MEETING MINUTES

To:	Becca Cavell						
	Hacker						
	733 SW Oak Street, Suite 100						
	Portland, OR 97205						
	(503) 227-1254						
	bcavell@hackerarchitects.com						
Project Name:		UO Bach Festival Addition					
Project Number:		02.15.P0216					
Subject:		Pre-SD MEP Meeting					

Date: October 13, 2015 From: Joshua Checkis cc: Jesse Smith, Mario LaMorticella, James Thomas, Mitch Dec

Meeting Date/Time:	Oct	ober 13, 2015 / 12:00 pm	Meeting Location:	
Next Meeting Date/T	ime:		Next Meeting Location:	

Distribution/Attendance: (persons shown in bold were present)

NAME	COMPANY	PHONE	EMAIL
Becca Cavell	Hacker	503.227.1254	bcavell@hackerarchitects.com
Melissa Clark	Hacker	503.227.1254	mclark@hackerarchitects.com
Martina Oxoby	University of Oregon	541.346.5880	moxoby@uoregon.edu
Teri Jones	University of Oregon	541.346.6859	terij@uoregon.edu
Jeff Madsen	University of Oregon	541.346.2256	jmadsen@uoregon.edu
David Mason	University of Oregon	541.346.5679	dsmason@uoergon.edu
Michael Anderson	University of Oregon	541.346.1354	mander@uoregon.edu
Valentina Leoni	University of Oregon	541.346.2270	vleoni@uoregon.edu
Matt Pearson	Lease Crutcher Lewis	503.223.0500	Matt.pearson@lewisbuilds.com
Tanner Perrine	Lease Crutcher Lewis	503.223.0500	Tanner.perrine@lewisbuilds.com
Mark Butler	Lease Crutcher Lewis	503.223.0500	mark.butler@lewisbuilds.com
Joshua Checkis	Glumac	503.227.5280	jcheckis@glumac.com
Mario LaMorticella	Glumac	503.227.5280	mlamorticella@glumac.com
Jesse Smith	Glumac	503.227.5280	jsmith@glumac.com
Mitch Dec	Glumac	503.227.5280	mdec@glumac.com

#	ACTION REQUIRED BY	DUE DATE	ISSUES/ACTIONS
1			The project will use Target Value Process which is a design build concept that
			breaks the overall budget apart by discipline/trade. Dollars can be traded between each bucket of money to stay on budget overall.
2			Construction Budget is 6.2 million.
3			Project Area: ~ 11,000 sq ft
4			Project shall comply with OMSD (Oregon model for Sustainability Development) requirements.
5			Performance Hall Description: ~35' tall, with ~100 occupants and ~30 presenters. MEP design to accommodate for recording conditions.
6			Board Room Description: designed for possible performances, flexible function.
7			Design Team Action Item: It was noted by UO and Hacker the importance of the acoustical noise criteria for the performance hall and board room. The design will accommodate acoustical goals published for the project.
8			Building is not physically connected to any adjacent building, it is stand alone.

Macintosh SSD:Users:martinabill:Library:Containers:com.apple.mail:Data:Library:Mail Downloads:EDA89DE0-ECD1-4FD4-8B81-1A8A2E9E1B63:2015-10-13 Pre-SD MEP Meeting.docx

Seattle •		Portla	nd	•	Con	valli	s•	Sac	cram	ent	to	•	San	Francisco	כ
Silicon Vall	le	у•	Las	Ve	gas	٠	Los	Ange	les	٠	Irvi	ne	•	Shangha	i

900 SW Fifth Ave., Ste. 1600 Portland, OR 97204 T 503.227.5280 www.glumac.com

.

e a

-

L

#	ACTION REQUIRED BY	DUE DATE	ISSUES/ACTIONS
9	Glumac		Main utility tunnel runs near the building. a. Glumac to provide estimated building load to UO campus facilities for their review of existing campus system available capacity.
10	Glumac		Provide MEP options in matrix style upon start of SD phase of project. Systems will breakdown between utilization of the (E) campus central system or providing stand alone systems.
11	Glumac & UO		There will be specific rooms with special temperature & humidity requirements for storage of musical instruments. UO to provide list of instruments and Glumac to provide system options.
12			Rooftop Equipment (if utilized) will require special attention to views and acoustical implications if utilized.
13			UO standard dashboard provider is LUCID. This is an option for LEED innovation and design credit but is not required for the project.
14			It was noted by UO that metering of MEP systems shall be per campus standards.
15			It was noted by UO that a Lutron Lighting System will not be utilized for this project.
16			It was noted by UO that a tunnel extension for the central utility services is not required for this small project. If the central campus utilities are used, routing the services in an utilidor or using a direct buried option is acceptable.
17	Glumac		It was noted by UO that there may be opportunity to utilize an (E) mechanical room in the adjacent building for the hydronic scope. Glumac to investigate this option after receiving as-builts.
18			Glumac proposed an option for under floor displacement ventilation for the performance hall with a possible separate system serving the stage based on the load difference.
19			UO noted the importance of maintaining control of the performance hall temperatures during an event.
20	Glumac		Schedule site visit upon start of SD.
21	UO		Provide full as-builts of all relevant adjacent buildings and site utilities to design team.

These meeting minutes reflect our understanding of the issues discussed. This will act as the project record unless the sender of these minutes is notified within 3 days of the issue date.

r . .