligned} &(0, a_2(h), 0, \dots) \\ &(0, 0, a_3(h), \dots, 0) \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

This level set is convex. It must contain the simplex with the above points as vertices, and this simplex must contain an ellipsoid E which has an affine transformation to the unit ball of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} A &= Mx + \vec{b} \\ M &= c_n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A}{h} & & & \\ & \frac{1}{a_2} & & \\ & & \frac{1}{a_3} & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M^2 = c_n^2 \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{A}{h}\right)^2 & & & \\ & \left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right)^2 & & \\ & & \left(\frac{1}{a_3}\right)^2 & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(M^2) = c_n^2 \left(\frac{A}{h}\right)^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{a_n}\right)^2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h^2}$$

with the latter inequality following from the previous lemma.

Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{a_3}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{a_n}\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{c_n^2 4A^2}.$$

It follows that for some i ,

$$\frac{1}{a_i^2} \geq \frac{1}{4(n-1)c_n^2 A^2}.$$

That is

$$a_i \leq 2\sqrt{n-1}c_n A.$$

Now to finish the argument, let

$$R = 2\sqrt{n-1}c_n A.$$

On a ball of radius R , there is some bound on the function (not a priori but depending on u) say \bar{U} . That is

$$u(x) \leq \bar{U} \text{ on } B_R.$$

Now by convexity for any large enough h the level set $u = h$ is non-empty and convex. Choose $h > \bar{U}$. According to the above argument, this level set must intersect some axis at a point less than R from the origin, which is a contradiction. \square

Now using this Proposition, we may repeat the argument of He [2, section 3]: Letting $z = u_1(t, x)$ the map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ \Phi(t, x) &= (z, x)\end{aligned}$$

is a diffeomorphism. Thus for x fixed, there exists a unique $t = t(z, x)$ such that $z = u_1(t, x)$. Defining

$$\theta(z, x) = t(z, x)$$

the computations in [2, section 3] yield that θ is a harmonic function. It follows that $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = 1/u_{11}$ is a positive harmonic function, so must be constant. Now we have

$$u(t, x) = at^2 + tb(x) + g(x)$$

which satisfies [2, section 2]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta b &= 0 \\ \Delta g &= \frac{1}{2a} (1 + |\nabla b|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $t = 0$ we conclude that g is convex. Letting $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ we conclude that b is convex and concave, so must be linear. It follows that $|\nabla b|$ is constant, and

$$\Delta g - c|x|^2$$

is a semi-convex harmonic function, which must be a quadratic.

3. COUNTEREXAMPLES

We use the method described in [3] and restrict to $n = 3$. Consider

$$u(t, x) = r^2 e^t + h(t)$$

where $r = (x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{1/2}$. At any point we may rotate \mathbb{R}^2 so that $x_2 = r$ and get

$$D^2 u = \begin{pmatrix} r^2 e^t + h''(t) & 2re^t & 0 \\ 2re^t & 2e^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2e^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2(D^2 u) = 4e^t (r^2 e^t + h''(t)) - 4r^2 e^{2t} = 4e^t h''(t).$$

Then

$$u = r^2 e^t + \frac{1}{4} e^{-t}$$

is a solution.

Now defining complex variables

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= t + is \\ z_2 &= x + iy\end{aligned}$$

we can consider the function

$$(3) \quad u = (x^2 + y^2) e^t + \frac{1}{4} e^{-t}.$$

The function 3 satisfies the equation complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$(\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_1} u) (\partial_{z_2} \partial_{z_2} u) - (\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} u) (\partial_{z_2} \partial_{z_1} u) = 1.$$

One can check that the induced Ricci-flat complex metric

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \partial_{z_i} \partial_{\bar{z}_j} u$$

on \mathbb{C}^2 is neither complete nor flat.

REFERENCES

- [1] Simon K. Donaldson. Nahm's equations and free-boundary problems. In *The many facets of geometry*, pages 71–91. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010.
- [2] Weiyong He. Entire solutions of Donaldson's equation. *Pacific J. Math.*, 256(2):359–363, 2012.
- [3] Micah Warren. Non-polynomial entire solutions to σ_k equations. *arXiv:1214118*.

FENTON HALL, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403
E-mail address: micahw@uoregon.edu