

Final exam
PHYS 633, Quantum Mechanics
7 June, 2011
D. E. Soper

There are five problems. Please answer them on the sheets of paper provided. Please label each sheet with your name clearly label the problem numbers. I am looking for not only an answer in the form of a number or a formula, but also a clear and concise explanation of your reasoning.

None of the problems requires a lot of computation, so if you are finding that your method of attack is requiring a lot of calculations, it might be best to go on to another problem and come back later to the one that was causing difficulties. Note that I set $\hbar = 1$.

Here is some possibly useful information:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\cos \theta) &= 1 \quad , \\ P_1(\cos \theta) &= \cos \theta \quad , \\ P_2(\cos \theta) &= \frac{1}{2} [3 \cos^2 \theta - 1] \quad , \\ P_l(1) &= 1 \quad , \\ \int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta \, P_l(\cos \theta) P_{l'}(\cos \theta) &= \frac{2}{2l+1} \delta_{l,l'} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

1) Two electrons are confined in a box that has the shape of a cube with sides of length L . The hamiltonian is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_2^2 + A \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 \quad , \tag{2}$$

where the terms $-\vec{\nabla}_i^2/(2m)$ represent the kinetic energy of the two electrons and, in addition there is an interaction between the spins \vec{s}_1 and \vec{s}_2 of the two electrons. Here A is a positive constant with the dimensions of energy. In a more realistic model, A would depend on the separation between the electrons, but here A is just a constant in order to have a simple problem.

Find the ground state energy of the two electron system as a function of m , L , and A .

2) Suppose that $v(t)$ is a matrix that depends on time t and that $v(t_1)$ at one time does not necessarily commute with $v(t_2)$ at a second time. Suppose further that $v(t)$ vanishes for large negative t . Let the matrix $U(t)$ be determined from $v(t)$ by the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}U(t) = -i\lambda v(t)U(t) \quad (3)$$

with the boundary condition

$$U(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow -\infty \quad . \quad (4)$$

If $\lambda v(t)$ is small, it is useful to expand $U(t)$ in a series containing powers of λ multiplying certain integrals of v . Find $U(t)$ correct to order λ^2 .

3) Recall that we calculated the partial width for the decay of a state $|n, l, m\rangle$ of a hydrogen to decay to the ground state $|1, 0, 0\rangle$ by emitting a photon with momentum \vec{k} and polarization λ . We found that the partial width, calculated in first order perturbation theory, is proportional to the square of the matrix element that we expressed as

$$\langle 1, 0, 0; \vec{k}, \lambda | V | n, l, m \rangle = \frac{e}{2\pi m_e \sqrt{\omega}} \vec{\epsilon}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \cdot \langle 1, 0, 0 | e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \vec{p} | n, l, m \rangle \quad (5)$$

Here m_e is the electron mass, the electron charge is $-e$, $\omega = |\vec{k}|$ is the photon energy, $\vec{\epsilon}(\vec{k}, \lambda)$ is the photon polarization vector, \vec{x} is the electron position operator and \vec{p} is the electron momentum operator. In this problem, the electron spin is not relevant and we leave it out of the calculation.

- (a) For this sort of a problem, one has $ka_0 \ll 1$, where a_0 is the Bohr radius. Show how to use this approximation to relate the matrix element in Eq. (5) to the matrix element (which is easier to calculate)

$$\vec{v}(n, l, m) = \langle 1, 0, 0 | \vec{x} | n, l, m \rangle \quad . \quad (6)$$

- (b) We were interested in $|n, l, m\rangle = |2, 1, 0\rangle$. In what direction does $\vec{v}(2, 1, 0)$ point?
- (c) Suppose that we had been interested in $|n, l, m\rangle = |2, 0, 0\rangle$. What can you say about the size of $\vec{v}(2, 0, 0)$ compared to $\vec{v}(2, 1, 0)$?

4) Consider a quantum system governed by a hamiltonian $H_0 + V$ where H_0 is represented by the matrix

$$H_0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and V is represented by the matrix

$$V = \mathcal{E}_1 \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (8)$$

Here \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_1 are constants with the dimension of energy and $\mathcal{E}_1 \ll \mathcal{E}_0$. What is the ground state energy of this system calculated to lowest order in \mathcal{E}_1 ?

5) Recall that we based much the theory for scattering a spinless particle from a potential on the scattering amplitude $f(\vec{k}_F, \vec{k}_I)$, defined by

$$(2\pi)^{3/2} \psi_+(R\vec{n}) \sim e^{i\vec{k}_I \cdot \vec{n} R} + \frac{1}{R} e^{ik_F R} f(k_F \vec{n}, \vec{k}_I) . \quad (9)$$

for $R \rightarrow \infty$. Here \vec{k}_I is along the z -axis and $\vec{k}_F = k_F \vec{n}$ makes an angle θ with the z -axis. Energy conservation gives $|\vec{k}_I| = |\vec{k}_F|$, so it is convenient to denote $k = |\vec{k}_I| = |\vec{k}_F|$.

- (a) What is the relation between $f(\vec{k}_F, \vec{k}_I)$ and the differential cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega$?
- (b) What is the relation between $f(\vec{k}_F, \vec{k}_I)$ and the total cross section σ_T according to the optical theorem? (There is a proportionality factor involved here, but if you don't remember it, that's OK because you will derive it in the next part of the question.)
- (c) Recall that we can represent $f(\vec{k}_F, \vec{k}_I)$ using a partial wave expansion as

$$f(\vec{k}_F, \vec{k}_I) = \frac{1}{2ik} \sum_l (2l+1) P_l(\cos \theta) [e^{2i\delta_l(k)} - 1] . \quad (10)$$

The quantity $\delta_l(k)$ is the phase shift for the l th partial wave. Use this information to prove the optical theorem.