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## Problem Set 3: SOLIIONS

## (1) N -slit interference.

$$
I(\theta)=I_{1} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\pi N D \sin \theta / \lambda)}{\sin ^{2}(\pi D \sin \theta / \lambda)}
$$

All the maxima, e.g. $\theta=0$, are equivalent, so we'll consider $\theta=0 . \quad I(\theta=0) \rightarrow \frac{0}{0}$, so we apply
L'Hopital's rule, differentiating numerator and denominator:
$I_{\theta \rightarrow 0}=I_{1} \frac{2[\pi N D \cos \theta / \lambda] \sin (\pi N D \sin \theta / \lambda) \cos (\pi N D \sin \theta / \lambda)}{2[\pi D \cos \theta / \lambda] \sin (\pi D \sin \theta / \lambda) \cos (\pi D \sin \theta / \lambda)}=\frac{0}{0}$, so we'll apply L'Hopital's rule again. First, it saves some writing to use the identity $\sin (2 x)=2 \sin x \cos x$, so the above equation becomes
$I_{\theta \rightarrow 0}=I_{1} \frac{[\pi N D \cos \theta / \lambda] \sin (2 \pi N D \sin \theta / \lambda)}{[\pi D \cos \theta / \lambda] \sin (2 \pi D \sin \theta / \lambda)}$, from which
$I_{\theta \rightarrow 0}=\left.I_{1} \frac{2[\pi N D \cos \theta / \lambda]^{2} \cos (2 \pi N D \sin \theta / \lambda)}{2[\pi D \cos \theta / \lambda]^{2} \cos (2 \pi D \sin \theta / \lambda)}\right|_{\theta=0}=I_{1} \frac{[\pi N D / \lambda]^{2}}{[\pi D / \lambda]^{2}}=N^{2} I_{1}$.
Another way to derive this is to Taylor expand $I(\theta)$ for small $\theta$.

## (2, 4 pts.) Diffraction gratings and extrasolar planets.

Consider light of wavelength $\lambda$. Its first diffraction intensity maximum is located at $\sin \theta=\lambda / D$, and the zero nearest this is at $\sin \theta=\lambda / D^{+} / / N D$, as we know from the $N$-slit intensity function.

We wish to resolve light of wavelength $\lambda+\Delta \lambda$. What does this mean? The "blob" of light present at its first diffraction maximum must be distinguishable from the "blob" of the light of wavelength $\lambda$. It's first diffraction maximum should occur at an angle at least as large as the zero of the light of wavelength $\lambda$, or else we could not differentiate the two. Therefore, we need $\frac{\lambda+\Delta \lambda}{D}>\frac{\lambda}{D}+\frac{\lambda}{N D}$, or $\Delta \lambda>\frac{\lambda}{N}$. The Doppler effect relates $\Delta \lambda$ and the causative velocity: $\Delta \lambda=\frac{\Delta v}{c} \lambda$. Therefore, the velocity shifts we can observe are: $\frac{\Delta v}{c} \lambda>\frac{\lambda}{N}$, i.e. $\Delta v>\frac{c}{N}$. Note that $\Delta v$ is independent of $\lambda!$ For $N=10^{5}$, the minimum resolvable $\Delta v$ is $\Delta v=3000 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. (As noted in the assignment, this is the minimum resolvable $\Delta v$ from just looking at the shift of one point on the spectrum; in practice, the entire spectrum can be examined, allowing much more precise determinations of $\Delta v$.)

## (3, 1 pt.) Single-slit diffraction figure.



## (4, 4 pts.) The Sun.

(a) Hold a ruler at arm's length, measure the apparent diameter $d$ of the moon along the ruler, and measure the length $L$ of your arm. Note that $\tan \theta=d / L$. Since $d \ll L$ you can make use of the small angle approximation $\tan \theta \approx \theta$, which is of course only valid for $\theta$ measured in radians. You should find that the angular diameter of the moon (or sun) is about or 0.01 radians, or 0.5 degrees. The same holds true for the sun, whose observation requires a bit more caution.
Of course, there are other ways to measure this as well. Here's one, from our TA (Man):

## (a) -- another approach


use a cardboard with a pinhole on it.
Hold the cardboard perpendicular to the rays of the sun then put a white paper behind the cardboad and parallel to it. We will see the image of the sun of the white paper. measure the size of the image $r$ and the distance between

$$
\text { the cardboard and the white paper } l \text {. }
$$

we will get $\theta=\frac{r}{l}$ (Again, this should be 0.01
(b) The angular resolution of our eyes at visible wavelengths $(\lambda \approx 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ is $\theta \approx \lambda / a \approx \frac{0.5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}}{2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}}=10^{-4}$ radians. This is much smaller than the 0.01 radian angular diameter of the sun or moon, and so we can resolve these objects.
(c) At radio wavelengths, the resolution of a 2 mm aperture (like our pupils) would be $\theta \approx \lambda / a \approx \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}} \approx 10^{3}$ radians. This is much larger than the angular diameter of the sun or moon, and so we could not resolve these objects.
(d) If we want our angular resolution to be $<0.5$ degrees, or 0.01 radians, we need $\lambda / a<0.01$, i.e. $a>\lambda / 0.01$. The frequency of the radio wave $f=1400 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}$, so the wavelength $\lambda=c / f=0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. Therefore, we need $a>0.21 \mathrm{~m} / 0.01=21 \mathrm{~m}$-- at least a 21 meter separation.

## [to next page]

(5) Fourier Transforms and Apertures.

The function: $y(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}1 / a, \text { if }|x| \leq a / 2 \\ 0, \text { if }|x|>a / 2\end{array}\right.$, as sketched below. Since it's defined piecewise, let's perform the integral piecewise:


$$
Y(k)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(x) e^{-j k x} d x
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-a / 2} o e^{-c)} d x+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} a} \int_{-a / 2}^{a / 2} 1 \cdot e^{-j k x} d x+\int_{a / 2}^{\infty} 0 \cdot e^{-c)} d x
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} a} \int_{-a / 2}^{a / 2} e^{-j k x} d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(-\frac{1}{j k a}\right)\left[e^{-j k x}\right]_{-a / 2}^{a / 2}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left(\frac{1}{j k a}\right)\left(e^{j k \frac{a}{2}}-e^{-j k \frac{a}{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{2}{k a} \sin \left(\frac{k a}{2}\right)
$$

Enoting that

$$
\sin (x)=\frac{1}{2 j}\left(e^{j x}-e^{-j x}\right)
$$

Thus $|Y(k)|^{2}=\frac{2}{\pi}\left[\frac{\sin (k a / 2)}{k a}\right]^{2}$, which has the some " $\left(\frac{\sin \beta}{\beta}\right)^{2}$ "
form as the diffraction pattern intensity of a single 10 slit.
If we wish, we can define a relation between this purely mathematical $k$ and the physical geometry of diffraction let's say $k=2 \pi \sin \theta / \lambda$, so $|Y(k)|^{2}=\frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{\sin (\pi a \sin \theta / \lambda)}{2 \pi a \sin \theta / \lambda}\right)^{2}$, or $|Y(\theta)|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\sin ^{2} \beta}{\beta^{2}}$, with $\beta=\frac{\pi a}{\lambda} \sin \theta$ ar usual.
This is exactly the same angular dependence as the intensity of diffraction from a is slit.
(6) Law of Reflection.

medium of index of rof. $n$.
time foom $A$ to $c \quad t_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{h^{2}+x^{2}}}{v} \quad(v=c / n)$
" $\quad c$ to $B \quad t_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{h^{2}+(L-x)^{2}}}{v}$
Totel time $t=t_{1}+t_{2}$ is extremal $\Rightarrow \frac{d t}{d x}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d t}{d x}=\frac{\frac{1}{2 v}}{} \frac{2 x}{\sqrt{h^{2}+x^{2}}}+\frac{-2(L-x)}{2 v \sqrt{h^{2}+(L-x)^{2}}}=0 \\
& \rightarrow \underbrace{\rightarrow \theta_{r}}_{\underbrace{\frac{x}{\sqrt{h^{2}+x^{2}}}}=\frac{\theta_{i}}{\sqrt{\theta_{i}-x}}=\underbrace{\sqrt{h^{2}+(L-x)^{2}}}_{\sin \theta_{r}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (7) A prism.


(8) Galilean Telescope.
(a) Lens 1: $R_{1}=\infty, R_{2}=+200 \mathrm{~cm}$ (concave, right side)

Lens 2: $R_{1}=+450 \mathrm{~cm}$ (convex, left side), $R_{2}=\infty$.
(b) Let's consider each lens separately.

First consider lens 1. The focal length $f_{1}$ is given by $\frac{1}{f_{1}}=(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)$. Therefore: $f_{1}=\left[(n-1)\left(0-\frac{1}{200 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)\right]^{-1}=-400 \mathrm{~cm}$, using $n=1.5$. The "object" length $s_{o 1}=\infty$, since the rays
are parallel to the optical axis. Applying the thin lens equation: $\frac{1}{s_{o 1}}+\frac{1}{s_{i 1}}=\frac{1}{f_{1}}$ yields $s_{i 1}=f_{1}=-400 \mathrm{~cm}$. There is a virtual image to the left of the lens - see figure.

$\stackrel{\mathbf{S}_{01}}{ }$
Now consider lens 2 , with its "object" being the image of lens 1 , located $\left|s_{i 1}\right|=\left|f_{1}\right|$ to the left of lens 1. We want the image of lens 2 to be rays parallel to the optical axis. So for lens $2, s_{i 2}=\infty$. The object length for lens 2 is $s_{o 2}=d-s_{i 1}$, where $d$ is the separation between the lenses (see Figure).


The focal length $f_{2}=\left[(n-1)\left(\frac{1}{450 \mathrm{~cm}}-0\right)\right]^{-1}=900 \mathrm{~cm}$. Thin lens: $\frac{1}{s_{o 2}}+\frac{1}{s_{i 2}}=\frac{1}{f_{2}}$, so $\frac{1}{d-s_{i 1}}+0=\frac{1}{f_{2}}$, and $d=s_{i 1}+f_{2}=-400 \mathrm{~cm}+900 \mathrm{~cm}$. Therefore $d=500 \mathrm{~cm}$. This is the desired separation. Note that $d=f_{1}+f_{2}=f_{2}-\left|f_{1}\right|$.
(c)


## (d) From the diagram, $\triangle P A B$ and $\triangle P C D$ are similar triangles. <br> Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{y_{1}}{\left|f_{1}\right|} \\
& \frac{9}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

